

Investigative Study of Effects of *Gallus domesticus* guano, *Cucumeropsis mannii* shell and *Elaeis guineensis* shell Additives on the Refractory Properties of Isiagu Clay

Victor E. Ojukwu ^{a*}, Philomena K. Igbokwe ^a and Victor I. Ugonabo ^a

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2022/v22i917565

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87882>

Original Research Article

Received 07 April 2022
Accepted 14 June 2022
Published 18 June 2022

ABSTRACT

This research investigated the effects of chicken dropping, melon shell and palm kernel additives on the refractory properties of Isiagu Clay. The raw materials were collected locally, processed and analysed using Scanning Electron Microscope/Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM/EDX). The additives were added to the raw clay in the ratio of 2.5,5.0,7.5 and 10 wt% and fired at 900°C and 1100°C, respectively. The refractory properties measured were; linear shrinkage, apparent porosity and bulk density. The result of the SEM/EDX analyses showed that the clay is a fireclay since it contains 61.68% Al₂O₃ and 34.97% SiO₂ while chicken dropping, melon shell, and palm kernel shell contained 37.41% SiO₂, 84.62% P₂O₅, and 48.31% Nb₂O₅, respectively. Chicken dropping was responsible for strength, melon for toughness and palm kernel shell for conductivity. SEM/EDX morphological results showed that the clay sample contains tiny to coarse particles. The bulk density measurement confirmed the strength and toughness of melon shell, the only additive which met the international standard for refractory application. XRD analysis results showed the presence of two crystalline phases at 21.4° and 22.4° namely, quartz and calcite in the additives used which are both responsible for strength in the refractory brick. Sudden variation in properties was observed for chicken droppings due to the presence of a reasonable amount of P₂O₅. From the study, melon shell and palm kernel shell are suitable for refractory brick production and further studies are recommended for chicken dropping. ANOVA results confirmed that for melon shell and apparent porosity only temperature was significant.

*Corresponding author: Email: ve.ojukwu@unizik.edu.ng;

Keywords: Melon shell; palm kernel shell; clay; fireclay; insulating and refractory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heat transfer is essential in the process industry of which heating, boiling and roasting operations are good examples [1]. In these operations, the objective is to achieve energy conservation in process equipment such as ovens, furnaces and boilers by lining the inner sections with high-temperature materials [2]. Nigeria has a strong refractory production capacity since there are seven clay deposits that exist which are of good refractory properties [3,4]. According to the works of Olalere et al. [5] and Oke et al. [4], the Nigerian government is ready to renovate her moribund steel industries in Kogi, Delta states which would require 68,000 tons of refractories which is only a small fraction of the refractory demands of other energy industries totalling 300,000 tons in the year 2000. It is sad to note that Nigeria spends about \$229 million annually to arrest the shortage of refractory materials for use in the kilns and furnaces [6]. Until now, efforts have been made to address this imbalance, yet, there is a need for refractories with improved mechanical and thermal properties. This is important since chemical attacks and mechanical abrasions in the process of utilizing them, decrease their life span to as low as 1 to 2 years [7]. Some researchers have used local clays together with additives to improve the various properties of the refractory clay namely; thermal shock resistance, cold crushing strength, bulk density, porosity etc [8,9]. Some researchers have made efforts to improve the quality of high-temperature materials for furnaces, boilers and oven linings. The relationship between mechanical properties and thermal conductivity of an insulating fire brick using petroleum coal dust as an additive was studied by [10]. The additive was incorporated in the range of 5wt% to 20w%. It was discovered that the finer coal dust particles of size less than 20 μm performed best in terms of the measured

mechanical and thermal properties. This was attributed to the fineness of the coal dust particles. Bulk density decreased from 1.48 to 1.1 while porosity increased from 46.50 to 58.48% and cold crushing strength decrease from 22.7MPa to 5.5MPa. Also, Amkpa et al. [11] worked on Barkin-Ladi clay and determined its suitability for a refractory application. It was discovered that it is a good feedstock for refractory application having a thermal conductivity of 0.03W/mK, a specific heat capacity of 0.07J/g⁰C, refractoriness of 1665⁰C, thermal shock resistance of 24 cycles and high energy absorption. In this present study, chicken dropping (*Gallus domesticus guano*), melon shell (*Cucumeropsis mannii shell*) and palm kernel shell (*Elaeis Guineensis shell*) were used to improve the refractory properties of Isiagu clay. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was done and the degree of significance of the factors were determined using *Excel 2013*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sourcing and Characterization

