

*Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*

*Volume 30, Issue 6, Page 884-892, 2024; Article no.JSRR.117468 ISSN: 2320-0227*

# **Global Challenges Facing Plant Pathology: A Review on Multidisciplinary Approaches to Meet the Food Security**

# **Ashwini Kumar a++, Dibyajyoti Mahanta b# , Mohini M. Dange c++, Ayushi Trivedi d++\* and Nirjharnee Nandeha e†**

*<sup>a</sup> R. A. K. College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore, India. <sup>b</sup> Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India. <sup>c</sup> Department of Agricultural Process Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India. <sup>d</sup> Department of Natural Resource Management, College of Forestry and Research Station, MGUVV, Sankra-Patan, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India. <sup>e</sup> Department of Agronomy, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Mahasamund, IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.*

# *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

*Article Information*

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62106](https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62106)

**Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117468>

*Received: 20/03/2024 Accepted: 25/05/2024 Published: 05/06/2024 Review Article*

*++ Assistant Professor;*

*Cite as: Kumar , Ashwini, Dibyajyoti Mahanta, Mohini M. Dange, Ayushi Trivedi, and Nirjharnee Nandeha. 2024. "Global Challenges Facing Plant Pathology: A Review on Multidisciplinary Approaches to Meet the Food Security". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (6):884-92. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62106.*

\_

*<sup>#</sup> Research Scholar (M.Sc Plant Pathology);*

*<sup>†</sup> Subject Matter Specialist;*

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: ayushikhandwa@gmail.com;*

# **ABSTRACT**

Plant disease outbreaks cause a decline in primary productivity and biodiversity, which negatively impacts the socioeconomic and environmental circumstances in the afflicted areas. They also pose significant threats to the environment's sustainability and the world's food security. Climate change increases the risk of outbreaks by altering host-pathogen interactions, altering the development of pathogens, and encouraging the emergence of new pathogenic strains. Changes in the range of pathogens can cause plant diseases to spread more quickly in new areas. In this review, we examine the potential effects of future climatic scenarios on plant disease pressures and the resulting effects on plant productivity in agricultural and wild environments. We study the effects of climate change, both now and in the future, on disease incidence and severity, pathogen biogeography, natural ecosystems, agriculture, and food production. To mitigate future disease outbreaks, we propose modifying the current conceptual framework and integrating ecoevolutionary theories into studies to improve our mechanistic comprehension and prediction of pathogen spread in future climates. We stress the need of an interface between science and policy that works closely with relevant intergovernmental organisations to provide effective monitoring and management of plant disease under future climate scenarios. This will be necessary to ensure longterm food and nutrient security as well as the sustainability of natural ecosystems.

*Keywords: Climate change; Fungicide resistance; ecological plant disease management; evolutionary principle; food security; plant disease economy.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

"Growing hazards to primary productivity, global food security, and biodiversity loss are posed by the increasing frequency and severity of plant disease outbreaks in many sensitive regions of the world" [1-7]. "Both yield and ecological losses result from these disease outbreaks. For instance, it is estimated that the yearly loss of agricultural output due to pests and pathogens (microorganisms that cause diseases and reduce host health and productivity) alone is worth US\$220 billion" [3-6]. "This has a direct effect on food security, local economy, and other related socioeconomic factors. Post-harvest loss brought on by pathogenic bacteria such *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* and *Penicillium* spp. aggravates this even more" [1]. Additionally, "there is a greater chance that plant diseases will worsen due to climate change, endangering both the natural plant biodiversity and the world's food supply" [7-9].

It is predicted that changed disease pressure brought on by existing and developing pathogens as a result of climate change will negate any possible yield gains over the next fifty years [10]. "In a similar vein, the world community regards the spread of infections associated with climate change as one of the primary dangers to forest health" [11]. Therefore, "to establish agricultural and natural ecosystems that are climate resilient, better understanding of the effects of climate change on the molecular, epidemiological, and

ecological interactions between diseases, plants, and the accompanying microbial communities is required" [4,6].

