



Formulation and Validation of Organic Insect Pest Management Module for Pests of Cabbage and Cauliflower in Valley and Foot Hills Region, Manipur, India

Aruna Beemrote^{a++*}, Arati Ningombam^{a#}
and Romila Akoijam^{a++}

^a ICAR Research Complex for North Eastern Hill Region, Manipur Centre, Lamphelpat, Imphal West, Manipur-795004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i34066

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114610>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/01/2024

Accepted: 16/03/2024

Published: 20/03/2024

ABSTRACT

During the rabi seasons from 2017 to 2020 in the valley and foothill regions of Imphal, Manipur, a study was conducted to design and test three different organic insect pest management modules M1, M2 and M3 for cabbage and cauliflower. The focus was on three major pests: cabbage butterfly, diamondback moth, and cabbage aphid. Module three (M-3) emerged as the most effective strategy, incorporating various organic practices. This included seed treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* at 10g/kg seed and soil drenching in nursery plots at 25g/100 m²,

⁺⁺ Scientist;

[#] Senior Scientist;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: aruna.beemrote@icar.gov.in, thoikshetri23@gmail.com;

intercropping with mustard, application of anonnin extract at 5 ml/litre, Spinosad 45% SC (biopesticide) at 3ml/10 litres, and *Verticillium lecanii* at 10 ml/litre. Additionally, the module incorporated the installation of yellow sticky traps for aphids and pheromone traps for diamondback moths for monitoring and mass trapping. The results indicated that Module 3 performed well than other modules and the control group in reducing insect pest incidence on cabbage and cauliflower with the number of cabbage butterfly ranging from 0.07 to 0.11, in both cabbage and cauliflower, where as 0.06 to 0.04 in case of Diamond back moth and 0.21 to 0.47 number of cabbage aphid per square inch leaf area with B:C ratio of 1:12.4 and 1:12.7 for cabbage and 1:6:39 and 1:6.41 for cauliflower at lamphel and lanol fields, respectively. Moreover, it contributed to an increased yield, demonstrating its superiority in organic insect pest management for both valley and foothill regions. This underscores the effectiveness of a holistic and integrated approach to pest management in organic farming practices.

Keywords: Cabbage; cauliflower; cabbage butterfly; diamond back moth; cabbage aphids; organic insect pest management; Manipur.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cole crops are widely cultivated for their nutritional benefits. In 1984, the FAO recognized cabbage as one of the top twenty vegetables, highlighting its crucial role in sustaining the global population's food needs [1]. Traditionally grown during the rabi season, these crops are now cultivated year-round. In India during 2012-13, cabbage occupied an area of approximately 372.4 hectares, yielding 8534.2 MT, while cauliflower covered 402.2 hectares with an annual production of 7886.7 MT [2]. Despite their significance, the productivity of cole crops falls short of expectations due to various biotic factors, with insect pests, notably, causing substantial economic losses [3].

Cole crops faces attacks from several pests, including the diamond black moth (DBM) (*Plutella xylostella*), cabbage caterpillar (*Peiris brassicae*), and cabbage leaf webber (*Crocidolomia binotalis*). The yield loss from these major pests ranges from 48.5-51.6% for cabbage leaf webber, 69.2% for cabbage caterpillar, and 77.4-99.1% for the diamond black moth [4]. Consequently, the use of chemical pesticides has been a conventional practice in pest management, though often applied in high quantities and unscientific patterns. Over the past six decades, chemical applications have played a crucial role in pest control, but there is growing evidence of potential risks to the ecosystem and human health [5].