The raw fireclay was collected from Isiagu Awka South Local Government Area, Anambra State following the standard specified by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) code ASTM-D4700-15 [12]. Awka city is located directly north of Portharcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. The location of Isiagu as found by google earth, lies on Longitude, 6^o10'54" N, Latitude, 7^o06'54" E and Altitude, 6.94Km (Fig. 1). Definite fire clay of precisely grey to light brown colour was collected. The colour may be due to the high amount of Fe₂O₃ in it. All the additives namely chicken droppings, melon shell and palm kernel shell, were sourced in Awka. All the raw materials used were characterized using Scanning Electron Microscope /Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM/EDX).




Fig. 1. Google Earth Picture of Isiagu Awka South Local Government area

2.2 Material Processing and Moulding of Samples

The raw clay sample was air-dried under shade to allow removal of water and other volatile matter and afterwards crushed to small grain size in a mortar to increase the surface area of the clay sample [3,13]. To get rid of unwanted particles and plant materials, the slurry was filtered through a 0.425mm mesh sieve [13]. The clay slip obtained was sun-dried for two days and then oven-dried at 100°C and fired at 600°C to remove carbonate and other organic matters [13]. The processed clay was pulverized and sieved through a 425µm sieve which is recommended by ASTM [14]. The clay sample was mixed proportionally with the additives and moulded into different shapes namely; rectangular, circular, and conical for the respective tests to be carried out.

2.3 Mixing and Moulding

The clay sample was combined individually with the additives namely; chicken droppings, melon shell and palm kernel shell (2.5%wt, 5.0wt%, 7.5wt%, and 10%wt). Due to the addition of water, a sticky mass was formed and with the aid of the mould, the samples were formed into rectangular and cylindrical shapes for different tests to be conducted [13]. The moulds were rubbed with lubricating oil for easy release of the test pieces from the mould when they dry [13]. The formulation of the samples for analysis is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Design of experiment for the production of refractory

Input variables	Ranges
Temperature (°C)	900 – 1100
Additive percentage (wt%)	2.5 – 10

2.4 Measurement and Analysis

2.4.1 Linear shrinkage

This evaluates the linear changes that occur in brick samples when heated. For this purpose, the brick samples will be made in cuboidal shapes. The formula is as given in the work of Adeosun et al. [15]. This is calculated based on the original length (Lo) before drying and the final length (Lf) after firing to a certain temperature

$$\text{Percentage Total Shrinkage} = \frac{L_o - L_f}{L_o} \times 100 \quad (2.1)$$

2.4.2 Apparent porosity

Apparent porosity is the percentage relationship between the volume of the open space and the total volume of the material as given by ASTM-C20-00 [16]. This will be determined using the boiling method as was used by Adeniyi et al. [17]. A moulded brick specimen (rectangular) will be used. The brick will be oven-dried at 110°C to constant weight (D). After which it will be transferred to a beaker and boiled with distilled water for 1.5hrs to assist in releasing the trapped air. It will be soaked and the saturated weight free of water (W) will be obtained. Finally, the specimen will be suspended in the water by a rope tied to a spring balance and obtain the suspended weight (S) when it is completely immersed in water.

$$\text{Apparent Porosity} = \%Pa = \frac{W-D}{W-S} \times 100 \quad (2.2)$$

Where:

D = Constant Weight of the dry Sample
 S= Weight of the sample suspended in the water
 W= Weight of sample in the air including the moisture in its open pores (saturated weight)

2.4.3 Bulk density

The Bulk Density (BD) of a refractory indicates whether the refractory was well fired and thus the degree of densification will be determined by dividing the test brick mass by the exterior volume and multiplying with the density of water as recommended by ASTM-C20-00 [16]. It has correlation with apparent density and apparent porosity. This can be obtained from the equation (2.3) below

$$\text{Bulk Density} = \frac{\text{Dry weight of sample} \times \text{Density of water}}{\text{saturated weight in air} - \text{suspended weight in water}} \quad (2.3)$$