"Plants can become infected by a broad range of diseases, including bacteria, fungus, viruses, oomycetes, and nematodes. Different plant tissues, such as xylem, phloem, roots, or leaves, are targeted by these pathogens. They also differ in their routes of infection, ranging from extracellular to intracellular, and in their lifestyles, from necrotrophs that feed on dead cells to biotrophs that feed on living cells. Predicting plant diseases in space and time critically depends on our ability to understand how these diverse pathogens interact with and respond to different disease drivers (e.g., other pathogens, host/vectors, commensal microorganisms, and environment), as well as how they respond to climate change as a group. There exist multiple theoretical avenues through which plant infection could be facilitated by climate change. These include modifications to host-pathogen interactions and vector physiology, as well as the introduction of new pathogen strains that have the potential to undermine host-plant resistance" [7,12,13]. "Plant diseases may spread faster into new regions as a result of host and pathogen range alterations brought on by climate change" [8,10,14,15]. However, "our understanding of how many aspects of climate change like variations in temperature and precipitation interact with human activity to affect plant pathogens in both wild and agricultural ecosystems is still limited. For instance, under anticipated climate change scenarios, the number of fungal soil-borne plant diseases is expected to rise in the majority of natural ecosystems, with considerable but as-yetunquantifiable effects for primary productivity worldwide" [14]. Comparably, changes in relative humidity have an impact on pathogen abundance and infectivity [16].

"Climate change will probably lead to an increase in crop plant diseases. First, agricultural pathogen migration between continents has grown over the past few decades due to globalisation and international trade" [17,18], which increases the risk of disease transmission from disease-prevalent to disease-free regions. "Plant species or cultivars that have not coevolved with the introduced pathogen are likely to increase the incidence of the pathogen and cause disease outbreaks in the new geographic area. The Panama sickness, also known as banana wilt disease, is a classic example of how trade and transportation may aid in the spread of infections. This disease is caused by the soilborne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*, which most likely started in Southeast Asia and subsequently expanded throughout the world during the 20<sup>th</sup> century" [19]. Second, it's possible that monocultures and high-density crops, together with other contemporary land management techniques, contributed to the emergence and adaptability of plant diseases that can spread beyond their typical geographic limits. For instance, a variety of pests and diseases severely limit the yields of soybean and wheat, which are widely cultivated in high-density monocultures. Among the most damaging diseases to these crops are soybean rust (produced by the fungus *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*) and wheat blotch (caused by the fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici*), with severe outbreaks known to inflict yield losses of over 50% [2,20]. "Natural ecosystems are complex, with connections between biodiversity, for example, but they also face difficulties for productivity and wild plant communities from climate change and the associated development and evolution of pathogens" [21].

For example, the global warming-induced expansion of Phytophthora cinnamomi may be harmful to native plant ecosystems worldwide [22,23]. "Increases in disease load brought on by climate change could have disastrous consequences for many plant species, ecosystem sustainability, food production and

security, and social conflicts. The review looks at how future climatic scenarios can affect plant pathogen loads and disease pressure. We investigate the effects of land use intensification and climate change, both present and projected, on pathogen biogeography, interactions between plant pathogens and the plant microbiome, incidence and severity of plant diseases, and their combined effects on primary production and agriculture. We examine potential pathways through which pathogen invasion impacts the plant microbiome and discuss how this information could be used to reduce the likelihood of disease outbreaks through enhanced disease surveillance, predictive modeling, and practical sustainable management approaches" [8,12]. In conclusion, we suggest various strategies that integrate disease surveillance with policy frameworks to guarantee the enduring viability of worldwide food security and environmental sustainability.

# **2. RATIONAL AND ECOLOGICAL METHODS FOR TREATING PLANT ILLNESSES**

# **2.1 Modifications to the Plant Disease Management Paradigm**

Plant disease control philosophy should change from managing pathogens (or insect vectors) to managing host R A Macro Micro E Ultra-micro Structure and function of agricultural ecology adverse to pathogen but favorable for plant plants; it should also move from focusing only on high productivity to multiple goals of high yield, efficiency, good quality, and safety in order to achieve logical and sustainable results.

