

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 6, Page 492-499, 2024; Article no.JEAI.116667 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Evaluation of Some New Nematicides for the Management of Reniform Nematode (*Rotylenchulus reniformis*) on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)

Prerana Bhuyan ^a, Gitanjali Devi ^{a*}, Sudhansu Bhagawati ^b and Dalim Pathak ^c

^a Department of Nematology, Jorhat, Assam Agricultural University, Assam, India.
 ^b Department of Entomology, Jorhat, Assam Agricultural University, Assam, India.
 ^c Department of Plant-Pathology, Horticultural Research Station, Kahikuchi, Assam Agricultural University, Assam, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author PB performed the experiment and statistical analysis, author GD designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SB and DP managed the analyses of the study and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i62501

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116667

> Received: 07/03/2024 Accepted: 09/05/2024 Published: 13/05/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

carried Investigations evaluate the efficacy nematicides were out to of two viz., Nimitz®(Fluensulfone) and Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) for the management of Reniform nematode (R. reniformis) on tomato (var. Pusa Ruby) under net house condition. The plant growth parameters in tomato were found to be increased in the treatment with Nimitz® @2.5kg/ha followed by Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, Velum Prime @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® @2.00 kg/ha , and Velum

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: gitanjali.devi@aau.ac.in;

Cite as: Bhuyan, P., Devi, G., Bhagawati, S., & Pathak, D. (2024). Evaluation of Some New Nematicides for the Management of Reniform Nematode (Rotylenchulus reniformis) on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, 46(6), 492–499. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i62501

Prime @750 ml/ha. The chemicals suppressed nematode reproduction as indicated by the lower nematode population and lower numbers of eggs on the roots as compared to control. The minimum populations of *R. reniformis* were recorded with the treatment Nimitz® @2.5kg/ha followed by Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, Velum Prime @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® @2.00 kg/ha , and Velum Prime @750 ml/ha.

Keywords: Tomato; reniform nematode (Rotylenchulus reniformis); nematicides; nimitz® (fluensulfone); velum prime (Fluopyrum).

1. INTRODUCTION

Horticultural crops play a major role in nutritional and food security. Tomato is an important horticultural crop having high nutritive value as minerals, vitamin A, vitamin C and antioxidant. Tomato is grown all over the world in temperate, subtropical and tropical areas. But the production is vulnerable by several biotic and abiotic factors. Among biotic factors plantparasitic nematodes are considered as one of the most important plant pathogens. Plant parasitic nematodes often interact with fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens to cause disease complexes. The Reniform nematode (Rotylenchulus reniformis Linford & Oliveira, 1940), is one of the most important plantparasitic nematodes in the world [1,2]. In low population densities between 0.1 to 5 nematodes / cm³ of soil can cause yield suppression [3]. Nematicides and crop rotation are some of the management measures of R.reniformis. The objective of the study was to evaluate some of the new nematicides to determine their potential to reduce the R.reniformis population and enhance tomato growth under net house condition.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The pot experiment was carried on for evaluation of chemical nematicides against reniform nematode (R. reniformis) on tomato (Var. Pusa Ruby) during the Kharif season (June 2023-August 2023) in the net house of Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat in 26°47 North latitude to 94°12 East longitude and 86.8 meter above sea level. The laboratory investigation was conducted in the Post Graduate Laboratory of Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Seeds of tomato (Var, Pusa Ruby) were surface sterilized before sowing. In each pot, 3 seeds were sown at the depth of 5-6 cm which contains sterilized soil. Seedlings were thinned out after one week of germination keeping only one healthy seedling in each pot. The nematode

inoculums was quantified using the Magnüs microscope and standardized to apply at pathogenic level (1000 vermiform life stages) per 3ml of water. After inoculation the plants were treated with the nematicides. Treatment Carbofuran CG @ 3.0 kg a.i./ha as soil application was included for comparison. Three inoculated plants were left without adding treatments to serve as control. The treatments were as follows:

Treatments	Method application	of
T1: Velum Prime (Fluopyrum	Soil drench	
34.48% Sc) @ 250 ml/ha		
T2: Velum Prime (Fluopyrum	Soil drench	
34.48% Sc) @ 500 ml/ha		
T3: Velum Prime (Fluopyrum	Soil drench	
34.48% Sc) @ 750 ml/ha	-	
T4: Velum Prime (Fluopyrum	Soil drench	
34.48% Sc) @ 1000 ml/ha	0 11 11 17	
T5: Nimitz® (Fluensulfone 2%	Soil application	
Gr) @ 0.5 kg/ha	Sail application	
T6: Nimitz [®] (Fluensulfone 2% Gr) @1.0 kg/ha	Soil application	
T7: Nimitz® (Fluensulfone 2%	Soil application	
Gr) @ 2.0 kg/ha		
T8: Nimitz® (Fluensulfone 2%	Soil application	
Gr) @ 2.5 kg/ha	Con application	
T9: Carbofuran 3% CG@ 3.0	Soil application	
kg a.i./ha		
T10: Control		

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomize Design (CRD) with 3 replications. Observations were taken at 60 days after planting. Potted plants were uprooted with tap water very carefully and slowly remove the adhering soil particles from the root system. Shoot length, root length, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root, number of females and eggmasses per root system, larval population (250 cc of soil), total nematode population, and reproductive rate were recorded. For recording the dry weight of shoot and root materials were packed in a paper bags labeled and dried at 30-35°C and were weighed .The experimental data obtained were analysed by following the Fisher's method of Analysis of Variance [4].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data (Table 1) revealed that all the chemical treatments increased plant growth parameters significantly over untreated control. Maximum shoot as well as root length was recorded in the treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @ 2.5 kg/ha and in the treatment with Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha followed by Velum Prime @ ml/ha. (Fluopyrum) 1000 Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @ 2.0 kg/ha , Velum prime (Fluopyrum) @ 750 ml/ha, whereas minimum shoot length and root length was recorded in untreated control. However, no significant difference in shoot length were recorded in treatments with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @ 2.5 kg/ha, Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha ,Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @ 1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® (Fluensulfone)@ 2.0 kg/ha ,and Velum prime (Fluopyrum)@ 750 ml/ha. The mean data on the fresh and dry weight of shoot and root recorded with different treatments revealed the fresh weight of shoot and root showed significant increase in all chemical treatments as compared to untreated control. The maximum fresh weight of shoot and root was recorded in the treatment with Nimitz® (Fulensulfone) @ 2.5 kg/ha . followed Carbofuran CG @ 3.0 kg a.i./ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha , Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha, whereas minimum fresh weight of shoot and root was recorded in untreated control. The dry weight of shoot and root recorded in all the treatments differed significantly from untreated control. The mean data (Table 2) on the number of females per root system recorded in different treatments revealed the females per root system produced by Rotylenchulus reniformis on tomato (Fig. 1) decreased significantly in all treatments as compared to untreated control. The minimum number of females (12.00) was recorded in the treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha, followed by 2.33 with the treatment Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, 12.66 in the treatment with Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha. 13.33 in the treatment with Nimitz® Fluensulfone @2.00 kg/ha, 13.00 in the treatment with Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha, while the maximum number of females was recorded in untreated control. The mean data on the number of egg masses per root system recorded in different treatments revealed the number of egg masses per root system produced by Rotylenchulus reniformis on tomato decreased significantly in all treatments when compared to untreated control. The minimum

