# Evaluation of Antiarthritic Protective Effect of *Erlangea tomentosa* (Oliv. & Hiern) S. Moore (*Asteraceae*) Methanol Leaf Extract in Complete Freund's Adjuvant-Induced Arthritis in Rats

## Anselme Mboneye [a,b*], Albert Nyanchoka Onchweri [a], Timothy Neeza [a] and Saidi Odoma [a,c]

[a] *Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Kampala International University, Western-Campus, Uganda.*
[b] *Department of Paraclinical Sciences, National Institute for Public Health, Bujumbura, Burundi.*
[c] *Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Health Sciences, Kogi State University, Anyigba, Nigeria.*

*Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

*Article Information*

**Open Peer Review History:**
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127410

*Original Research Article*

_____

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: mboneye1234@gmail.com;*

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Given the rising interest in plant-based therapies for chronic inflammatory diseases like arthritis, this study aimed to explore the potential of *Erlangea tomentosa*, a plant known for its medicinal properties, as an anti-arthritic agent

**Methodology:** The plant extract was administered to arthritic rats that had been injected with the Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA). The physical anti-arthritis effects of the plant were evaluated using body weight, paw diameter, and a clinical scoring approach. The systemic anti-arthritis properties were evaluated using hematological and biochemical markers of arthritis at the conclusion of the study. Paw joints were taken out for histopathological investigation, and the body weight organ indices for gross abnormalities.

**Results:** It was found that the methanol leaf extract of the plant significantly reduced the arthritis state, which was obvious in clinical arthritis scoring, CFA-injected paw size, body weight, and the preservation of hematological and biochemical indicators. Histopathology and organ indices also confirmed that the plant extract could reduce the pain and inflammation of animals with CFA-induced arthritis.

**Conclusion:** The authors have concluded that the plant might help with arthritis. Research into a specific proinflammatory mediator, such as interleukins and tumor necrosis factor, would confirm this activity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The term arthritis refers to joint inflammation (originating from the Greek words "artho-," which means joint, and "-itis," which means inflammation). Arthritis is a series of medical conditions collectively referred to as "arthritis" (Hajja and Bahlouli 2018, Roy et al. 2019). As a result, arthritis is a disease wherein one or more of the body's joints become inflamed. Trauma, infections, autoimmune diseases, undiagnosed reasons, and aging are only a few of the causes (Roy et al. 2019, Grainger and Resnik 2024). Arthritis is often classified into more than a hundred types. The more popular forms of arthritis are ankylosing-spondylitis (AS), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), osteoarthritis (OA), adolescent-arthritis, psoriatic arthritis (PsA), as well as gouty-arthritis (GA). However, RA is the most frequent of these various types of arthritis (Grainger and Resnik 2024, Elizabeth et al. 2023).

RA is a progressive auto-immune illness that causes symmetrical inflammatory poly-arthritis in the feet and hands, progressing to the wider joint surfaces (Ding et al. 2023). Pressure, stiffness, and swelling are common articular symptoms. Deformation and loss of function of affected joints result from the gradual deterioration of articular cartilage. The synovium is the primarily affected region, particularly at the site where the "pannus," a mass of abnormal tissue, develops (Yoshiya 2020, Yvette and Terrie 2021). RA may cause lacerations in organs and tissues exterior to the articulations, like the heart and lungs, as well as blood vessels, making it a highly debilitating disease. In RA, the risk of developing atherosclerosis and lymphoma is increased (Ma et al. 2019). Cardiovascular illness (31%), pulmonary fibrosis (4%), as well as lymphoma (2.3%), according to a 2007 report, all have higher mortality rates among people with RA (Arthritis 2019).

Inflammatory processes in different joints change significantly in the general pathophysiology of RA. Inflammatory mediators, autoantibodies, cytokines, growth factors, chemokines, adhesion molecules, as well as matrix-metalloproteinases (MMPs), among others, all promote the development of this systemic and chronic disease. The propagation of the disease is aided by these mediators and immune cells (Wu et al. 2022). As a result, inhibiting pathological hyperplasia, inflammatory cytokines, as well as matrix metalloproteinases in synovial cells is critical for treating RA synovitis (Ding et al. 2023). There is no single laboratory test that can validate whether or not an individual has RA (Ma et al. 2019). The treatment is then directed using a mixture of clinical, laboratory, as well as imaging tools. Inflammatory markers, hematological, biochemical, and autoimmune variables are examples of laboratory tests that might be useful for people who have a strong

suspicion that they have RA (Hassan et al. 2021).

Current treatments are costly and less successful because of side effects, and the need for new medicines, especially from natural sources, is required (Yvette and Terrie 2021, Ma et al. 2019, Arthritis 2019, Wu et al. 2022, Hassan et al. 2021, Cui et al. 2019). *E.tomentosa* (Oliv. & Hiern) (Asteraceae) is an herb plant commonly found in Uganda known as Ekyoganyanja in Runyankore. It is traditionally used to manage various illnesses by the locals, including colic pains, stomachaches, syphilis, fever, cough, anemia, conjunctivitis, among others (Ssenku et al. 2022, Patience and Clement 2019). A recent study reported *E. tomentosa* to have potent analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects in mice and rats, respectively (Isaac et al. 2020).

Based on this scientific evidence, this project was planned to look into the antiarthritic effects of *E. tomentosa* methanol leaf extract in chronic conditions like RA in rats with CFA-induced arthritis.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Design

This was an in vivo experimental study. The plant methanol leaf extract was tested for its antiarthritic effects using Complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA)-induced arthritis in rats.