#### **2.1.1 Plant disease: Ecological management**

The key to sustainable plant disease management is to create an agro-ecological system that is adverse to pathogen evolution and epidemic development based on interactions between plants, pathogens, vectors, and environments [13,14]. This management system consists of two main components: dynamic and integrated approaches guided by a thorough understanding of the evolutionary ecology of specific host-pathogen interactions, and multiple goals (high yield, efficiency, good quality, and safety). This integrated approach shows great promise in overcoming the issues and challenges associated with current plant disease

management strategies in order to maximize its economic, ecological, and social benefits.

#### **2.1.2 The foundation of managing plant diseases ecologically**

The fundamental goal of ecological plant disease management is to balance the use of the RAER (resistance, avoidance, elimination, and cure) strategy in order to modify the surroundings of host-pathogen interactions in the hosts' advantage. The disease ecology, epidemic patterns, evolutionary potential, and economic impact of agricultural pathogens vary widely, and the RAER technique should be implemented in accordance with the particular circumstances of the host-pathogen interactions involved [13,14]. Despite certain practical limitations, certain plant disease management strategies, such crop rotation, may simultaneously provide the effects of resistance, avoidance, elimination, and treatment [15,16]. These strategies could be widely used in agriculture in the future.

# **2.1.3 Resistance**

The most practical and successful strategy for managing plant diseases is host resistance [17,18]. It is possible for host resistance to be constitutive or induced, systemic or local, qualitative or quantitative. Plant breeding introduces most crop resistances from land-races or wild relatives [19,20]. Major gene resistance, also known as qualitative resistance, is very effective. However, because plant pathogens have evolved more rapidly under modern agricultural practices, many qualitative resistances lose their effectiveness within a few years of being commercially released [21], especially when employed in large-scale monocultures [22-24]. Quantitative resistance is more enduring than qualitative resistance because it puts less selection pressure on pathogens and thus reduces disease epidemics rather than preventing infection. Conversely, it is believed that induced resistance outperforms constitutive resistance mainly because less resources are allocated when they are not required [25]. Apart from the genetics of host resistance, the resistance level of host plants can also be influenced by other factors known as the "ten principles of agricultural practices" [26-28]. These elements include soil, nutrition, water, seed, population density, plant protection, field management, farming machine technology, light, and air. Any one of these components can be changed to alter the Knowledge of disease

triangles environment in a way that benefits or hurts the plant or pathogen. A whole farming system approach to managing plant diseases has been used successfully to control rice blast (*Magnaporthe oryzae*) and tungro (Rice tungro virus) disease on a large scale [29-30], though it may still allow some disease development, require more labor and other inputs, particularly on establishment, and require supplementary support from other strategies like the application of pesticides.

One of the most successful ecological strategies for managing plant diseases has been shown to be increasing host heterogeneity through intercropping or combining crop varieties with various genetic and physiological traits, such as kind of resistance (quantitative versus quantitative). This strategy prolongs the life of resistant varieties by improving soil fertility and slowing down pathogen evolution [31,32]. It also decreases disease epidemics and boosts nutrition efficiency, productivity, and yield stability in the short term. For instance, increasing the variability of the host population through intercropping several rice varieties dramatically decreased the need to apply fungicides to manage rice blast while also greatly enhancing the amount and quality of production [33]. Potato late and early blights have also been effectively managed with varietal mixtures (data not given). Plant disease ecological management can also benefit from the application of various resistance gene deployment strategies, such as R gene rotation and pyramiding, in addition to mixing or intercropping technology [34]. By altering the host plant's cultivation pattern both spatially and temporally for example, by varying the planting time, location, or system this strategy seeks to create a mismatch between important stages of crop and pathogen growth. It's a sophisticated method that necessitates a thorough comprehension of host susceptibility through various phenological developmental stages, probable weather patterns, disease ecology, and pathogen and pathotype distributions. The key factors influencing the effectiveness of spatial avoidance, which includes varietal mixture and regional R gene deployment, are the distribution and mechanisms of transmission of pathogens.