number of egg masses was recorded in the treatment with Nimit₇® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha followed by Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha, while maximum number of egg masses was recorded in untreated control The data on the mean of larval population recorded in different treatments revealed the number of egg masses per root system produced by Rotylenchulus reniformis on tomato decreased significantly in all treatments when compared to untreated control. The minimum number of larval population was in the treatment with Nimitz® recorded (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha and in the treatment with Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha followed by Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha, wherein maximum number of larval population was recorded in untreated control The data on mean of final nematode population recorded in different treatments revealed the total number of Rotylenchulus reniformis nematode population the treatments recorded in all differed significantly when compared to untreated control. The minimum number of females was recorded in the treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha followed by Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha. Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha. Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha, while low nematode population was recorded in untreated control. The data on the mean of the reproductive rate recorded in different treatments showed that all the treatments reduced the reproduction rate of R. reniformis on tomato significantly. All the treatments with chemicals differed significantly from the untreated control. The minimum reproductive rate (1.03) was recorded in treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha followed by, 1.09 in the treatment with Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, (1.10) in treatment with Velum Prime @1000 ml/ha, and (1.10) in (Fluopyrum) treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha, (1.11) in treatment with Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha. The untreated control had the maximum reproductive rate(7.89). This study revealed that both the chemical has detrimental effects on R. reniformis population development. The chemicals suppressed nematode reproduction as indicated by the lower nematode population and lower numbers of eggs found on the roots of tomato as compared to control. As there is no significant difference

Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Fresh wt. of shoot(g)	Dry wt. of shoot (g)	Root length (cm)	Fresh wt. of root (g)	Dry wt. of root (g)
T1: Velum Prime @ 250 ml/ha	38.63 ^c	19.33°	5.76 ^c	9.33°	6.23 ^c	1.30°
T2: Velum Prime @ 500 ml /ha	43.50 ^b	20.26 ^{bc}	5.90 ^b	10.60 ^b	7.50 ^b	2.60 ^b
T3: Velum prime @ 750 ml/ha	54.00ª	23.63ª	7.60ª	11.60ª	8.50ª	3.60ª
T4: Velum Prime @ 1000 ml/ha	54.53ª	24.03 ^a	7.70 ^a	11.70ª	8.60 ^a	3.70ª
T5:Nimitz® @ 0.5 kg/ha	39.00 ^c	19.46 ^{bc}	5.90 ^c	9.56 ^c	6.46 ^c	1.56 ^c
T6: Nimitz® @ 1.0 kg/ha	44.83 ^b	21.13 ^b	6.66 ^b	10.66 ^b	7.56 ^b	2.66 ^b
T7:Nimitz® @ 2.0 kg/ha	54.50ª	23.81ª	7.66 ^a	11.63ª	8.53ª	3.66ª
T8:Nimitz® @ 2.5 kg/ha	55.33ª	25.36 ^a	7.80 ^a	11.80ª	8.70 ^a	3.80ª
T9: Carbofuran 3%CG @3.0kg a.i./ha	55.33ª	25.23ª	7.76ª	11.76ª	8.66 ^a	3.76ª
T10: Control	33.63 ^d	17.06 ^d	4.63 ^d	7.53 ^d	5.53 ^d	1.00 ^d
S.Ed. (±)	1.53	0.83	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12
C.D (P=0.05)	3.23	1.73	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.27

Table 1. Effect of different chemical treatments on the plant growth parameters of tomato infected by Rotylenchulus reniformis (Mean of 3 replications)

