

*International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*

*Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 835-845, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.108733 ISSN: 2320-7035*

# **Growth Response of Wheat as Affected by Different Nutrient Sources and NPK Levels under Vertisols**

**Megha Vishwakarma a\*, P. S. Kulhare <sup>a</sup> , G. S. Tagore <sup>a</sup> and A. S. Gontia <sup>a</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) India.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214052

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108733

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 24/08/2023 Accepted: 31/10/2023 Published: 02/11/2023*

# **ABSTRACT**

Growth analysis such as crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), leaf area index (LAI) and net assimilation rate (NAR) are the most important traits in prediction of yield. Keeping in this view a field experiment was conducted to estimate growth response of wheat as affected by different nutrient sources and NPK levels under Vertisols in split plot design with 3 replications at the experimental field of JNKVV, Jabalpur during winter (*Rabi*) 2019. The treatment comprised of 3 sources of nutrient  $M_1$ - Inorganic sources (NPK fertilizers),  $M_2$ - organic sources (FYM, vermicompost, biofertilizers Azotobacter and PSB) and M<sub>3</sub>- Integrated sources (50% Inorganic + 50% organic) as main treatments and 5 NPK levels  $S_1$ - control,  $S_2$ - 100% RDF,  $S_3$ - 150% RDF,  $S_4$ -200% RDF,  $S_5$ - Based on soil test value (STV) for target yield of 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> as sub treatments were replicated thrice. The results showed that among the different sources of nutrients, maximum growth responses was found with inorganic sources of nutrient, which was significant over organic sources of nutrient followed by integrated sources of nutrient. All the growth characters (LAI, NAR,

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: meghavishwakarma007@gmail.com;*

RGR and NAR) were higher at high fertility (200% RDF) which was found significant over 100% RDF and STV based value and at par with 150% RDF. Thus, from the present investigation concluded that the adequate supply of plant nutrient in inorganic sources with 150% RDF levels helps in better growth of wheat which ultimately helps in higher yield.

*Keywords: Leaf area index; net assimilation rate; relative growth rate.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Progressive growth in the human population is a fact, and it will reach at least nine billion by 2050 [1]. The food gap can be covered by several actions, but the most important and effective are both the increase in yields of crops, and area of arable soils [2]. In the past, the first factor was responsible for 55%-60% increase in the food production [3]. Wheat *(Triticum aestivum L.)* is the most important cereal crop in world and is the staple food for humans [2]. Wheat is one of the most important crops in the world that can cover the food gap [4]. The yielding potential of this crop is high. But the world average yield of wheat is drastically lower and amounts to only 3.2 t ha-<sup>1</sup>. Nutrient management has played an important role in increasing the productivity of this crop. Fertilizers have an axial role in enhancing the growth and production in developing countries especially after the introduction of high yielding and fertilizer responsive crop cultivars [5]. In commercial production, quantity's of NPK fertilizers is very important factor affecting plant growth and production, especially the balance among N, P and K in soil [6]. Application of optimum dose of nutrients with appropriate method is considered as a key to success in increasing yield of any crop [7]. Therefore, application of fertilizers might be a successful tool for improving the agrochemical conditions of the soil. It could induce simulative effect on plant growth and productivity, especially with applying chemical fertilizer [6]. The 'Green Revolution' in India has increased the yields tremendously, however, it served as a mixed blessing, as on one hand ambitious use of agrochemicals boosted the food grain production and on the other hand, it destroyed the agricultural ecosystem [8]. The farmers use chemical fertilizers to increase crop production as they are more economical, affordable, easy to use and quick in response [9]. No doubt, the use of chemical fertilizers is the quickest way of boosting crop production, but their increasing prices, soil health deterioration, sustainability and pollution considerations in general have led to renewed interest in the use of organic manures [8]. Use of organics alone does not result in