2.4.4 Refractoriness

This is a thermal property of refractory brick materials that determines the degree of temperature the material can withstand. This will be achieved by making conical brick samples putting them alongside pyrometric sega cones (standard cones with definite deformation temperature) into the kiln and firing to a very high temperature of about 1650°C. After firing, the cones will be examined and the one that bent to the same extent as the test sample is said to

have the equivalent temperature of deformation. Alternative, Shuen's formula can be used to roughly estimate the refractoriness of a clay sample [9].

$$\text{Refractoriness (K)} = \frac{360 + Al_2O_3 - RO}{0.228} \quad (2.4)$$

Where RO is all oxides minus Al₂O₃ and SiO₂

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of Clay and Additives

The results obtained from the characterization of clay and additive samples using SEM/EDX were given in Table 2. SEM/EDX results for the weight composition of elements show that the clay contains principally, aluminium and silicon elements with a relatively high amount of carbon [18]. The result presented in Table 2 shows that the chicken dropping is high in Silicon, Calcium and Nitrogen elements which is typical of its source and can impart strength to the refractory material. Melon shell on the other hand is very high in carbon metal confirming that is all organic matter. The oxide form of the results in Table 2 was presented in Table 3 which shows that the clay sample contains a high amount of Al₂O₃ and SiO₂, they can be referred to as kaolinities, hence suitable for the production of refractory bricks (Chikwelu, et al, 2018); [14]. Also, chicken dropping, melon shell, and palm kernel shell are high in SiO₂, P₂O₅ and Nb₂O₅ which will translate to increased strength, toughness and conductivity in the Isiagu clay sample.

3.2 SEM/EDX Morphological analysis Result for Clay, Chicken droppings, Melon Shell and Palm Kernel Shell

SEM/EDX morphological analysis results for various samples are presented in Fig 2. The analysis was carried out at an Electron High Tension of 10kV at different wavelengths of 100 μm and 20 μm, respectively. The SEM/EDX result for the clay sample indicates the presence of tiny to large coarse particles (Fig. 2a), however, Aboutaleb et al. [19] obtained the same result but with refractory brick waste (RBW). Also, the melon shell sample showed the presence of tiny to large coarse particles in form of a sheet (Fig. 2 b) while both the melon shell and palm kernel shell contain more large particles than tiny particles.

3.3 XRD analysis results for Clay, Chicken droppings, Melon Shell and Palm Kernel Shell

Different diffraction peaks were recorded for the samples analyzed and the results are shown in Fig. 3. It indicates that the samples analyzed are mixtures of polycrystalline and amorphous broadband [20]. Similar peaks were recorded for melon shell and palm kernel shell at 21.4° which indicates the presence of quartz phase. Also, similar peaks at 22.4° for palm kernel shell and chicken droppings show the presence of calcite crystalline phase at that peak which shows that both palm kernel and chicken droppings can both impart refractoriness to Isiagu clay.

Table 2. SEM/EDX results for the percentage by weight composition of elements in clay and all additives

Weight composition	Mg	Al	P	Si	K	Nb	Ca	Ta	C	O	N
Clay	0.3	17.37		54.01	2.4				24.44	1.47	
Chicken dropping	1.08		13.49	24.01	5.92		24.95		0.68	3.51	26.81
Melon Shell			5.57	1.25					87	0.25	5.94
Palm kernel Shell		3.66				36.43	24.92	26.7	0.57	6.67	1.05

Table 3. SEM/EDX results for the percentage by weight composition of oxides in clay and all additives

Weight composition	MgO	Al ₂ O ₃	P ₂ O ₅	SiO ₂	K ₂ O	Nb ₂ O ₅	CaO	Ta ₂ O ₅
Clay	0.27	34.97		61.68	3.08			
Chicken dropping	1.31		25.95	37.41	10.38		24.95	
Melon shell			84.62	15.38				
Palm Kernel Shell		6.27				48.31	15.83	29.58




Fig. 2. SEM/EDX results at EHT = 10.00kv and wavelength of 100 μm for a.) Isiagu Clay sample b.) Chicken droppings and c.) Melon Shell d.) Palm Kernel Shell




Fig. 3. Results for XRD analysis for a.) Isiagu Clay sample b.) Chicken droppings and c.) Melon Shell d.) Palm Kernel Shell

3.4 Refractory Analysis Results

3.4.1 Linear Shrinkage

The results of the linear shrinkage measurement are shown in Fig. 4 below. The results show that there was a progressive increase in linear shrinkage for all samples at both 900°C and 1100°C and was within the acceptable limit of 7 to 10% [13]. This agrees with the SEM/EDX results which showed that chicken droppings, melon shells and palm kernel shells contain a quartz phase. However, there was a sharp decrement in chicken droppings at 10wt% which may be due to the closure of some pores of the

refractory bricks because of the presence of P₂O₅ [14].