In Manipur, pesticide consumption reaches 26-30 Mt/acre, leading to concerns such as the depletion of natural enemies, environmental pollution, resurgence, residue problems, and the development of insecticide resistance in the diamond black moth against various insecticides [6]. As a result, organic pest management has

garnered widespread recognition globally, with Manipur emerging as a hub for organic vegetables. In this region, farmers have achieved a cabbage yield of approximately 245 q/hectare in a single season, excluding profits generated during the off-season. This underscores the growing importance and success of organic farming practices, particularly in promoting sustainable and environmentally friendly approaches to agriculture. This study aims to identify alternative, environmentally friendly pest management methods compatible with eco-friendly pest management programs. With this perspective in mind, the present research plans to synthesize three different organic pest management modules and compare them with an untreated control group.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research experiment was conducted at the Entomology farm, ICAR-RC-NEH Region, Manipur centre, spanning both the Lamphel and Langol farms in Lamphelpat, Manipur, India. This initiative took place during the rabi season across the years 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2019-20. Employing a Randomized Block Design (RBD), the experiment comprised four modules, including an untreated control, and each module had three replications. The crops were cultivated using recommended agronomic practices, with a plot size of 3x3 m for each module and a spacing of 50x50 cm. The selected varieties for cabbage and cauliflower were Rareball and Candid Charm, respectively.

2.1 Time and Methods of Application of Treatment

M1 (Module 1): Seed treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 10g /Kg seed. One row of marigold was sown on the border of

experimental plot as trap crop. Application of Neem seed kernel extract (NSKE) @ 75 ml/ litre as preventive spray after 15 days of transplanting. Installation of yellow sticky trap (for aphids) and pheromone traps for diamond back moth for monitoring and mass trapping. Spray of *Beauveria bassiana* (biopesticide) @ 10g / litre for cabbage butterfly and diamond back moth. Spraying of *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10 ml/ litre for management of cabbage aphids.

M2 (Module 2): Soil drenching in nursery plots with *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 25g / 100m². One row of mustard was sown on the border of experimental plot as trap crop. Application of karanjin extract @ 2ml/litre as preventive spray after 15 days of transplanting. Installation of yellow sticky trap (for aphids) and pheromone traps for diamond back moth for monitoring and mass trapping. Spray of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (biopesticide) @ 2 g/litre for cabbage butterfly and diamond back moth. Spraying of *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10 ml/ litre for management of cabbage aphids.

M3 (Module 3): Seed treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 10g /Kg seed and Soil drenching in nursery plots with *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 25g / 100m². Intercrop of mustard was sown on the border and after every two row of main crop of experimental plot. Application of anonnin extract @ 5 ml/litre as preventive spray after 15 days of transplanting. Installation of yellow sticky trap (for aphids) and pheromone traps for diamond back moth for monitoring and mass trapping. Spray of Spinosad 45% SC (biopesticide) @ 3ml/ 10 litre for cabbage butterfly and diamond back moth. Spraying of *Verticillium lecanii* @ 10 ml/ litre for management of cabbage aphids.

M4 (Module 4): Untreated control. Crop was sprayed only with water. To assess the incidence of major pests affecting cabbage and cauliflower, weekly observations were meticulously documented throughout the crop season. Data collection involved examining five randomly selected plants from each replication. The presence of Diamondback moth and cabbage butterfly was evaluated based on the number of larvae found on five randomly selected plants in each replication. Aphids were quantified by counting them on five randomly selected plants in each replication. The aphid observations were recorded by assessing one square inch leaf area from two leaves per plant, examining both sides of the leaves at weekly intervals until the crops reached maturity. This process was facilitated by

using a cardboard template. All the data were taken for consequently 3 years during rabi season, from 2017-18 to 2019-2020.

As part of the pest management strategy, the first application of botanicals was administered 15 days after transplanting as a preventive measure, and biopesticides were applied after the 4th or 5th week post-transplanting. If necessary, a second spray was conducted after the 8th or 9th week, depending on the pest population observed on the crops. Regular monitoring was conducted throughout the entire crop period to ensure timely intervention. The data on the larval population of Diamondback Moth, Cabbage butterfly, and the aphid population were analyzed after applying the square root transformation in the context of the Randomized Block Design (RBD). To assess the overall performance of the modules, a pooled analysis of data over different intervals was also carried out. Number of pests data were subjected to square root transformation and analyzed using one way ANOVA [7]. The analysis was done by using SPSS software 29.0.1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pest management modules were compared with untreated module (control) for the management of major insect pests of cabbage and cauliflower viz., Cabbage butterfly, Aphids and Diamond back moth.