# **2.1.4 Avoiding disease**

The management of plant diseases brought on by bacteria or nematodes, as well as other soilor water-borne pathogens, may benefit from spatial avoidance. However, airborne diseases,

which can spread over large distances in a single epidemic course, are unlikely to benefit from this strategy. Crop rotation and alterations in planting dates are examples of temporary avoidance strategies. Changing the timing of plantings is not always an effective way to prevent plant diseases; this is especially true for polycyclic infections, where the primary inoculum is not the primary factor in disease epidemics. However, by skipping the peak stage of vector transmission, this strategy reduces the amount of time that plants are exposed to the pathogen during their most vulnerable period in the case of rice virus disease [35,36]. Crop rotation, a second type of temporary avoidance, is anticipated to be especially successful in reducing plant illnesses brought on by soil-borne pathogens. Rotation has been proven to be highly successful in preventing bacterial wilt of potatoes, bananas, tobacco, and sweet potatoes [37], as well as black and root rot [38]. Understanding the ecology of the insects including their overwintering place, migration patterns, and wind direction as well as their reproductive biology is essential for preventing<br>disease when it comes to infections disease when it comes to infections carried by insect vectors, such as numerous viruses [39].

#### **2.1.5 Elimination**

Finding the right primary inoculum sources is the main challenge in managing plant diseases with an elimination strategy. The misidentification of primary inoculum sources leads to resource waste as well as a decrease in management efficiency. If a disease continues to show epidemics years after significant human intervention, it is important to reevaluate management strategies, confirm that critical points in the disease cycle have not been overlooked, and assess whether eradication at those locations is truly possible. Many agricultural strategies that modify farming systems to remove diseased plant tissues, volunteer host plants, secondary crops, etc. have shown to be highly effective in removing or reducing sources of pathogen inoculum. Primarily, crop rotation is a practical approach to disease control that can eradicate the pathogen (particularly soil-borne ones) and possible reservoir hosts. It can also enhance soil quality, including its physical structure and nutritional balance, thereby promoting healthier crop populations. By lowering the number of overwintering sites for the insect vector *Nephotettix virescens*, plowing soils after

harvesting significantly lowers the population density of this vector and, consequently, the viral source of rice tungro disease [40]. A diseaseelimination strategy should be based on a thorough understanding of the various interactions that occur among hosts, pathogens, and vectors in an ecological and epidemiological context, as well as with due consideration of the economic threshold of management. This is similar to disease resistance and avoidance strategies. China's wheat stem rust is an effective example of using an elimination method to control plant disease. Between 1948 and 1965, there were multiple significant outbreaks of the disease in China's spring wheat and winter wheat in the southern province of Fujian, despite the widespread use of chemical pesticides and key resistant types. According to an investigation, the cause of wheat stem rust, *Puccinia graminis* var. tritici, overwintered on cultivated winter wheat planted in August in Putian County, Fujian Province.

Since Putian farmers were convinced to switch from planting winter wheat to potatoes and broad beans, eliminating these *P. graminis tritici* overwintering locations, there have been no significant outbreaks of wheat stem rust. In fact, after the 1990s, the illness all but vanished in China [41]. Rice stripe disease provides another effective illustration of the use of an elimination strategy to control plant disease. For almost ten years, Jiangsu Province, China's primary riceproducing region, has seen an outbreak of the disease (2001–2010). The illness was mostly managed by applying insecticide to eliminate the insect vector, *Laodelphax striatellus*, as there were no resistant types. But after 2008, the management approach for rice stripe virus changed from using only insecticides to using a combination of insecticides and primary inoculum source elimination, which was accomplished by giving up the local custom of rotating rice and wheat, which eliminated the vector's overwintering sites. In the last many years, the illness has now been completely under control. Solution In situations where alternative methods are unable to accomplish the necessary degree of pathogen population density reduction and epidemic amelioration, the application of pesticides to eradicate diseases and/or their insect vectors is an essential component of plant disease management. In an integrated disease management system, the goal of using pesticides is to control the illness to the greatest extent possible while adhering to ecological and financial standards.