3.4.2 Apparent porosity

There was a progressive increase in the apparent porosity for the melon shell and palm kernel shell as each had their peaks at 29.475% and 30.513% at 900°C, respectively according to Fig. 5. But chicken dropping showed an inconsistent trend both at 900°C and 1100°C. This is most likely due to the presence of P₂O₅ [14]. Based on the international standard of 2 to 30%, only the apparent porosity of the melon shell was within the specified limit [13].




Fig. 4. The plot of Linear Shrinkage of samples at a.) 900°C and b.) 1100°C




Fig. 5. The plot of Apparent porosity of samples at a.) 900°C and b.) 1100°C

3.4.3 Bulk density

There was a bulk density decrement for both melon shell and palm kernel shell according to Fig. 6 following previous works [13,10,21]. All the samples containing melon shells at both 900°C and 1100°C were within the internationally acceptable range of 1.7 – 2.1g/cm³ while some samples containing palm kernel shells and chicken dropping at 900°C and 1100°C were within the specified standard [13].




Fig. 6. The plot of Bulk Density of samples at a.) 900°C and b.) 1100°C

3.4.4 Refractoriness

This was determined using equation 2.4. The calculation indicated that Isigu clay is fireclay and hence can withstand temperatures as high as 1447°C. This is very close to that recorded in the work of Harith and Hani [22] where a sintering temperature of 1500°C was observed for 70% kaolin and 30% metakaolin and above 1325°C recorded by Aşkın et al. [23] for Cordierite with waste magnesite.

3.5 ANOVA Results for all Additives

Analysis of variance was done for all the samples with various additives and all the properties measured.

3.5.1 For chicken dropping additive

Analysis of variance was carried out for all samples containing Chicken dropping additive. The result of two-way ANOVA without replication is presented in Tables 4-6. The result shows that the effect of temperature for all properties was significant ($P < 0.05$), except for linear shrinkage [24,25]. In the same vein, the effect of the percentage additive was not significant ($P > 0.05$) except for apparent porosity.

Table 4. Linear shrinkage for chicken dropping

Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	13.16812	2	6.584058	2.47782	0.164263	5.143253	Not Significant
Percent Additive	16.42558	3	5.475193	2.060513	0.207006	4.757063	
Error	15.94319	6	2.657198				Not Significant
Total	45.53688	11					

Table 5. Apparent porosity for chicken dropping

Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	1766.228	2	883.114	131.8173	1.1E-05	5.143253	Significant
Percent Additive	126.7681	3	42.25604	6.307313	0.027621	4.757063	Significant
Error	40.19718	6	6.69953				
Total	1933.193	11					

Table 6. Bulk density for chicken dropping

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	55.47409	2	27.73704	7.852409	0.021124	5.143253	Significant
Percent Additive	10.0697	3	3.356566	0.95025	0.473812	4.757063	Not Significant
Error	21.19378	6	3.532297				
Total	86.73756	11					

3.5.2 For melon additive

Analysis of variance was carried out for all samples containing melon shell additives. The result of two-way ANOVA without replication is presented in Tables 7-9. The result shows that the effect of temperature for all properties was

significant ($P > 0.05$) except for linear shrinkage, while the temperature was significant ($P < 0.05$) only for apparent porosity measurement (Tables 4, 5) [24,25]. This confirms the increment in apparent porosity as temperature increased from 900°C to 1100°C and is hence suitable for insulating firebrick application.