### 2.2 Collection, Identification, and Extraction of Plant Material

The leaves were collected from Bwegiragye village, in Bushenyi District, Western Uganda. The plant was identified by a plant taxonomist from Mbarara University of Science and Technology (MUST). A voucher specimen with the number B50891 was deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Botany for future reference. The plant extracts were prepared according to Begashaw and Mboneye's approaches (Berhan et al. 2017, Mboneye et al. 2024). To summarize, newly collected plant leaves were washed with tap water to eliminate adherent particles before being dried in the shade until a consistent mass was achieved. With the aid of a mortar and pestle, the dried leaves were ground into a fine powder. To obtain the hydroalcoholic crude extract, we macerated this coarsely powdered plant (using a 1g/10ml

ratio) in 70% methanol for 3 days at room temperature in an Erlenmeyer flask. Filter paper was used to remove the filtrate from the marc after 72 hours (Whatman No.1). The solvent was then enabled to vaporize from the filtrate using a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and more concentrated in a water bath at 55 ∘C. The condensed extract was maintained at 4 °C till the experiment was over (Mboneye et al. 2024).

### 2.3 Experimental Animals

The animals of 8-10weeks used for the experiment were obtained from the animal house facility of the Department of Pharmacology and toxicology, Kampala International University Western Campus (KIU-WC). Animals of the same gender were randomly allocated into the control and treatment groups. They could be housed separately if it was technically necessary. The rats were kept in their havens for five days prior to the start of the treatment study to permit acclimatization to the laboratory environments. The rats were fed a normal rodent pellet diet and had access to unlimited water (Al-Shammari et al. 2023).

### 2.4 Test Chemicals and Solvents

The diclofenac sodium tablets (BP 50 mg), halothane (4%), filter paper, and methanol of analytical grade (99.5%) used in this study were purchased at a local chemical shop supplier, Ltd. (Bushenyi District). The CFA used was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.com[1], batch no. #SLBP043IV.

### 2.5 Arthritis Induction

To induce arthritis in rats, 0.1ml of CFA was injected subcutaneously into the right hind-paw as recommended by the AIA protocol (Vendors et al. 2020). The main visible signs of arthritis (redness, swelling, edema, or erythema) were seen to prove that the arthritis induction process was working. The adjuvant is safe paraffin oil (5mg/ml) comprising *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that has been heat-killed (Chandel et al. 2013).

### 2.6 Experimental Setup

Thirty male Wistar albino rats were arbitrarily allocated into six groups of five rats each. Arthritis was triggered thirty minutes (30) after the vehicle or drug has been administered orally. For extract, standard drugs, and vehicle

administrations, the oral route was used. The animals were treated for twenty-one days as follows:

Group 1: Animals served as normal control (without CFA-injection and no treatment).

Group 2: Animals received a single-injection of 0.1 mL of 0.5 % CFA with no treatment (Arthritic control).

Group 3: animals received a single-injection of 0.1 ml of 0.5 % CFA and treated with 1.5 mg/kg of diclofenac (Positive control).

Group 4: Animals received a single-injection of 0.1 ml of 0.5 % CFA and treated with 75mg/kg plant extract.

Group 5: Animals received a single-injection of 0.1 ml of 0.5 % CFA and treated with 150mg/kg of the extract.

Group 6: Animals received a single-injection of 0.1 ml of 0.5 % CFA and treated with 300mg/kg of the extract.

On days 0, 7, 14, and 21, the body weight of the rats was weighed and recorded using the analytical weighing balance, and the right paw sizes were also measured using Vernier caliper. On day 22, the arthritic scores were determined basing on paw size clinical appearance. Finally, the animals were euthanized while inhaling 4% halothane gas to look at joint histopathology, as well as specific hematological, and biochemical parameters (Manimekalai et al. 2019).

### 2.6.1 Arthritic clinical scoring approach

The following visual criteria were used to monitor the arthritis morphological characteristics of redness, swelling, and erythema: normal-paw = 0, mild-swelling and erythema of the digits = 1, swelling and erythema = 2, severe-swelling and erythema = 3, gross deformity, as well as incapability to utilize the limb = 4 (Sharma et al. 2023).

### 2.6.2 Bodyweight and hind paw size method

The diameter of all rats' hind paws was measured using a Vernier caliper on the first day ($0^{th}$ day) before CFA administration and then weekly until the $21^{st}$ day (i.e., 0, 1, 4, 7, 14, and the $21^{st}$ day). By deducting the terminal paw-size from the starting diameter, the hind paw size

could be calculated. Animals' body mass was documented weekly from the $0^{th}$ day until the completion of the experiment. The discrepancy between the initial and final weight of animals could be used to measure body weight changes (Cui et al. 2019). For different weeks, the mean increases in inoculated paw edema for initial paw size (PS) were determined, and the percent inhibition of paw edema for the untreated category was computed using the method below (Kamal et al. 2021).

%inhibition = [(PS of arthritic control-PS of treatment control)/ PS of arthritic control] X 100

### 2.6.3 Hematological, and biochemical parameters

Using common laboratory procedures, specific hematological markers, such as red blood cell (RBC) and white blood cell (WBC) counts, hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (HCT), as well as erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), were measured in blood EDTA-containing tubes. The biochemical analysis was carried out on the plasma/serum and homogenized samples. The serum was used to determine urea, creatinine, total protein, calcium, and liver marker enzymes like aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (Mbiantcha et al. 2017).

### 2.6.4 Histopathological analysis

Representative sections' proximal interphalangeal joints per group were separated and immersed in formaldehyde 10% for 24 hours before being immersed in five percent of formic acid. The tissues would then be prepared, fragmented, and paraffin-embedded. The fragments (5μm) were stained with Haematoxylin - eosin and examined under a light microscope (20X intensification) to determine the occurrence of inflammatory cells and the degree of joint damage (Cui et al. 2019).

### 2.6.5 Measurement of organ indexes

The animals were sacrificed at the end of the experiment, then the heart, kidney, liver, brain, thymus, and spleen were carefully separated. Immediately after dissection, the rat organs were weighed and observed for any gross aberrations. The following formula was used to determine the organ indexes (Sharma et al. 2023, Kamal et al. 2021).

Organ index (%) = [Weight of organ (g)/Bodyweight (g)] X100

### 2.6.6 Statistical analysis and presentation of data

The values were presented as means and the standardized error of the mean (SEM) using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 22 software. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Turkey HSD contrast test was used to analyze the data statistical significance. When $p < 0.05$, the differences in measures were deemed important, as well as when $p < 0.01$, the differences in measures were regarded as extremely important (Kamal et al. 2021).