spectacular increase in crop yields due to their low nutrient status but the supplementary and complementary use of such sources is known to enhance the utilization efficiency of fertilizers [10]. So, there is a need to draw a mid-way between organic and inorganic extremities that may sustain crop yields without deteriorating soil fertility and/or productivity [9].This can only be maintained at sustainable level by nutrients via integrated approach [11]. Combined application of organic and inorganic nutrient sources improved synergism and synchronization between nutrient release and plant recovery thus resulted in better crop growth and yield [12]. Growth is a vital function of plants and is an indication of a gradual increase in number and size of cells [13]. Growth analysis such as crop growth rate, relative growth rate and leaf area index are the most important traits in prediction of yield [14]. Growth analysis is a suitable method for plant response to different environmental conditions during plant life also observed significant positive interaction between fertilizer treatments and physiological stages of wheat growth [15, 16]. The allocation and partition of photosynthates in plants can be better understood by using by using the technique of growth analysis of plants throughout their entire cycle or even part of it. Growth analysis is a laborious technique, but relatively simple and easy to perform using a few pieces of equipment. It basically consists of determining the leaf area of plants and the dry mass of each organ of the entire plant, normally every week [17]. With the obtention of the leaf area and the dry mass of the different plant organs, the instantaneous or average physiological indicators of growth such as crop growth rate, relative growth rate, net assimilation rate, specific leaf area, leaf area ratio and leaf area duration can be determined [18]. Many researchers reported that the use of balanced fertilizers have a promising role in growth and development of crop plants which resulted in improved quality and quantity of the agricultural produce [15]. Therefore, this study was conducted to identify the most effective source of nutrient and NPK levels for wheat to enhance physiological growth and ultimately higher productivity.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Experiment Details**

A field experiment was carried out in the research field of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur during the 2018- 2019. The soil of the experimental site was Typic Haplustert, clay in texture neutral in reaction, non calcareous, medium in organic carbon content, medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium and low in DTPA extractable Zn. The treatment comprised of 3 sources of nutrient M1 chemical,  $M_2$ - organic and  $M_3$ - integrated (chemical and organic) as main treatments and 5 fertility levels  $S_1$ - control,  $S_2$ - 100% RDF,  $S_3$ -150% RDF,  $S_{4}$ - 200% RDF,  $S_{5}$ - Based on soil test value for target yield of  $6t$  ha<sup>-1</sup> as sub treatments were replicated thrice in a split plot design. The wheat crop (GW-366) sown on with spacing of 22.5 cm row to row. The observations were recorded from each plot at 21, 45, 65 and 90 DAS of wheat.

#### **2.2 Growth Analysis**

For computing LAI, leaves from 5 plants were collected and cleaned with water and then wiped with tissue paper. Using a leaf area meter (Model LICOR 3000, USA), the area of fresh green leaves was measured and expressed in  $cm<sup>2</sup>/plant$ . While placing the leaf on the roller utmost care was taken to avoid overlapping of the leaf. Plants from one-meter row length were cut near the ground surface for dry-matter estimation from each treatment, at 21, 45, 60 and 90 DAS. The whole plants along with leaf in 1  $m<sup>2</sup>$ area were collected and shade dried for 7 days and then oven dried at  $65\pm5^{\circ}$ C for one week. The dry weight was recorded with the help of electronic balance and expressed in  $g/m^2$ . The recorded dry weight data at 21, 45, 60 and 90 DAS was used to calculate the mean leaf area index (LAI), crop growth rate (CGR), relative growth rate (RGR), net assimilation rate (NAR). The mean LAI, CGR, RGR and NAR worked out with the following formulas:

#### **1. Leaf area index (LAI)**

Leaf area index (LAI) =  $\frac{\text{Total leaf area}}{\text{Unit land area}}$ 

# **2. Crop growth rate (CGR) g m<sup>2</sup> day**

$$
CGR = \frac{W2-W1}{P(t2-t1)}
$$

Where,  $P =$  Ground area,  $W_1 =$  Dry weight of plant<sup>1</sup> m<sup>2</sup> recorded at time  $t_1$ , W<sub>2</sub> = Dry weight of plant<sup>1</sup> m<sup>2</sup> recorded at time  $t_2$ ,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  were the interval of time, respectively and it is expressed in g  $m^2$  day

## **3. Relative growth rate (RGR) (g-1 g -1 day)**

$$
RGR = \frac{(\ln W2 - \ln W1)}{(t2 - t1)}
$$

Where, In = Natural log,  $W_1$  = Dry weight of plant<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>2</sup> recorded at time  $t_1$ , W<sub>2</sub> = Dry weight of plant<sup>1</sup> m<sup>2</sup> recorded at time  $t_2$ ,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  were the interval of time, respectively and is expressed as  $g^{-1} g^{-1}$  day

# **4. Net Assimilation Rate (NAR) (g m-2 day-1 )**

$$
NAR = \frac{(W2 - W1)(\log L2 - \log L1)}{(t2 - t1)(L1 - L2)}
$$

Where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are total leaf are at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  respectively. W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> are total dry wt. time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  respectively.

#### **2.3 Statistical Analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS for analysis of variance and Fisher's LSD multiple range test was employed for the means comparisons.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Leaf Area Index (LAI)**

The perusal of the results in Table 1 suggested that the highest LAI of 0.75, 0.88, 1.22 and 1.87, respectively observed in treatment where inorganic source of nutrient  $(M_1)$  was applied, it was significantly superior over integrated sources of nutrient  $(M_3)$  and organic sources of nutrient  $(M<sub>2</sub>)$  at 21, 45, 60 and 90 DAS. Application of  $M<sub>3</sub>$ with the LAI of 0.67, 0.86, 0.95 and 1.67, respectively was also found significantly superior over organic sources of nutrient (0.39, 0.47, 0.55 and 1.61, respectively) at each stage. The increase was 92.30, 87.23, 121.81 and 16.14 per cent & 71.79, 57.37, 94.54 and 3.72 per cent respectively, due to  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  over  $M_2$  at all the respective stages.

Among the nutrient levels, LAI increased from 0. 50, 0.65, 0.74 and 1.58 in  $S_1$  (control) to 0.71, 0.96, 1.23 and 2.06 in  $S_4$  (200% RDF) at 21, 45, 60 and 90 DAS, respectively. Application of  $S_4$ (200% RDF) obtained significantly higher LAI over  $S_2$  (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) and it was on par with  $S_3$  (150% RDF) at each growth stage. However, the application of  $S_3$ (150% RDF) significantly increased LAI over  $S_2$ (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) at each growth stages except  $S_3$  at milking stage. Application of  $S_2$  (100% RDF) was also found significant over  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) at 21, 45 and 60 DAS. The magnitude of increase was 42.0, 57.37, 89.23 and 66.12 per cent, respectively & 38.0, 45.90, 70.76 and 37.09 per cent, respectively due to application of  $S<sub>4</sub>$  (200%) RDF) and  $S_3$  (150% RDF) over control at all growth stages.

Interaction effect of different sources of nutrient and NPK levels were found to be significant overall growth stages. At 21 DAS, maximum value found in combination of  $M_1S_4$  (0.92) which was found significant over all combinations but found at par with  $M_1S_3(0.87)$  and  $M_3S_4(0.87)$ . All levels of different sources of nutrient were found significant over their control. At 45 DAS, highest LAI found with  $M_1S_4$  (1.22) which was found significant over all treatments and followed by  $M_1S_3$  (1.06),  $M_3S_4$  (1.09) and  $M_3S_3$  (1.09) these treatments were found at par among themselves. At 60 DAS, maximum values found in  $M_3S_4$ (1.86) which was found significant over all combinations. However, the application of  $M_1S_3$ (1.58) also found significant over all combinations. At 90 DAS, maximum values found under  $M_1S_4$  (2.47) which was at par with  $M_1S_5$  (2.16),  $M_3S_4$  (1.98),  $M_1S_5$  (1.90) and  $M_1S_3$ (1.86) followed by remaining treatments.