*Mean followed by the same letter in the superscript(s) statistically at par

Treatments	No. of females/root system	No. of egg masses/root system	Larval population/200 cc of soil	Total population/ 1 kg soil	RR%
T1: Velum Prime @ 250 ml/ha	22.66 (4.75) ^b	18.66 (4.32) ^b	253.00 (15.90) ^b	1314.33	1.30 ^{bc}
T2: Velum Prime @ 500 ml /ha	19.33 (4.39) ^{cd}	16.33 (4.03) ^{cd}	237.33 (15.39)c	1208.00	1.21 ^{bcd}
T3: Velum prime @ 750 ml/ha	13.00 (3.60) ^e	8.00 (2.82) ^e	220.33 (14.84) ^e	1122.33	1.11 ^{bc}
T4: Velum Prime @ 1000 ml/ha	(3.55) ^e	7.66 (2.76) ^e	219.66 (14.82) ^e	1108.66	1.10 ^{cde}
T5:Nimitz® @ 0.5 kg/ha	(0.66) 20.66 (4.54) ^{bc}	18.33 (4.28) ^{bc}	249.66 (15.80) ^b	1293.33	1.29 ^{bc}
T6: Nimitz® @ 1.0 kg/ha	17.66 (4.20) ^d	16.00 (3.99) ^d	233.00 (15.26) ^{cd}	1197.66	1.19 ^{bcd}
T7:Nimitz® @ 2.0 kg/ha	13.33 (3.64) ^e	8.33 (2.88) ^e	221.33 (14.87) ^e	1109.66	1.10 ^{cde}
T8:Nimitz® @ 2.5 kg/ha	12.00 (3.46) ^e	7.00 (2.64) ^e	219.00 (14.79°	1040.33	1.03 ^{cde}
T9: Carbofuran 3%CG @3.0kg a.i./ha	12.33 (3.51) ^e	7.33 (2.70) ^e	219.33 (14.81) ^e	1098.66	1.09 ^{cde}
T10: Control	(5.97) ^a (5.97) ^a	35.00 (5.91)ª	1466.33 (38.28)ª	7868.33	7.86 ^a
S.Ed. (±) C.D(P=0.00)	0.15 0.31	0.11 0.24	0.19 0.41	0.79 1.67	0.06 0.12

 Table 2. Effect of different chemical treatments on number of females, egg masses and nematode population of Rotylenchulus. reniformis on tomato (Mean of 3 replications)

Values of number of females, egg masses, larval population and total population within the parentheses are square root ($\sqrt{x} + 0.5$) transformed data. Meanfollowed by the same letter in the superscript (s) are statistically at par

Bhuyan et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 492-499, 2024; Article no.JEAI.116667