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Protective Effects on Bodyweight (BW) in CFA-Arthritic Rats

In this study, we found a link between how severe the joint inflammation was and how much weight the animals lost. This was clear when we compared the healthy animals to the arthritic control group. Compared with the standard and other treatment groups, the arthritic control rats made a good impression. It was found that the weight of the rats was highest in the case of the standard group at 189.04 ± 8.80 gms. Diclofenac and the methanolic extract at the median dose significantly increased the body weight of the animal as compared to the arthritic control group, which was recorded to be 186.64 ± 7.19 gms at 150 mg/kg, while the low and highest doses moderately increased the body weight during the treatment period. Therefore, the extract did not display a dose-dependent increase in the body weight of the rats. At the end of the experiment, the percentages of body weight gain between groups compared to the arthritic control rats were: 54.88%, 50.48%, 36.11%, 48.82%, and 41.46% for the healthy animals, standard medication, low, intermediate, and highest doses of extract, respectively (Table 1).

### 3.2 Protective Effects on Paw Size in CFA-Arthritic Rats

There was a significant rise in rat paw diameter in CFA injected control animals when compared to normal rats. After 21 days, it was found that the methanolic extract did not significantly show dose-dependent inhibition in paw thickness. Standard diclofenac sodium significantly

decreased the paw thickness changes at 57.43% after induction of Freund's adjuvant, whereas the extract at an intermediate dose significantly decreased the paw thickness at an almost similar level. It was found that in the case of the high dose of the methanolic extract, the percent protection against an increase in paw diameter was found to be 48.14% as compared to that of the low dose, which was found to be 47.70% (Table 2).

### 3.3 Protective Effects on Clinical Scoring Approach in CFA-Arthritic Rats

During the course of the experiment, the rear paws of all of the different groups of animals began to exhibit symptoms of clinical inflammation. At first, the disease showed up as redness in the joints of the ankle, then, it spread to the joints of the metatarsals and the spaces between the toes. As treatment progressed, the indications of inflammation became more prominent up to day 4. However, there was a brief period of time during which the symptoms of inflammation reduced from the fourth to the tenth day. As shown in Table 3, treatment with the standard drug and the intermediate dose of the extract led to the same significant clinical scoring level, while treatment with the low dose or the high dose of the extract led to only a moderate improvement in clinical scores compared to the control groups. The scoring method adopted was previously described by (Sharma et al. 2023).

### 3.4 Protective Effects on Haematological Markers in CFA-Arthritic Rats

The arthritic diseased group increased significantly the concentrations of WBC, PLT, and ESR, and it decreased significantly the concentrations of RBC, Hb, and HCT. Meanwhile, the group administered an intermediate dose was able to return these parameters to the baseline as there was no significant difference between the 150mg/kg treated group and the normal control. A surprising reduction in ESR was seen with all therapies, including diclofenac (Table 4). An ESR estimate could be used to determine whether or not a person is experiencing inflammation. In this test, high sedimentation rates are most often linked to RA and other inflammatory conditions. On day 21, ESR was higher in the arthritic control group (10 ± 0.45 mm/h) and lowest in the treated group at 75mg/kg (7.00 ± 0.45 mm/h), with values (mm/h) in Groups 2-4-5 being 4.86 ± 0.39, 5.60 ± 0.51, and 6.60 ± 0.40, respectively.

**Table 1. Protective effects on bodyweight (BW) in CFA-arthritic rats**

| Days | BW (gm)Values/ group | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Normal Ctl (10mL/kg) | Arthritic Ctl (10mL/kg) | Diclofenac (1.5mg/kg) | Extract 75mg/kg | Extract 150mg/kg | Extract 300mg/kg |
| 0 | 137.22±3.00 | 148.20 ± 9.15 | 151.52 ± 7.01 | 133.50 ± 2.10 | 150.34 ± 7.47 | 144.20 ± 12.25 |
| 7 | 150.94±4.73 | 158.54 ± 11.04 | 148.66 ± 5.91 | 150.08 ± 5.71 | 162.90 ± 6.15 | 147.98 ± 13.53 |
| 14 | 164.02±5.62 | 157.50 ± 9.84 | 165.24 ± 7.91 | 154.20 ± 8.17 | 175.66 ± 5.16 | 159.56 ± 14.96 |
| 21 | 178.40±5.03 | 166.78 ± 10.51 | 189.04 ± 8.80 | 162.58 ± 9.94 | 186.64 ± 7.19 | 175.94 ± 14.40 |
| Changes In BW (Day21-day0) | 41.18±2.50 54.88% | $18.58 ± 4.69_a{}^{\text{§}}$ | 37.52 ± 2.69 50.48% | 29.08 ± 7.99 36.11% | 36.30 ± 3.74 48.82% | 31.74 ± 6.25 41.46% |

*The data represents the mean (n=5) and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. $p > 0.05$ following a similar statistical approach, compared with the arthritic control animals, was not significant for all groups. Key: a= other groups vs. group 1; §-$p<0.001$. The percentage values represent the bodyweight gain of groups compared to arthritic control animals. BW: bodyweight; Ctl: Control.*