3.1 Efficacy of Various Modules on Major Insect Pests

Cabbage butterfly: The data revealed that cabbage butterflies emerged shortly after the transplanting of cabbage and cauliflower. The mean number of larvae per plant exhibited significant differences among various treatment modules. During the first and second weeks after transplanting (WAT), a lower number of larvae were observed in module 3, and this was comparable to the larval counts in module 1 and module 2. In the subsequent 3rd and 4th WAT, module 3 recorded the lowest larval numbers, followed by module 2 and module 1, respectively. Throughout the 5th to 8th WAT, module 3 consistently showed lower larval counts, equivalent to module 2. However, by the 9th and 10th WAT, the untreated control (module 4) exhibited the highest larval numbers. Upon pooling the data over three years, module 3 demonstrated the lowest cabbage butterfly population, averaging 0.07 larvae per plant.

Consequently, module 3 yielded the most favorable outcomes and was on par with module 2, both in the valley and foothill regions (Table 1).

Diamond back moth: The data revealed that diamondback moths appeared one week after the transplanting of cabbage and cauliflower. The mean number of larvae per plant exhibited significant differences among different treatment modules after 2 weeks after transplanting (WAT). During the 3rd and 4th WAT, a lower number of larvae were recorded in module 3, which was comparable to the larval counts in module 1 and module 2. Throughout the 5th, 6th, and 7th WAT, lower numbers of larvae were observed in module 2 and 3, and these were at par with module 1. By the 8th, 9th, and 10th WAT, lower numbers of larvae were recorded in module 3, and it was at par with module 2. However, by the 9th and 10th WAT, the untreated control (Module 4) exhibited the maximum number of larvae. Upon pooling the data over three years, module 3 demonstrated the lowest diamondback moth population, averaging 0.06 larvae per plant. Consequently, module 3 yielded the most favorable results and was on par with module 2, both in the valley and foothill regions (Table 2).

Aphids (No of aphid / square inch leaf area):

The data revealed that cabbage aphids made their appearance 5 weeks after the transplanting of cabbage and cauliflower. The mean number of aphids per square inch leaf area showed significant variations among different treatment modules. At 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 weeks after transplanting (WAT), a lower number of aphids per square inch leaf area were recorded in module 3, and this was comparable to module 2. By the 9th and 10th WAT, the untreated control (Module 4) exhibited the maximum number of aphids. Upon pooling the data over three years, module 3 demonstrated the lowest cabbage aphid population, averaging 0.23 aphids per square inch leaf area. Therefore, module 3 yielded the most favorable results and was on par with module 2, both in the valley and foothill regions (Table 3).

Furthermore, the results indicate that Module 3 recorded the highest Benefit-Cost (BC) ratio, while Module 1 exhibited the lowest (Table 4). Importantly, all modules demonstrated better results compared to the untreated control.

The obtained results on the superior performance of spinosad in suppressing the butterfly larvae align with Rangad et al. [8] findings, where spinosad was reported to kill the maximum number of larvae after fifteen days of application. Singh et al. [9] demonstrated that intercropping mustard with cabbage resulted in minimal activity of diamondback moth larvae in cabbage. Similarly, Ojha and Singh [10] reported the minimum number of larvae of diamondback moth, semilooper, and head borer when intercropped with Indian mustard. The current investigation aligns with the findings of Shukla and Kumar [11], who advocated for the incorporation of Azadirachtin at 2.0 liters/ha in pest management modules, highlighting it as the optimal component for reducing larval populations and maximizing yield. The efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki* in combination with neem as a successful component of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) was also validated by Sailaza and Krishnayya [12]. Bhardwaj et al. [13] tested various IPM modules, including botanicals and biopesticides, and found them effective in managing pests of cabbage crops, leading to increased yields. The efficacy of microbial products against cabbage butterfly on cabbage was also supported by studies using spinosad [7], *B. thuringiensis* [14,10], and *B. bassiana* [15]. Singh et al. [14] tested these microbials along with novel organic biopesticides and found them effective in managing both cabbage butterfly and diamondback moth. Deshmukh et al. [16] and Shashni [15] also reported similar results with the use of biopesticides. In summary, the present findings harmonize with a combination of past reports related to sustainable pest management in cabbage. This study serves as an initial step toward constructing a location-specific IPM module for cabbage [17-20].