Fig. 1. Mature female of Reniform nematode on tomato root

among the treatment Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha, Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha, Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha, Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 Velum ml/ha, Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, therefore it can be inferred that Nimitz® @ 2.0 kg/ha or the Velum prime @ 750 ml/ha are the best treatment against R.reniformis and cost effective ,can be recommended as an alternative to Carbofuran CG in tomato. Fluopyram has been tested against R.reniformis under in vitro condition, where it had nematistatic effects and reduced R.reniformis viability on tomato in greenhouse assay [5]. Fluopyram efficacy can be observed by managing R.reniformis egg populations in roots at 25-44 days after planting and increasing yield [6] The concentration of fluopyram needed to cause paralysis and inhibit infection of M. incognita and R. reniformis on tomato was low [5]. Heald [7] observed 15% increase in tomato yield with application of nematicides in nematode infested field. Fensulfothion, aldicarb, carbofuran, turbufos, phorate etc., have been reported to be effective as soil treatments against reniform nematodes on tomato [8-10]. Fluopyram applied at 249g a.i./ha two weeks after tomatoes were inoculated with M. incognita eggs, reduced the number of eggs per gram of root by 91% compared with the untreated control. demonstrating the importance of application timing. Similarly, Jones et al., [11] observed the efficacy of both Fluopyram and Fluensulfone against M. incognita in lima bean with reduced galling 55 and 64% in greenhouse as well as microplot. Fluensulfone at 2.34 L a.i./ha was the most effective against M. incognita with 81% reduction in galling on lima beans [12]. Thoden and Wiles [13] and Wram and Zasada [14] in a greenhouse study on M. incognita on tomato cultivars observed that Fluensulfone suppressed M. incognita reproduction more than fluopyram at concentrations ranging from labeled rates to 24pre-exposure The hr sublethal doses. nematicidal effect of Fluopyram has also been observed for multiple nematodes [15-19]. Exposure to fluopyram for 1 hr at the rate 1.3 and 3.3 ug/ml for M. incognita and R. reniformis reduced reproduction of nematodes on tomato [14]. Fluopyram was found to reduce the number of free-living nematodes for a long period (up to 238 davs after application), especially bacterivores, fungivores, and omnivores [20,21]. Fluensulfone (Nimitz®, Adama) is a systemic fluoroalkenyl compound for use in vegetable crops [22]. The mode-of-action of Fluensulfone is that it is a fatty acid beta oxidation inhibitor. Kearn et al. [23] observed immobility and eventual death Caenorhabditis elegans and M. Globodera pallida second-stage incognita. juveniles (J2) exposed to Fluensulfone led to increased lipid content, loss of cell viability, and tissue degeneration and reduced stylet thrusting, reduced mobility along with coiling posture but not seen in adult and dauer C. elegans [24]. Fluensulfone at 25 ppm impacted M. incognita J2 activity after 24 hr exposure, and egg hatch was reduced at 95 ppm [14,20]. Exposing M. javanica J2 to Fluensulfone at sublethal concentrations for 17 hr was able to reduce the number of J2 attracted to lettuce root tips in pluronic agar and those that invaded produced smaller galls [25]. Aphelenchoides besseyi and A. fragariae which had 50% immobility after 48 hr of exposure. More than 60% of Pratylenchus penetrans and P. thornei were immobilized after exposure to Fluensulfone at 4 mg/L and found to be immobilized even after removal of the compound Xiphinema index was also affected [26]. irreversibly by exposure to Fluensulfone at 1 mg/L with 60% immobility after 48 hr of exposure and a 24 hr rinse [26].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The study revealed that both the nematicides were effective in reducing nematode population and improving the plant growth parameters. The maximum plant growth parameters in tomato var Pusa Ruby and minimum nematode population were recorded in the treatment with Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.5kg/ha followed by, treatment with Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, treatment with Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha. As there is no significant difference among the (Fluensulfone) Nimitz® treatment @2.5kg/ha,Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @1000 ml/ha . Nimitz® (Fluensulfone) @2.00 kg/ha. Velum Prime (Fluopyrum) @750 ml/ha. Carbofuran CG @3.0 kg a.i./ha, therefore it can be inferred that Nimitz® @ 2.0 kg/ha or the Velum prime @ 750 ml/ha are the best treatment against R.reniformis and can be recommended as an alternative to carbofuran CG in tomato.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Deepest gratitude to the Head of the Department of Nematology, Department of Plant-Pathology and DPGS, AAU, Jorhat for providing necessary facilities in conducting the experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Robinson AF, Inserra RN, Caswell-Chen E, Vovlas N, Troccoli A. *Rotylenchulus* species: Identification, distribution, host ranges and crop plant resistance. Nematropica. 1997;27:127-180.
- 2. Jones JT, Haegeman A, Danchin EG, Gaur HS, Helder J, Jones MG. Top10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2013; 14:946-961.
- 3. Gaur HS, Perry RN. The biology and control of the plant parasitic nematode *Rotylenchulus reniformis*. Agricultural Zoology Reviews. 1991;4:177-212.
- 4. Snedecor GW, Cocharan WG. Statistical Methods. Oxford and IBH Publication Co.D. Sixth Ed., New Delhi; 1967.
- 5. Faske T. Hurd K. Sensitivity of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis* to fluopyram. J Nematol. 2015; 47:316–321.