**Table 2. Protective effects on paw size in CFA-injected rats**

| Days | (mm)/Paw diameter means/Group | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Normal Ctl (10mL/kg) | A. Ctl (10mL/kg) | Diclofenac (1.5mg/kg) | Extract (75mg/kg) | Extract (150mg/kg) | Extract (300mg/kg) |
| 0 | 2.92±0.07 | 3.52±0.11 | 3.52±0.08 | 3.31±0.04 | 3.33±0.13 | 3.29±0.10 |
| 1 | 3.09±0.04 | 7.69± 0.11 | 7.16 ± 0.20 | 7.13 ± 0.15 | 7.15 ± 0.20 | 7.37± 0.17 |
| 4 | 3.21±0.05 | 8.33± 0.16 | 7.33 ± 0.17 | 8.32 ± 0.23 | 8.01 ± 0.56 | 7.83± 0.24 |
| 7 | 3.40±0.09 | 8.44 ± 0.16 | 7.31 ± 0.19 | 7.79 ± 0.19 | 7.24 ± 0.32 | 7.44 ± 0.35 |
| 14 | 3.63±0.12 | 8.33± 0.10 | 6.88± 0.27 | 6.97± 0.15 | 6.53 ± 0.40 | 6.91± 0.17 |
| 21 | 3.73±0.11 | 8.90±0.20 | 5.81±0.16 | 6.07±0.15 | 5.61±0.30 | 6.08±0.16 |
| Changes (Day21-0) (% inh) | 0.81±0.13 | $5.38± 0.17_a{}^{\text{§}}{}_b{}^{\text{§}}$ | $2.29± 0.16_a{}^{\text{§}}{}_b{}^{\text{§}}$ (57.43%) | $2.76± 0.17_a{}^{\text{§}}{}_b{}^{\text{§}}$ (47.70%) | $2.28± 0.17_a{}^{\text{§}}{}_b{}^{\text{§}}$ (57.62%) | $2.79± 0.09_a{}^{\text{§}}{}_b{}^{\text{§}}$ (48.14%) |

*The data represents the mean (n=5) and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Key: a= other groups vs. group 1, and b= other groups vs. group 2; α-$p < 0.05$, ℇ-$p<0.01$, §-$p<0.001$. The percentage values represent the paw size inhibition of groups compared to arthritic control animals. Ctl: Control.*

**Table 3. Protective Effects on clinical scoring approach in CFA-arthritic rats**

| Day/ Rats | Values/Group | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Normal Ctl (10mL/kg)** | **Arthritic Ctl (10mL/kg)** | **Diclofenac (1.5mg/kg)** | **Extract (75mg/kg)** | **Extract (150mg/kg)** | **Extract (300mg/kg)** |
| 22/(n=5) | 0.00±0.00 | $4.00 \pm 0.20_a{}^{\text{δ}}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.32_b{}^{\text{δ}}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.51_b{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.37_b{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.37_b{}^{\text{ε}}$ |

*The data represents the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.  Key: a= other groups vs. group 1, and b= other groups vs. group 2; ε-p<0.01, δ-p<0.001.*

**Table 4. Protective Effects on haematological markers in CFA-arthritic rats**

| Tests at 22day | Values/group | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Normal Ctl (10mL/kg)** | **Arthritic Ctl (10mL/kg)** | **Diclofenac (1.5mg/kg)** | **Extract (75mg/kg)** | **Extract (150mg/kg)** | **Extract (300mg/kg)** |
| WBC ($\times 10^9$/L) | 6.90±0.71 | $12.84 \pm 1.05_a{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $8.36 \pm 0.99_b{}^{\text{α}}$ | $10.90 \pm 0.77$ | $9.18 \pm 1.01$ | $9.30 \pm 1.66$ |
| RBC ($\times 10^{12}$/L) | 8.46±0.16 | $6.22 \pm 0.37_a{}^{\text{α}}$ | $7.90 \pm 0.34$ | $7.56 \pm 0.34$ | $8.19 \pm 0.20_b{}^{\text{α}}$ | $7.63 \pm 0.16$ |
| Hb (g/dL) | 16.94±0.24 | $12.28 \pm 0.78_a{}^{\text{α}}$ | $14.72 \pm 0.62$ | $13.48 \pm 0.65$ | $16.32 \pm 0.48_b{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $14.30 \pm 0.37$ |
| HCT (%) | 50.34±0.65 | $36.54 \pm 2.23_a{}^{\text{α}}$ | $42.60 \pm 1.72$ | $38.90 \pm 2.11$ | $48.16 \pm 1.4_b{}^{\text{α}}$ | $41.22 \pm 1.06$ |
| PLT ($\times 10^9$/L) | 627±37.93 | $1003 \pm 55.77_a{}^{\text{α}}$ | $778 \pm 97.92$ | $928 \pm 56.28_a{}^{\text{α}}$ | $732.20 \pm 63.86$ | $952 \pm 57.32_a{}^{\text{ε}}$ |
| ESR (mm/hr) | 5.60±0.24 | $10.00 \pm 0.45_a{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $4.86 \pm 0.39_b{}^{\text{ε}}$ | $7.00 \pm 0.45_b{}^{\text{δ}}$ | $5.60 \pm 0.51_b{}^{\text{δ}}$ | $6.60 \pm 0.40_b{}^{\text{δ}}$ |

*The data represents the mean (n=5) and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Key: a= other groups vs. group 1, and b= other groups vs. group 2; α-p < 0.05, ε-p<0.01, δ-p<0.001.*

## 3.5 Protective Effects on Biochemical Markers in CFA-Arthritic Rats

The arthritic diseased group increased significantly the concentrations of urea, creatinine, ALT, and AST; it decreased significantly the concentrations of total protein and calcium. Meanwhile, the group administered the extracts was able to return these parameters to the baseline as there was no significant difference between the treated groups and the normal control. This showed that the methanol leaf extract of *E. tomentosa* was effective at treating arthritis in biochemical markers under investigation (Table 5).

## 3.6 Protective Effects on Organ Indexes in CFA-Arthritic Rats

There is a significant increase in all the organ weights across all the groups induced by arthritis. Meanwhile, the group treated with 150mg/kg of the extract was able to reduce the organs' weight to the baseline (Table 6).

## 3.7 Joint Histopathological Investigation

Generally, the histopathological examinations revealed normal joint morphology for normal control without CFA-induction and no treatment (Fig. 1A). Rats given the standard medication of diclofenac sodium had mild lymphocytic chronic inflammation in their joints (Fig. 1C), but no other damage to other cells or tissues. The lowest to the highest dosages of 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg of plant extract presented moderate chronic lymphocytic inflammation without any other lesions on cells or tissues present (Fig. 1D, 1E, and 1F). Contradictory, the micrographs of the control animals with arthritis showed mixed and severe chronic inflammation, including lymphocytes, neutrophils, giant cells, and tissue necrosis. In short, the general shape of rat joints has almost gone away in negative control animals with arthritis (Fig. 1B).