Table 7. Linear shrinkage for melon shell additive

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	20.05284	2	10.02642	3.407164	0.102652	5.143253	Not Significant
Percent Additive	14.02444	3	4.674812	1.588588	0.287713	4.757063	
Error	17.65648	6	2.942746				Not Significant
Total	51.73375	11					

Table 8. Apparent porosity for melon shell additive

Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	1054.415	2	527.2075	597.3286	1.25E-07	5.14325285	Significant
Percent Additive	44.58738	3	14.86246	16.83923	0.002511	4.757062663	Significant
Error	5.295654	6	0.882609				
Total	1104.298	11					

Table 9. Bulk density for melon shell additive

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	54.26178	2	27.13089	7.732732272	0.021839	5.143253	Significant Not Significant
Percent Additive	10.20038	3	3.400128	0.969090084	0.466472	4.757063	
Error	21.05146	6	3.508577				
Total	85.51363	11					

3.5.3 For palm kernel shell additive

Analysis of variance was carried out for all samples containing palm kernel shell additive. The result of two-way ANOVA without replication is presented in Tables 10-12. The result shows that the effect of percentage additive for all properties was not significant, that is, $P > 0.05$, while the temperature was not significant only for linear shrinkage measurement [24,25].

Table 10. Linear shrinkage for Palm kernel shell

Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	12.51518	2	6.257588	2.132542	0.199695	5.143253	Not Significant Not Significant
Percent Additive	14.09016	3	4.69672	1.600609	0.285195	4.757063	
Error	17.606	6	2.934333				
Total	44.21133	11					

Table 11. Apparent porosity for Palm kernel shell

Source of Variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	1195.078	2	597.539	740.7198	6.56E-08	5.143253	Significant Significant
Percent Additive	45.56803	3	15.18934	18.82897	0.001865	4.757063	
Error	4.840203	6	0.8067				
Total	1245.486	11					

Table 12. Bulk density for Palm kernel shell

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	Remark
Temperature	54.5749	2	27.28745	7.774269	0.021587	5.143253	Significant Not Significant
Percent Additive	10.19213	3	3.397376	0.967922	0.466923	4.757063	
Error	21.05982	6	3.50997				
Total	85.82684	11					

4. CONCLUSION

The effect of chicken dropping, melon shell, and palm kernel shell on the refractory properties of Isiagu clay was studied. The ANOVA was also carried out using Excel 2013. The SEM/EDX result showed that chicken dropping, melon shell and palm kernel shell were high in SiO_2 , P_2O_5 and Nb_2O_5 , which translated to increased

strength, toughness and conductivity in the Isiagu clay sample, respectively. The strength and toughness impartation was confirmed by bulk density measurement for melon shell as it is the only additive which met the international standard for refractory brick application. On the other hand, chicken dropping showed sharp changes for all the properties measured namely; linear shrinkage, apparent porosity and bulk