Factors like pathogen resistance and pesticide action modalities should be taken into account when using pesticides [42]. Pesticides should be used in conjunction with disease forecasts and understanding of the genetic structure of the pathogen population to increase application efficiency and decrease adverse environmental effects [43]. This will help in determining the most effective time and frequency of application as well as the type and dosage of pesticides to use [44,45]. Other strategies, such as naturally occurring plant substances with biological control function, such as protein y3, which is isolated from edible fungus and other bacteria (*Bacillus* spp.), could also be successful in remedying the situation [46,47]. A deeper comprehension of these biopesticides' characteristics and application process, as well as knowledge of pertinent biological traits and pathogen transmission mechanisms, are crucial for ensuring their effective usage. For instance, using 1-2 sprays of biological control agents or viral therapeutic agents during the rice seedling and turning green stage can both protect the plant from additional infection and lower the density of viruliferous insects [48]. Long-term management of tomato and lettuce root rot has shown to be greatly aided by the combination of pesticides with other biotic and abiotic techniques such biological agents, soil pH modification, and UV irradiation [49].

#### **3. THE CONTROL OF PLANT DISEASES IN THE FUTURE**

By thoroughly comprehending the mechanisms underlying plant disease epidemics, the operation of robust agro-ecosystems, and the individual and collective roles of RAER approaches on disease management, sustainable plant disease management necessitates a multifaceted consideration of the impacts of management approaches on economics, sociology, and ecology. This plant disease management approach aims to safeguard natural resources and the ecological environment in addition to raising agricultural output and enhancing food quality. Future studies in ecological plant disease control should concentrate on the following areas in order to meet this goal: (i) plant disease epidemic and evolutionary patterns under changing settings and agricultural production philosophies; (ii) how ecological factors affect crop health and<br>agricultural productivity; (iii) technological productivity; (iii) technological development for fusing ecological concepts with the treatment of major crop diseases; (iv) socialeconomic analysis of plant disease epidemics and management.

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

Timely changes to plant disease management strategies are required to face future challenges. Deep learning-based disease diagnosis will help in the identification of tomato diseases. In recent years, various disease forecasting models such as JHULSACAST have made it possible to predict potato late blight successfully. More hybrid fungicides should be developed to minimize fungicidal resistance problems. RNA interference-mediated gene silencing reduced powdery mildew severity in grapevine. Genome editing using CRISPR appears to be a more promising technology for reducing disease incidence. Research on the impact of biodiversity loss on plant diseases should be increased. Basmati Export Development Foundation educates basmati farmers to stop pesticide indiscriminate use. The same kind of effort is also required in other crops to motivate farmers in this regard.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Tripathi AN, Tiwari SK, Behera TK. in Postharvest Technology Ch. 5 (ed. Ahiduzzaman, M. D.) IntechOpen; 2022.
- 2. Fones HN. Threats to global food security from emerging fungal and oomycete crop pathogens. Nat. Food 1. This Paper Highlights the Main Knowledge Gaps and Proposes a Research Direction to Address Challenges Associated with Emerging Crop Fungal Pathogens. 2020; 332–342.
- 3. Chakraborty S, Newton AC. Climate change, plant diseases and food security: an overview. Plant. Pathol. 2011;60:2–14.
- 4. Rohr JR. Emerging human infectious diseases and the links to global food production. Nat. Sustain*.* 2019;2:445–456.
- 5. Ristaino JB. The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA; 2021.
- 6. van Dijk M, Morley T, Rau ML, Saghai Y. A meta-analysis of projected global food

demand and population at risk of hunger for the period 2010–2050. Nat. Food 2. 2021;494–501.