## 4. DISCUSSION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), which is also known as an autoimmune disease, is the most common type of arthritis. The exact cause of RA is not fully understood (Srivastava et al. 2012). Locomotor dysfunction and joint degeneration are all caused by inflammation-mediating substances such as interleukin-1β, 6 and 8 (IL-1β, 6, and 8), tumor necrosis factor-α, interferons (IFN), and mitogenic growth factor (MGF), the latter discovered to be particularly prevalent in platelets (Majid et al. 2018, Bakshi et al. 2015). Researchers so far agree that the arthritis model made with Complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) is the most accurate way to study long-term joint inflammation like human RA in an experimental setting (Srivastava et al. 2012). Rats were chosen because CFA-arthritis induction could cause long-term inflammation in several joints, systemic effects, impairment of joint tendons and cartilage, as well as bone loss, similarly to what happens in human arthritis (Pushpan et al. 2017).

In some experiments, the size of the paw is measured by its diameter, while in others, it is measured by its volume. This is an important factor in figuring out how extensive the inflammation is and how well a treatment is effective (Syahirah et al. 2016). In this study, we found that CFA-induced arthritis caused a significant rise in the size of the paws. But therapy with the standard drug and the plant extracts at 75mg/kg, 150mg/kg, and 300mg/kg significantly reduced the paw inflammation by 57.43%, 47.70%, 57.62%, and 48.14%, respectively, compared to the arthritic control rats. This finding is similar to that of (Syahirah et al. 2019) who reported that 300mg/kg of the aqueous extract of *Centella asiatica* leaves was able to significantly inhibit rats' paw inflammation due to CFA, with 54.19% inhibition.

However, the methanolic extract of *Arisaema propinquum* Schott Rhizomes that Ahad and his colleagues in India studied showed that doses of 200 mg/kg and 400 mg/kg inhibited the paws of the test animals by 63.30% and 80.17 %, respectively (Ahad et al. 2020). As a result, the activity in our situation was significantly lower. It is interesting to note that our results are much more important than the percentage of inhibition on *Strychnos potatorum* at 200 mg/kg, where aqueous leaf extract showed 35.21%, according to a study done by (Ekambaram et al. 2010) in India. Particularly interesting is that the *E. tomentosa* leaf extract had the most similar effect on the size of the paws to 1.5 mg/kg of diclofenac**.** These findings demonstrated the extract's ability to significantly reduce arthritic rats' immune system mediation and further delayed the illness's development and lessened its severity.

**Table 5. Protective Effects on biochemical markers in CFA-arthritic rats**

| Tests at 22day | Values/group | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Normal Ctl (10mL/kg) | Arthritic Ctl (10mL/kg) | Diclofenac (1.5mg/kg) | Extract (75mg/kg) | Extract (150mg/kg) | Extract (300mg/kg) |
| UREA (mg/dL) | 35.71±2.15 | 50.69 ± 3.21$_a^{\varepsilon}$ | 28.28 ± 3.80$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 32.07 ± 3.95$_b^{\alpha}$ | 32.41 ± 3.47$_b^{\alpha}$ | 37.93± 2.99 |
| CRT (mg/dL) | 1.19±0.07 | 2.87 ± 0.31$_a^{\delta}$ | 1.04 ± 0.07$_b^{\delta}$ | 1.23 ± 0.09$_b^{\delta}$ | 1.26 ± 0.14$_b^{\delta}$ | 1.40 ± 0.08$_b^{\delta}$ |
| T. protein (g/dL) | 7.35±0.07 | 4.06 ± 0.23$_a^{\varepsilon}$ | 7.10 ± 0.23$_b^{\delta}$ | 5.12 ± 0.13$_a{}^{\alpha}{}_b^{\delta}$ | 6.66 ± 0.18$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 6.32± 0.15$_b^{\varepsilon}$ |
| Calcium (mg/dL) | 10.37±0.28 | 6.34 ± 0.61$_a^{\delta}$ | 10.09 ± 0.45$_b^{\delta}$ | 8.74 ± 0.16$_b^{\alpha}$ | 10.22 ± 0.65$_b^{\delta}$ | 9.53± 0.31$_b^{\delta}$ |
| ALT (U/L) | 18.22±6.88 | 58.78 ± 10.25$_a^{\varepsilon}$ | 26.68 ± 4.53$_b^{\alpha}$ | 21.74 ± 4.32$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 24.62 ± 3.95$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 19.8±5.24$_b^{\varepsilon}$ |
| ALP (U/L) | 76.54±10.89 | 56.08 ± 16.03 | 48.70 ± 5.71 | 49.68 ± 4.63 | 46.40 ± 5.28 | 51.78±11.17 |
| AST (U/L) | 15.63±3.22 | 56.46 ± 3.49$_a^{\delta}$ | 30.74 ± 2.79$_a{}^{\alpha}{}_b^{\delta}$ | 26.96 ± 3.33$_b^{\delta}$ | 27.68 ± 3.79$_b^{\delta}$ | 27.7± 2.82$_b^{\delta}$ |

*The data represents the mean (n=5) and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.  Key: a= other groups vs. group 1, and b= other groups vs. group 2; α-$p < 0.05$, ℰ-$p<0.01$, ẟ-$p<0.001$.*