- Lawrence KA, Hagan M, Olsen T, Faske, Hutmacher J, Muller D, et al. Cotton disease loss estimates committee report, 2015. Beltwide Cotton Conferences; January 5-7; New Orleans, LA. National Cotton Council, Cordova, TN; 2016.
- Heald CM. Effect of the reniform nematode on vegetable yields. Plant Dis. Rep. 1978;62:902904.
- Reddy DDR, Seshadri AR. Studies on some systemic nematicides. II. Further studies on the action of thionazin and aldicarb on *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis*. Indian J Nematol.1972;2:182-190.
- 9. Krishna PKS, Anandappa H, Siddaramaiah AL. Relative efficacy of a few pesticides in the control of *Rotylenchulus reniformis on* tomato. J Plant Dis Protect. 1977; 84(11):671-674.
- Heald CM, Thames WH. The reniform nematode, *Rotylenchulus reniformis*. In: RD. Riggs, editor. Nematology in the Southern Region of the United States. Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin. Southern Regional Research Committees S-76 and S- 154; 1982.
- Jones JG, Kleczewski NM, Desaeger J, Meyer SLF, Johnson GC. Evaluation of nematicides for southernroot-knot nematode management in lima bean. Crop Prot. 2017; 96:151-157.
- 12. Hajihassani A, Davis RF, Timper P. Evaluation of selected nonfumigant nematicides on increasing inoculation densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* on cucumber. Plant Dis. 2019;103:3161-3165.
- 13. Thoden TC, Wiles JA. Biological attributes of Salibro TM, a novel sulfonamide nematicide. Part 1: Impact on the fitness of *Meloidogyne incognita, M. hapla* and *Acrobeloides buetschlii.* Nematology. 2019;21:625-39.
- 14. Wram CL, Zasada IA. Short-term effects of sublethal doses of nematicides on *Meloidogyne incognita*. Phytopathology. 2019;109:1605-1613.
- 15. Beeman AQ, Tylka GL. Assessing the effects of llevo and Votivo seed treatments on reproduction, hatching, motility, and root penetration of the soybean cyst nematode, *Heterodera glycines*. Plant Dis. 2018;102:107-113.
- 16. Kim J, Mwamula AO, Kabir F, Shin JH, Choi YH, Lee JK, Lee D. Efficacy of different nematicidal compounds on hatching and mortality of *Heterodera*

schachtii infective juveniles. Korean J Pesticide Science. 2016;20:293-299.

- 17. Roper RJ. Evaluation of the in-furrow nematicide Velum Total for management of *Meloidogyne incognita* in cotton; 2017. Available:http://hdl.handle.net/2346/72681
- Feist E, Kearn J, Gaihre Y, O'Connor V, Holden-Dye L. The distinct profiles of the inhibitory effects of fluensulfone, abamectin, aldicarb and fluopyram on *Globodera pallida* hatching. Pestic Biochem Phys. 2020;165:104541. DOI: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.02.007.
- 19. Storelli A, Keiser A, Eder R, Jenni S, Kiewnick S. Evaluation of fluopyram for the control of *Ditylenchus dipsaci* in sugar beet. J Nematol. 2020;52(1):1-10.
- 20. Moreira D, Desaeger J. Effect of new nonfumigant nematicides on different trophic groups of nematodes. In: Phytopathology Conference. Amer Phytopathological Society, Minnesota,USA. 2019;Feb:7-9.
- Waldo BD, Grabau ZJ, Mengistu TM, Crow WT. Nematicide effects on non-target nematodes in bermuda grass. J Nematol. 2019;51(1):1-12.

- 22. EPA. US EPA, Pesticide Product Label, FLUENSULFONE 480 EC; 2014. Available:https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/ chem_search/ppls/066222-00243-20140911.pdf
- Kearn J, Ludlow E, Dillon J, O'Connor V, Holden-Dye L. Fluensulfone is a nematicide with a mode of action distinct from anticholinesterases and macrocyclic lactones. Pestic Biochem Phys. 2014;109:44-57.
- Kearn J, Lilley C, Urwin P, O'Connor V, Holden-Dye L. Progressive metabolic impairment underlies the novel nematicidal action of fluensulfone on the potato cyst nematode *Globodera pallida*. Pestic Biochem Phys. 2017;142:83-90.
- 25. Oka Y, Saroya Y. Effect of fluensulfone and fluopyram on the mobility and infection of second-stage juveniles of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica*. Pest Manag. Sci. 2019;75:2095-2106.
- 26. Oka Y. Nematicidal activity of fluensulfone against some migratory nematodes under laboratory conditions. Pest Manag. Sci. 2014;70:1850-8.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116667