- 7. Velasquez AC, Castroverde CDM, He SY. Plant–pathogen warfare under changing climate conditions. Curr. Biol. 2018;28:R619–R634.
- 8. Burdon JJ, Zhan J. Climate change and disease in plant communities. PLoS Biol. This Manuscript Highlights the Importance of Plant–Pathogen Interactions and Evolution on Disease Incidence under Future Climates. 2020;18:e3000949.
- 9. Muluneh MG. Impact of climate change on biodiversity and food security: a global perspective—A review article. Agric. Food Secur*.* 2021;10:36.
- 10. Chaloner TM, Gurr SJ, Bebber DP. Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop yields under climate change. Nat. Clim. Change. This Paper Predicts that the Yield Gain for 12 Crops under Future Climates will be Tempered by Increased Infection Rates by Plant Pathogens.2021; 11:710– 715
- 11. Trumbore S, Brando P, Hartmann H. Forest health and global change. Science. 2015;349:814–818.
- 12. Newbery F, Qi A, Fitt BDL. Modelling impacts of climate change on arable crop<br>diseases: progress, challenges and diseases: progress, challenges and applications. Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol. 2016; 32L101–109.
- 13. Trivedi A. Reckoning of impact of climate change using RRL AWBM Toolkit. Trends in Biosciences. 2019;12(20):1336-1337.
- 14. Trivedi A, Awasthi MK. A review on river revival. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2020; 10(12):202-210.
- 15. Trivedi A, Awasthi MK. Runoff estimation by integration of GIS and SCS-CN method for Kanari River Watershed. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2021;48(6):1635-1640.
- 16. Trivedi A, Gautam AK. Hydraulic characteristics of micro-tube dripper. Life Science Bulletin. 2017;14(2):213-216.
- 17. Trivedi A, Gautam AK. Temporal effects on the performance of emitters. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2019;8(2):37-42.
- 18. Trivedi A, Gautam AK. Decadal analysis of water level fluctuation using GIS in Jabalpur district of Madhya Pradesh.

Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2022;21(3):250-259.

- 19. Trivedi A, Gautam AK, Pyasi SK, Galkate RV. Development of RRL AWBM model and investigation of its performance, efficiency and suitability in Shipra River Basin. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2020;20(2):1-8.
- 20. Trivedi A, Gautam AK, Vyas H. 2017.<br>Comparative analysis of dripper. Comparative analysis of dripper. Agriculture Update Techsear. 2017;12(4): 990-994.
- 21. Trivedi A, Nandeha N, Mishra S. Dryland agriculture and farming technology: problems and solutions. Climate resilient smart agriculture: Approaches & Techniques. 2022;35-51.
- 22. Trivedi A, Pyasi SK, Galkate RV. A review on modelling of rainfall – Runoff process. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2018;7(4):1161-1164.
- 23. Trivedi A, Pyasi SK, Galkate RV. Estimation of evapotranspiration using cropwaT 8.0 model for Shipra River Basin in Madhya Pradesh, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci. 2018;7(05):1248-1259.
- 24. Trivedi A, Pyasi SK, Galkate RV, Gautam VK. A case study of rainfall runoff modelling for Shipra River Basin. nt. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci Special Issue-2020;11:3027-3043.
- 25. Trivedi A, Singh BS, Nandeha N. Flood forecasting using the avenue of models. JISET - International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology. 2020; 7(12):299-311.
- 26. Trivedi A, Verma NS, Nandeha N, Yadav D, Rao KVR, Rajwade Y. Spatial Data Modelling: Remote Sensing Sensors and Platforms. Climate resilient smart agriculture: Approaches & techniques. 2022;226-240.
- 27. Nirjharnee Nandeha, Ayushi Trivedi ML, Kewat SK, Chavda Debesh Singh, Deepak Chouhan, Ajay Singh, Akshay Kumar Kurdekar, Anand Dinesh Jejal. Optimizing bio-organic preparations and Sharbati wheat varieties for higher organic wheat productivity and profitability. AMA 2024;55 (1):16739- 16760.
- 28. Ashwini Kumar, Ayushi Trivedi, Nirjharnee Nandeha, Girish Patidar, Rishika Choudhary and Debesh Singh. A comprehensive analysis of technology in aeroponics: presenting the adoption and

integration of technology in sustainable agriculture practices. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2024;14(2): 872-882.