The leaf area (LA) is a central factor for plant growth studies because it represents the surface that receives radiation, triggering the photosynthetic process on which the production of plant biomass depends and consequently, agricultural production [19, 20]. Increase leaf area in inorganic fertilizers and different NPK levels may be due to nitrogen application boosted the photosynthetic rate, leaf expansion and leaf persistency. As leaf area directly relates to the rate as well as duration of leaf expansion, so LAI finds to be sensitive to N availability to crop plants [21]. Soldati et al. [22] reported that an increase in dry matter accumulation leads to an increase in leaf area because proportion of dry matter allocated to leaves remain constant while an increase in leaf area leads to an increase in rate of dry matter accumulation because light interception is directly related to leaf area during this phase of development. N at highest rates increases the tissue formation with better plant growth which increases its concentration in leaves and results in higher LAI. As leaf area directly relates to the rate as well as duration of leaf expansion, so LAI finds to be sensitive to N availability to crop plants [21].

## **3.2 Crop Growth Rate (CGR)**

Data has been presented in Table 2 indicated that the treatment where inorganic source of nutrient  $(M_1)$  was applied registered the highest crop growth rate (CGR) of 1.35, 3.13 and 21.45  $g^1$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively which was significantly better than integrated sources of nutrient  $(M_3)$ and organic sources of nutrient  $(M<sub>2</sub>)$  at 21-45, 45-60 and 60-90 DAS. The CGR of 1.24, 3.07 and 20.52 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> recorded in M<sub>3</sub> was also found significantly superior over  $M<sub>2</sub>$  which registered the lowest CGR (1.17, 2.74 and 20.01  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), respectively at each stage. The response of increase was 15.38, 14.23 and 7.19 per cent respectively and 5.98, 12.04 and 2.54 per cent, respectively due to  $M_1$  and  $M_3$  over  $M_2$  at each stage.

Among the different levels of NPK the crop growth rate (CGR) increased from 1.07,2.38 and 19.43  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in  $S_1$  (control) to 1.38, 3.25 and 21.70  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in S<sub>4</sub> (200%) RDF) at each stage. However, the application of  $S_4$  (200% RDF) significantly increased over  $S_2$ (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) at all growth stages and found at par with  $S_3$  (150%) RDF) which registered the CGR of 1.34, 3.17 and 21.03  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. Effect of S<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) for CGR was significantly similar with each other at each stage. The increase was up to 28.97, 36.55 and 11.68 percent respectively and 25.32, 33.19 and 8.23 per cent, respectively due to  $S_4$  (200%) RDF) and  $S_3$  (150% RDF) over control at each stage. The lowest crop growth rate (CGR) values were recorded during early vegetative growth stages but increased to maximum during the flowering stage which is like the reports of other workers [23, 24, 5]. The significant increase in CGR due to combined NPK fertilizer nutrition might be owing to better availability of nutrients and effective conversion of macronutrients at the site of photosynthesis into pigments [25]. In fact, the combined function of NPK nutrients might have maximum photosynthate accumulation towards the leaf biomass, because in the initial stage, leaf is the more powerful sink than any other plant parts in most of the crops [26]. Thus, the number of leaves plant<sup>1</sup> justified the ultimate final expression of growth parameters of the growing plants.

Kumar et [27] reported that increasing the fertilizer doses 150% RDF have brought increment in growth indices (plant height, tillers, DM, LAI, CGR, RGR, NAR, photosynthetic rate).