**Table 6. Protective Effects on organ indexes in CFA-arthritic rats**

| Body organs | Organ indexes (OI) /group | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Normal Ctl (10mL/kg) | Arthritic Ctl (10mL/kg) | Positive Ctl (1.5mg/kg) | Extract 75mg/kg | Extract 150mg/kg | Extract 300mg/kg |
| Heart | 0.37±0.01 | 0.45 ± 0.03 | 0.41 ± 0.02 | 0.43 ± 0.04 | 0.30 ± 0.03$_b^{\alpha}$ | 0.44± 0.03 |
| Liver | 3.05±0.16 | 4.18 ± 0.28$_a^{\alpha}$ | 3.42 ± 0.11 | 3.41 ± 0.31 | 3.06 ± 0.17$_b^{\alpha}$ | 3.17± 0.16$_b^{\alpha}$ |
| Kidneys | 0.59±0.02 | 0.83 ± 0.06$_a^{\alpha}$ | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 0.79 ± 0.08 | 0.63 ± 0.03$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 0.76± 0.03 |
| Brain | 0.66±0.01 | 1.03 ± 0.06$_a^{\varepsilon}$ | 0.89 ± 0.04 | 1.01 ± 0.09 | 0.78 ± 0.03$_b^{\alpha}$ | 0.91 ± 0.05$_a^{\alpha}$ |
| Spleen | 0.32±0.01 | 0.39 ± 0.05 | 0.47 ± 0.01 | 0.43 ± 0.06 | 0.38 ± 0.03 | 0.41 ± 0.04 |
| Thymus | 0.09±0.01 | 0.22 ± 0.02$_a^{\delta}$ | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 0.11 ± 0.01$_b^{\varepsilon}$ | 0.17± 0.01$_a^{\alpha}$ |

*The data represents the mean (n=5) and standard error of the mean (SEM). They were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Key: a= other groups vs. group 1, and b= other groups vs. group 2; α-$p < 0.05$, ℰ-$p<0.01$, ẟ-$p<0.001$.*

**1A. was the normal control group without CFA- induction with no treatment**

**1B. was the arthritic control group with no treatment**

**1C. was the 1.5 mg/kg diclofenac treatment group**

**1D. was the 75 mg/kg extract treatment group**

**1E. was the 150mg/kg extract treatment group**

**1F. was the 300mg/kg extract treatment group**

**Fig. 1. Protective Joint histopathological effects**
*The blue arrow shows inflammation in the lymphocytes; the orange arrow shows inflammation in the giant cells; the red arrow shows inflammation in the neutrophil cells; and the yellow arrow shows tissue death.*

Other possible arthritis tests, such as hematological and biochemical markers, body weight gain, and changes in organ weight were also evaluated. Body weight changes in animals are considered as signs of diseases states, and it

also confirms how the treatment is effective. This is one of the antiarthritis indications that a new molecule is being assessed (Pushpan et al. 2017). In the standard diclofenac therapy and extract-treated groups, there was restoration of

the body weights of the treated rats compared to the arthritic control animals, where the percentages recovery of body weights was seen to be 50.48, 36.11, 48.82, and 41.46% for the standard drug; 75, 150, and 300mg/kg of plant extract, respectively. Our results are similar to the findings of (Zhang et al. 2024) who reported that 250 mg/kg each of aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts of *Luffa echinata* significantly increased rats' body weight by 30.53% and 36.75%, respectively. Also, these results are similar to the report of (Srivastava et al. 2012) who reported percentage of body weight gain of 23.03% for 400mg/kg and 35.00% for 800mg/kg methanolic extract aerial parts of *Costus speciosus*, respectively, in rats.

Scientists reported that when the body is inflamed, nutrients aren't well absorbed through the intestinal tract, which makes the body to lose weight. Then antiinflammatory therapy reduces inflammation, increases absorption, which enhance weight increase (Majid et al. 2018). Dietary absorption and a lessened impact of arthritic pain may be responsible for the animals in the extract and diclofenac therapy subjects regaining their pre-arthritis weight, in which animals on the test and standard medications lost weight more slowly than those on the controls.

When a person's immune system responds to interleukin-1 by making more glycoproteins that control how granulocytes work, they have a high number of white blood cells (WBC), like people with arthritis (Patil et al. 2011). The results so far show that treated animals are more likely to keep their WBC count at normal levels. Other alterations that could happen to the blood cells include a lower Hb count and a higher ESR. Both of these changes were also maintained by the extract, at 150 mg/kg, while diclofenac and other extract doses were able to lower the ESR. Studies suggest that a drop in Hb levels is caused by a weaker response from the bone marrow and early damage to erythrocytes, because erythropoietin levels are going down (Majid et al. 2018, Ahad et al. 2020). In a similar way, a rise in the ESR is a sign of an ongoing illness that hasn't been identified yet, because it's linked to an escalation in the production of endogenic proteins, like alpha or beta globulin as well as fibrinogen (Patil et al. 2011). Therefore, the fact that extract therapy lowers ESR while raising Hb levels suggests that it may help people with arthritis. The most effective dose of the plant extract was found to be 150mg/kg.

Furthermore, checking the levels of serum ALP, AST, and ALT, as well as bilirubin, is a good and easy way to figure out how well the medicine of interest helps with arthritis (Abdul and Kadhem 2016). Blood enzymes like ALP, ALT, and AST, as well as other markers like bilirubin, can show that the liver is not working as well as it should (Kumari and Anbarasu 2014). Based on the study findings, the fact that these serum enzymes were very high shows that the effect was bad for the control rats with arthritis. High levels of AST, ALT, and ALP, as well as creatinine and urea, and low levels of protein, and calcium in control rats with arthritis show that their livers, kidneys, and spleens are getting damaged. This situation is also a part of the adjuvant arthritis model in rats, and it was recovered in all test groups, including the standard drug test group (Abdul and Kadhem 2016, Kumari and Anbarasu 2014).

The fact that the levels of AST and ALT enzymes reduced in rats that had been given a plant extract, showed that *E. tomentosa* extract could help people with arthritis. This was shown by a decrease in bone loss and an increase in the extract's ability to protect organs. Thymus and liver growth have been shown to be caused by immune system stimulation (Patil et al. 2011). The fact that the extract-treated animals' thymus and liver indices decreased, shows that many kinds of cells in these tissues have been altered, indicating adaptations in immunological effect. Note that antirheumatic treatments slow the growth of new inflammatory cells, which is why the indices in the spleen, liver, and thymus decreased (Reis et al. 2020). The plant extracts, specifically 150mg/kg, also displayed similar effects on these organs. Even though these results demonstrate that the plant extract has immunosuppressive potential, this activity needs to be validated directly in order to be true.