29. Smita Agrawal, Amit Kumar, Yash Gupta, Ayushi Trivedi. Potato Biofortification: A systematic literature review on biotechnological innovations of potato for enhanced nutrition. Horticulturae 2024;10: 292.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.3390/horticultur](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10030292.%201-17) [ae10030292. 1-17.](https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10030292.%201-17)

- 30. Ashwini Kumar, Ayushi Trivedi, Nirjharnee Nandeha and Niveditha MP. Sustainable agriculture development and optimim utilization of natural resources: Striking a balance. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. 2024;30(5):477-486.
- 31. González-Fernández JJ, Gaju N, Landa B B, de Vicente A. Organic amendments and land management affect bacterial community composition, diversity and biomass in avocado crop soils. Plant and Soil. 2012;357:215–226.
- 32. Bourke PM. Emergence of potato blight. Nature. 1964;203:805–808.
- 33. Brooker RW, Bennett AE, Cong WF, Daniell TJ, George TS, Hallett PD, Hawes C, Iannetta PP, Jones HG, Karley AJ, Li L, McKenzie BM, Pakeman RJ, Paterson E, Schöb C, Shen J, Squire G, Watson C A, Zhang C, Zhang F. Improving intercropping: A synthesis of research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. The New Phytologist. 2015;206, 107–117.
- 34. van Bruggen AHC, Gamliel A, Finckh MR. Plant disease management in organic farming systems. Pest Management Science. 2016;72:30–44.
- 35. Burdon JJ, Barrett LG, Rebetzke G, Thrall PH. Guiding deployment of resistance in cereals using evolutionary principles. Evolutionary Applications. 2014;7:609–62 4.
- 36. Burdon JJ, Thrall PH. Pathogen evolution across the agro-ecological interface: Implications for disease management. Evolutionary Applications. 2008;1:57–65.
- 37. Burdon JJ, Thrall PH. Coevolution of plants and their pathogens in natural habitats. Science. 2009;324:755–756.
- 38. Chen Y, Yan F, Chai Y, Liu H, Kolter R, Losick R, Guo JH. Biocontrol of tomato wilt disease by Bacillus subtilis isolates from natural environments depends on

conserved genes mediating biofilm formation. Environmental Microbiology. 2013;15:848–864.

- 39. Coutts BA, Kehoe MA, Jones RA. 2011. Minimising losses caused by Zucchini yellow mosaic virus in vegetable cucurbit crops in tropical, sub-tropical and Mediterranean environments through cultural methods and host resistance. Virus Research. 2011;159:141–160.
- 40. Enserink M, Pamela J, Hines PJ, Sacha N, Vignieri SN, Wigginton NS, Yeston JS. The pesticide paradox. Science. 2013;341:7 28–729.
- 41. Fraile A, Pagán I, Anastasio G, Sáez E, García-Arenal F. Rapid genetic diversification and high fitness penalties associated with pathogenicity evolution in a plant virus. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2011;28:1425–1437.
- 42. Fry WE. Phytophthora infestans: The plant (and R gene) destroyer. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2008;9:385–402.
- 43. Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir J F, Pretty J, Robinson S, Thomas SM, Toulmin C. Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science. 2010; 327:812–818.
- 44. Gonthier DJ, Ennis KK, Farinas S, Hsieh H Y, Iverson AL, Batáry P, Rudolphi J, Tscharntke T, Cardinale B J, Perfecto I. Biodiversity conservation in agriculture requires a multi-scale approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society (B– Biological Sciences). 2014;281, 1358.
- 45. Guedes RN, Smagghe G, Stark JD, Desneux N. Pesticide-induced stress in arthropod pests for optimized integrated pest management programs. Annual Review of Entomology. 2015;61. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023 646
- 46. Hall C, Welch J, Kowbel DJ, Glass NL. Evolution and diversity of a fungal self/nonself recognition locus. PLoS One, 2010;5:e14055.
- 47. Hirao J, Ho K. Status of rice pests and their control measures in the double cropping area of the Muda irrigation scheme, Malaysia. Tropical Agriculture Research Series. 1987;20:107–115.
- 48. Huang LF, Luo ZX, Fang BP, Li KM, Chen JY, Huang SH. Advances in the researches on bacterial stem and root rot of sweet potato caused by Dickeya

*Kumar et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 884-892, 2024; Article no.JSRR.117468*

dadantii. Acta Phytophylacica Sinica. 2014;41:18–122. (in Chinese)

49. Iranzo J, Lobkovsky A E, Wolf Y I, Koonin EV. Immunity, suicide or both? Ecological

determinants for the combined evolution of anti-pathogen defense systems. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 12015;5 :324.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117468>*