density which is due to the presence of P_2O_5 and makes its suitability for refractory application doubtful. However, researchers can explore increased additive percentage and decreased grain size for the possibility of better results. Although XRD results confirm the capability of Chicken droppings and palm kernel shells in imparting strength to refractory bricks, more work should be done on chicken dropping usage. ANOVA results confirmed that for melon shell and apparent porosity only temperature was significant as this property increased from 900°C to 1100°C.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance and contribution of members of staff of chemical engineering, management of Production Development Institute (PRODA) and Petroleum Technology Trust Fund for sponsoring this project.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ogunsemi B, Ikubanni P, Agboola O, Adediran A, Olawale O, Ibikunle R, Oki M. Investigative study of the effects of certain additives on some selected refractory properties of ant-hill clay for furnace lining. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*. 2018;12:761-772.
2. Hussein H. Improve some properties of refractory mortar manufactured from grog bauxite, attapulgite, CaO and White Cement by Using Gum Arabic. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*. 2019;10(3).
3. Amkpa JB. A Study on thermal conductivity of six unexplored nigerian clays for possible refractory and insulating materials. Unpublished PhD Dissertation, Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia; 2017.
4. Oke SR, Talabi HK, Olorunniwo OE, Atanda PO, Aramide FO. Production and Characterization of Clay Bonded Carbon Refractory from Ifon Clay and Spent Graphite Electrode. *International Journal of Metallurgical Engineering*. 2015;4(2):33-39.
DOI: 10.5923/j.ijmee.20150402.02, on 28 January, 2020
5. Olalere A, Yaru S, Dahunsi O. Evaluation of the chemical and thermo-physical properties of locally aggregated kaolin-based refractory materials. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Sciences*. 2019;13(1):4743-4755.
6. Esezobor DE, Apeh FI, Udo MO, Fabiyi M, Apeh ES. Evaluation of cost effectiveness of Onibode Fire-Clay for production of high quality Refractory bricks; 2015.
7. Antonovič V, Szczerba J, Keriene J, Stonys R, Boris R. Refractory Materials for Biofuel Boilers. *Frontiers in Bioenergy and Biofuels*. 2017;443.
8. Ahmad S, Iqbal Y, Muhammad R. Effects of coal and wheat husk additives on the physical, thermal and mechanical properties of clay bricks. *Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Cerámica y Vidrio*. 2017;56(3):131-138.
9. Iyasara AC, Stan EC, Geoffrey O, Joseph M, Patrick NN, Benjamin N. Influence of grog size on the performance of NSU clay-based dense refractory bricks. *American Journal of Materials Science and Engineering*. 2016;4(1):7-12.
10. Rahman MH, Islam MT, Minhaj TI, Azad M, Hasan MM, Haque AMR. Study of thermal conductivity and mechanical property of insulating firebrick produced by local clay and petroleum coal dust as raw materials. *Procedia Engineering*. 2015; 105:121-128.
11. Amkpa JA, Ajala J, Badarulzaman NA. Thermal Conductivity of Barkin-ladi Fireclay Brick as Refractory Lining. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*. 2017;14(2):1-5.
12. ASTM-D4700-15. Standard Guide for Soil Sampling from the Vadose Zone; 2015.
13. Chima O, Nwoye C, Nnuka E. Enhancement of refractory properties of blended clay with groundnut shell and rice husk additives. *American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)*. 2017;6(6):218-226.
14. Ajala AJ, Badarulzaman NA. Thermal conductivity of aloji fireclay as refractory material. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*. 2016;8(2).
15. Adeosun S, Akpan E, Gbenebor O, Taiwo O, Eke I. Refractory behaviors of

- magnetite–kaolin bricks. JOM. 2016; 68(11):2824-2831.
16. ASTM-C20-00. Standard test method for apparent porosity, water absorption, specific Gravity and Bulk Density; 2015.
 17. Adeniyi SS, Ajayi OJ, Umeh CA. The Effect of Grog Sizing on the Performance of Ire Ekiti Fire Clay Refractory Bricks. Federal Polytechnic Ado Ekiti, P.M.B 5351, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria; 2018.
 18. Elakhame Z, Bello S, Agunsoye J, Patric I, Otitoju O. Characterization of Ujogba Clay Deposits in Edo State, Nigeria for Refractory Applications. Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology. 2016;12(2):71-82.
 19. Aboutaleb D, Safi B, Chahour K, Belaid A. Use of refractory bricks as sand replacement in self-compacting mortar. Cogent Engineering. 2017;4(1):1360235.
 20. Hossain SS, Roy P. Fabrication of sustainable insulation refractory: Utilization of different wastes. Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Cerámica y Vidrio. 2019; 58(3):115-125.
 21. Velasco PM, Ortiz MPM, Giró MAM, Melia DM, Rehbein JH. Development of sustainable fired clay bricks by adding kindling from vine shoot: Study of thermal and mechanical properties. Applied Clay Science. 2015;107:156-164.
 22. Harith IJ, Hani MH. Study the physical properties of refractory mortar contains kaolin-metakaolin fire brick powder SiC. International Journal of ChemTech Research. 2017;10(9):790-799.
 23. Aşkın A, Tatar İ, Kılınc Ş, Tezel Ö. The utilization of waste magnesite in the production of the cordierite ceramic. Energy Procedia. 2017;107:137-143.
 24. Li J, Peng J, Guo S, Zhang L. Application of response surface methodology (RMS) for optimization of sintering process for the preparation of magnesia partially stabilized zirconia (Mg-PSZ) using natural baddeleyite as starting material. Ceramics International. 2013;9: 197-202. DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2012.06.009>
 25. Shehu MS, Lamido SI, Nyam T. Utilization of response surface methodology for the optimization of refractory bricks production. International Journal of Engineering Development and Research. 2018;6(4): 301-307.

© 2022 Ojukwu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87882>