Histopathological tests showed that the normal morphology of the joint had broken down significantly more for arthritic rats than in treated animals at the end of the experiment. The results showed that both the plant extracts and the standard therapy helped keep the cells in the animals' tissues from dying.

Alkaloids, terpenoids, coumarins, tannins, glycosides, flavonoids, saponins, and phenols were found in the plant in the preliminary phytochemical analysis. There are many compounds, such as saponins, alkaloids, tannins, and flavonoids that are known to help

with inflammation and arthritis (Majid et al. 2018). So, the activity that was shown could be linked to some of the phytoconstituents that were found in the methanol leaf extract of the plant. More research needs to be done to learn about the plant's different biological functions and how the molecules that cause these effects work.

# 5. CONCLUSION

The present overall data revealed the beneficial antiarthritic effect of all plant extract doses of *E. tomentosa* leaf extract and was more specific at the dose level of 150 mg/kg in the experimental CFA-induced arthritis rat model of both physical and systemic antiarthritic tests. This study found that the effect on arthritis was not dose-dependent, since 300mg/kg was a bit less effective than the median dose of 150mg/kg. In addition, treated groups including standard drugs showed a reduction in rat paw edema diameter and they could normalize the haematological and biochemical abnormalities in adjuvant induced arthritic rats in both the evolving and developed phases of CFA induced arthritis. The histopathological studies confirmed the antiarthritic activity of the plant extract in CFA-induced arthritic rats. The actual mechanism of action of plant extract on adjuvant-induced arthritic rats is still unclear with these studies. Researchers should examine how *E. tomentosa* methanol leaf extract affects the specific mediators of inflammation such as interleukins and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), among others. Also, studies must be done to discover and isolate the active chemicals that produce the activity.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators, have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## CONSENT

It is not applicable.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

The Animal Research Ethical Committee of KIU-WC (AREC/KIU-WC) approved all procedures under the KIU-2022-86 number before experiments. Additionally, the Guidelines for the Uganda National Health Laboratory Hub and Sample Transport Network, published in 2017, and the National Academies Press's Guide for the Concern and Usage of Laboratory-Animals, published in Washington, DC, were followed to ensure animal health and rights (Uganda 2017, Liu et al. 2016).

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

Abdul, M., & Kadhem, H. (2016). Anti-arthritic activity of ethanolic extract of *Lawsonia inermis* in Freund's adjuvant-induced arthritic rats. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, *9*(6), 1-6.

Ahad, M. P., Mohi, R., Akbar, D. M., & Bader, N. G. (2020). Evaluation of anti-arthritic potential of *Arisaema propinquum* Schott rhizomes against complete Freund's adjuvant induced arthritic rats. *Annals of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutics*, *5*(2), 1-8.

Al-Shammari, S. K., Al-Nouri, D. M., Arzoo, S., & Al-Harbi, L. N. (2023). Effect of different nuts oil consumption on morphological features and some biomarkers of inflammation in adjuvant-induced arthritis (AIA) rat model. *Applied Science*, *13*(5), 1-20.

Arthritis Foundation. (2019). Arthritis by the numbers. *Arthritis Foundation*, *3*(17), 1-102.

Bakshi, A. V., Begum, N. V. K., Kumar, N. Y., & Reddy, Y. (2015). Anti-arthritic and anti-inflammatory activity of beta-caryophyllene against Freund's complete adjuvant-

induced arthritis in Wistar rats. *Journal of Bone Reports & Recommendations*, *1*(9), 1-10.

Berhan, B., Bharat, M., Asegedech, T., & Zewdneh, S. (2017). Methanol leaf extract of *Hibiscus micranthus* Linn exhibited antibacterial and wound healing activities. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *17*, 1-11.

Chandel H, Singh S, Kushwaha R. Evaluation of antiarthritic activity on Luffa echinata Roxb. Fruits on rats. Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2013; 3(21): 36-41.

Cui, X., Wang, R., Bian, P., Wu, Q., Seshadri, V. D. D., & Liu, L. (2019). Evaluation of antiarthritic activity of nimbolide against Freund's adjuvant induced arthritis in rats. *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, *47*(1), 3391-3398. https://doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2019.1649269

Ding, Q., Hu, W., Wang, R., Yang, Q., Zhu, M., Li, M., Cai, J., Rose, P., Mao, J., & Zhu, Y. Z. (2023). Signaling pathways in rheumatoid arthritis: Implications for targeted therapy. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, *8*(1), 68. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01331-9

Ekambaram, S., Perumal, S. S., & Subramanian, V. (2010). Evaluation of antiarthritic activity of *Strychnos potatorum* Linn seeds in Freund's adjuvant induced arthritic rat model. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *10*(56), 1-9.

Elizabeth, A. F., Michael, A. B., Anika, L. F., Ellen, W. S., Tyler, D. L., Erica, L. O., & et al. (2023). Prevalence of diagnosed arthritis — United States, 2019–2021. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, *72*(41), 1101-1107.

Grainger, A. J., & Resnik, C. S. (2021). Arthritis in musculoskeletal diseases 2021-2024: Diagnostic imaging. In *Diagnostic Imaging* (pp. 149-168).

Hajja, G., & Bahlouli, A. (2018). Medicinal plants in the prevention and treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *MOJ Bioequivalence & Bioavailability*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.15406/mojbb.2018.05.00084

Hassan, R., Faruqui, H., Alquraa, R., Eissa, A., Alshaiki, F., & Cheikh, M. (2021). Classification criteria and clinical practice guidelines for rheumatic diseases. In H.

Almoallim & M. Cheikh (Eds.), *Skills in Rheumatology* (pp. 521-566).