Table 1. Mean population of cabbage butterfly on cabbage and cauliflower in different module

Treatments (Modules)	Mean number of cabbage butterfly larvae/ plant (Pooled from 3 years data)			
	Lamphel		Langol	
	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Cabbage	Cauliflower
M1	0.26 (0.87)	0.39 (0.93)	0.21 (0.84)	0.53 (1.00)
M2	0.12 (0.78)	0.11 (0.78)	0.08 (0.76)	0.10 (0.77)
M3	0.07 (0.75)	0.08 (0.76)	0.08 (0.76)	0.11 (0.78)
M4	3.12 (1.86)	3.44 (4.02)	2.76 (1.75)	3.22 (1.88)
LSD at 5%	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10

*value in parenthesis are square root transformed values

Table 2. Mean population of Diamond back moth on cabbage and cauliflower in different module

Treatments (Modules)	Mean number of DBM larvae (Pooled from 3 years data)			
	Lampchel		Langol	
	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Cabbage	Cauliflower
M1	0.13 (0.79)	0.16 (0.81)	0.11 (0.78)	0.14 (0.80)
M2	0.07 (0.75)	0.06 (0.75)	0.05 (0.74)	0.06 (0.75)
M3	0.06 (0.75)	0.06 (0.75)	0.04 (0.74)	0.05 (0.74)
M4	0.80 (1.13)	0.90 (1.17)	1.02 (1.21)	1.19 (1.27)
LSD at 5%	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08

**value in parenthesis are square root transformed values*

Table 3. Mean population of cabbage aphids on cabbage and cauliflower in different module at lampchel farm (Number of aphids / square inch leaf area)

Treatments(Modules)	Mean number of cabbage aphids (Pooled from 3 years data)			
	Lampchel		Langol	
	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Cabbage	Cauliflower
M1	0.91 (1.16)	1.02 (1.21)	0.63 (1.04)	1.01 (1.20)
M2	0.48 (0.84)	0.37 (0.91)	0.31 (0.84)	0.22 (0.84)
M3	0.23 (0.97)	0.47 (0.97)	0.21 (0.89)	0.26 (0.86)
M4	4.64 (2.25)	4.96 (2.31)	4.41 (2.20)	5.00 (2.33)
LSD at 5%	0.20	0.17	0.13	0.10

**value in parenthesis are square root transformed values*

Table 4. Effect organic pest management modules (Treatments) on yield of cabbage and cauliflower

Treatments (Modules)	Lampchel (Pooled from 3 year data) BC ratio		Langol (Pooled from 3 year data) BC ratio	
	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Cabbage	Cauliflower
M1 (Module 1)	1:8.0	1:3.9	1:8.2	1:3.5
M2 (Module 2)	1:10.8	1:5.3	1:11.1	1:5.1
M3 (Module 3)	1:12.4	1:6.39	1:12.7	1:6.41
M4 (Module 4)	-	-	-	-