Isaac, M., Samuel, B. O., Ivan, I., Pender, G. C., Adam, M. A., & Saidi, O. (2020). Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of the aqueous leaf extract of *Erlangea tomentosa* (Asteraceae) in rats and mice. *Journal of Pharmacy & Bioresources*, *17*(1), 19-23.

Kamal, R. M., Sabry, M. M., Aly, Z. Y., & Hifnawy, M. S. (2021). Phytochemical and in-vivo anti-arthritic significance of *Aloe thraskii* Baker in combined therapy with methotrexate in adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. *Molecules*, *26*(12), 1-12.

Kumari, R. P., & Anbarasu, K. (2014). Protective role of C-phycocyanin against secondary changes during sodium selenite mediated cataractogenesis. *Natural Products and Bioprospecting*, *4*(2), 81-89.

Liu, Y., Huang, Y., Xiao, Z., Ren, X., & Yang, C. (2016). Guide laboratory for the care and use of animals. In *Gongcheng/Materials Science and Engineering of Powder Metallurgy*, *21*, 1-12.

Ma, S. N., Zaman Huri, H., & Yahya, F. (2019). Drug-related problems in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*, *15*, 505-524.

Majid, M., Nasir, B., Zahra, S. S., Khan, M. R., Mirza, B., Haq, I. (2018). *Ipomoea batatas* L. Lam. ameliorates acute and chronic inflammations by suppressing inflammatory mediators, a comprehensive exploration using in vitro and in vivo models. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *18*(1), 1-21.

Manimekalai, P., Shukla, K. M. P., Sudhakar, P., & Murugananthan, G. (2019). Synthesis and evaluation of phthalate analogue of diclofenac against Freund's Complete Adjuvant induced arthritis in rats. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *11*(12), 1-6.

Mbiantcha, M., Almas, J., Shabana, S. U., Nida, D., & Aisha, F. (2017). Anti-arthritic property of crude extracts of *Piptadeniastrum africana* (Mimosaceae) in complete Freund's adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *17*(1), 1-16.

Mboneye, A., Onchweri, A. N., Neeza, T., & Odoma, S. (2024). Evaluation of acute and subacute oral toxicity of *Erlangea tomentosa* (Oliv. & Hiern) S. Moore (Asteraceae) methanol leaf extract in experimental Wistar albino rats. *Journal of*

*Tropical Pharmacy and Chemistry (JTPC)*, *8*(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.25026/jtpc.v8i1.610

Patience, T., & Clement, N. (2019). Ethno-pharmacological survey of herbal remedies used in the treatment of pediatric diseases in Buhunga parish, Rukungiri District, Uganda. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, *19*(1), 1-10.

Patil, K. R., Jadhav, R. B., Mahajan, V. K., Patil, P. R., & Gaikwad, P. S. (2011). Anti-arthritic activity of bartogenic acid isolated from fruits of *Barringtonia racemosa* Roxb. (Lecythidaceae). *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 1-7.

Pushpan, R., Karra, N., & Nariya, M. B. (2017). Evaluation of anti-arthritic potential of *Leonotis nepetifolia* R.Br. against Freund's adjuvant-induced arthritis. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences (JAIMS)*, *2*(05), 59-66.

Reis, N. C., Arantes, B. M., Menezes, F. P. S., Leandro, C. L., De Souza, P. M., Pereira, M. L., Curcino, V. I. J., & Oliveira, D. (2020). Plants as sources of anti-inflammatory agents. *Journal of Molecules*, *25*(3726), 1-22.

Roy, H. S., Cheng, C., Zhu, Q., Yue, L., & Yang, S. (2019). Comparison between major types of arthritis based on diagnostic ultrasonography. *The Open Medical Imaging Journal*, *11*(1), 1-7.

Sharma, V., Shukla, S. S., Gidwani, B., & Pandey, R. K. (2023). Antiarthritic activity and inflammatory mediators modulation effect of traditional Ajmodadi Churna on arthritis experimental model. *Journal of Pharmacopuncture*, *26*(3), 257-264.

Srivastava, S., Singh, P., Jha, K. K., Mishra, G., Srivastava, S., & Khosa, R. L. (2012). Evaluation of antiarthritic potential of the methanolic extract of the aerial parts of *Costus speciosus*. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, *3*(4), 204-208.

Ssenku, J. E., Okurut, S. A., Namuli, A., Kudamba, A., Tugume, P., Matovu, P., et al. (2022). Medicinal plant use, conservation, and the associated traditional knowledge in rural communities in Eastern Uganda. *Tropical Medicine and Health*, *50*(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-022-00428-1

Syahirah, W., Althunibat, O. Y., & Hanis, F. (2016). Antiarthritic effects of water extraction of *Centella asiatica* L. leaves on adjuvant-induced arthritis in rats. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, *4*(11), 1701-1705.

Uganda, M. H. (2017). *Guidelines for the Uganda National Health Laboratory Hub and Sample Transport Network*. Ministry of Health, 1, 1-36.

Vendors, A. A., Conditions, B. H., & Strains, C. R. (2020). A protocol for adjuvant-induced arthritis (AIA) in rats. *Chondrex, Inc.*, 1-4.

Wu, D., Luo, Y., Li, T., Zhao, X., Lv, T., Fang, G., & et al. (2022). Systemic complications of rheumatoid arthritis: Focus on pathogenesis and treatment. *Front Immunology*, *13*, 1051082.

Yoshiya, T. (2020). Rheumatoid arthritis: Review. *BMC*, *40*(20), 1-8.

Yvette, C., & Terrie, B. S. (2021). Challenges in managing and preventing rheumatoid arthritis pain. *Rheumatology Research Foundation*, *45*(3), 42-47.

Zhang, J., Wang, D., & Hu, X. (2024). Estragole ameliorates CFA-induced rheumatoid arthritis symptoms in Wistar rats by inhibiting the JAK-2/STAT-3 pathway. *Physiological Research*, *73*(1), 81-90.

---

*Peer-review history:*
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*
*https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127410*

---