4. CONCLUSION

The present findings highlight that Module 3, incorporating seed treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* at 10g/kg seed, soil drenching in nursery plots at 25g/100 m², intercropping with mustard, application of anonnin extract at 5 ml/litre, Spinosad 45% SC (biopesticide) at 3ml/10 litres, and *Verticillium lecanii* at 10 ml/litre, coupled with the installation of yellow sticky traps (for aphids) and pheromone traps for diamondback moths for monitoring and mass trapping, proved to be the most effective in organic insect pest management of cabbage and cauliflower. This approach presents a promising strategy for pest management without reliance on chemical solutions. Importantly, it contributes to maintaining ecological balance by preserving natural enemies, microflora, and fauna, thereby suppressing insect pests at a natural level. These modules are environmentally friendly, non-toxic to humans and animals, and do not harm the ecosystem. Therefore, the adoption of these modules can assist farmers in reducing the pesticide load on crops, effectively managing pests, and providing a high remunerative value for their produce. This approach promotes sustainable and ecologically responsible agricultural practices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly thankful to ICAR Research complex for NEH region for providing essential facilities and support during the experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous Interesting facts of cabbage. Crop care. Jan.-March. 2005;59-60.
2. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, Pub. by National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India. 2013;139-140.
3. Prabhakar A, Bishop AH. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* naturally colonizing *Brassica campestris* var. *chinensis* leaves on neonate larvae of *Pieris brassicae*. J Inv Pathol. 2009;100(3):193–194.
4. Shashni S. Formulation and validation of integrated pest management (IPM) modules for better economic return of cabbage crops in Kullu valley, Himachal Pradesh. Global Journal for Research Analysis. 2015;4(4):1-3.
5. Devi PI, Thomas J, Raju RK Pesticide consumption in India: A spatiotemporal analysis. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2017;30(1):163-172.
6. Singh KI, Debbarma A, Singh HR Field efficacy of certain microbial insecticides against *Plutella xylostella* Linnaeus and *Pieris brassicae* Linnaeus under cabbage –crop- ecosystem in Manipur. Journal of Biological Control. 2015;29(4):194-202.
7. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley & Sons; 1984.
8. Rangad RW, Lytan D, Waluniba and Firake DM Bio-efficacy of eco-friendly insecticides against cabbage Butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (L.) on cabbage in the mid altitudes Hills of Meghalaya, North East India. Mol Entomol. 2014;8(5):1–3.
9. Singh VB, Akali K, Alila P, Kikon YY, Maiti CS. Horticulture for Sustainable Income and Environmental Protection: Advances in Sustainable pest Management in Horticulture crops, Present Status and Future; 2006.
10. Ojha PK, Singh P. Effect of intercropping on the incidence of insect pests of cauliflower and its economics under agroclimatic zone-1 Bihar. Pestology. 2003;27(10):22–5.
11. Shukla A, Kumar A. Efficacy of some IPM modules against diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linn.) infesting cabbage. Journal of Entomological Research. 2006;30(1):39–42.
12. Sailaza K and Krishnayya PV. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* as influenced by neem against insect pests of cauliflower. Plant Protection Bullietin. 2003;55(12):27–9.
13. Bhardwaj T, Sharma JP, Singh P. Comparing integrated pest management modules to the farmers' practice for pest management in cabbage (*Brassicac oleracea*) crop. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;87(1):74-78.
14. Gupta PR, Sood A. Incidence, natural mortality factors and management of the cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (Linnaeus) by *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* in mid-hills of Himachal Pradesh. In: Biological Control of Lepidopteran Pests: Proceedings of the Symposium of Biological of Lepidopteran Pests, 17-18 July 2002, Bangalore, India. 2003;255–260.

15. Klokocar-Smit Zlata D, Indic Dusanka V, Vukovic Slavica M, Filipovic Maja M, Cervenski Janko F. Preliminary investigation on the effects of biological and synthetic insecticides on large white butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (L.) larvae, Zbornik Matice Srpske za Prirodne Nauke. 2007;112:75–82.
16. Deshmukh S, Pandya HV, Patel SD, Saiyad MM, Dave PP. Development of suitable integrated pest management module for major lepidopteran insect pests of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). Asian Journal of Bio Science. 2015;10(1):48-56.
17. Ram D, Pandey DK, Devi S, Chanu TM. Adoption level of IPM practices in cabbage and cauliflower growers of Manipur. Indian Research Journal on Extension and Education. 2012;12(2):34-37.
18. Sabbour MM, Sahab AF Efficacy of some microbial control agents against cabbage pests in Egypt. Pakistan J Biol Sci. 2005;8(10):1351–1356.
19. Shivalingaswamy TMS, Satpathy S, Banerjee MK. Estimation of crop losses due to insect pests in vegetables, (In) Resource Management in Plant Protection, Vol-I. Sarat Babu B, Vara Prasad K S, Anita K Rao R D, Chakraborty S K and Chandukar PS (eds); 2002.
20. Weinberger K, Srinivasan R Farmers' management of cabbage and cauliflower pests in India and their approaches to crop protection. Journal of Asia Pacific Entomology. 2009;12(4):253-259.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114610>