M/S	LAI															
	<b>21 DAS</b>				<b>45 DAS</b>				<b>60 DAS</b>				<b>90 DAS</b>			
	M1	M2	M3	Mean	M1	M2	M <sub>3</sub>	Mean	M1	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	<b>Mean</b>	M1	M2	M3	Mean
S <sub>1</sub>	0.60	0.37	0.54	0.50	0.68	0.42	0.74	0.61	0.74	0.45	0.77	0.65	1.35	1.35	1.03	1.24
<b>S2</b>	0.71	0.45	0.68	0.61	0.75	0.49	0.78	0.67	1.41	0.52	0.83	0.92	1.79	1.48	1.53	1.60
S <sub>3</sub>	0.87	0.46	0.73	0.69	1.06	0.54	1.09	0.89	1.58	0.55	1.20	1.11	1.86	1.58	1.66	1.70
S <sub>4</sub>	0.92	0.40	0.81	0.71	1.22	0.56	1.09	0.96	1.25	0.59	1.86	1.23	2.47	1.72	1.98	2.06
S <sub>5</sub>	0.65	0.27	0.56	0.49	0.70	0.33	0.59	0.54	1.10	0.64	0.70	0.81	1.90	1.90	2.16	1.99
<b>Mean</b>	0.75	0.39	0.67	0.60	0.88	0.47	0.86	0.74	1.22	0.55	1.07	0.95	1.87	1.61	1.67	1.72
<b>SEm</b> ±	0.028				0.027				0.023				0.088			
$CD(p=0.05)$	0.110				0.106				0.089				<b>NS</b>			
<b>SEm</b> ±	0.023				0.025				0.026				0.069			
$CD(p=0.05)$	0.066				0.073				0.075				0.202			
Int I	0.039				0.043				0.045				0.120			
	0.114				0.126				0.130				0.349			
Int II	0.066				0.066				0.060				0.206			
	0.193				0.194				0.176				0.601			

**Table 1. Effect of different sources and NPK levels on LAI at different growth stages**



## **Table 2. Effect of different sources and NPK levels on CGR at different growth stages**



## **Table 3. Effect of different sources and NPK levels on RGR at different growth stages**



## **Table 4. Effect of different sources and NPK levels on NAR at different growth stages**

## **3.3 Relative Growth Rate (RGR)**

Data presented in the Table (3) revealed that the significantly maximum value of relative growth rate (RGR) of 0.0683, 0.0236 and 0.0178  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day $<sup>1</sup>$  was recorded with the application of</sup> inorganic sources of nutrient  $(M_1)$  which proved its significant superiority over integrated sources of nutrient  $(M_3)$  and organic sources of nutrient  $(M<sub>2</sub>)$  at 21-45, 45-60 and 60-90 DAS. The addition of  $M<sub>3</sub>$  also gave significantly higher value of RGR which was 0.0225, 0.0631 and 0.0169 g- $1 \text{ m}^2$  day<sup>1</sup>, respectively over M<sub>2</sub> with the lowest RGR of 0.0603, 0.0214 and 0.0159  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively at each stage. Application of  $M_1$  and M<sup>3</sup> accounted 13.26, 10.28, 11.94 per cent, respectively and 4.64, 5.14 and 6.28 per cent, respectively increase over  $M<sub>2</sub>$  at each stage.

The RGR increases from 0.0588, 0.0183 and 0.0153 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in S<sub>1</sub> (control) to 0.0673, 0.0247 and 0.0181  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in S<sub>4</sub> (200%) RDF) at 21-45, 45-60 and 60-90 DAS. However, the application of  $S_3$  (150% RDF) and  $S_4$  (200% RDF) significantly increased RGR over  $S<sub>2</sub>$  (100%) RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) at each growth stages. Highest RGR was obtained in  $S_4$  (200%) RDF), which was significantly similar with  $S_3$ (150% RDF) with the RGR of 0.0668, 0.0242 and 0.0177 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Effect of S<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  (STV based RDF) was found at par with each other at each stage. The corresponding increase was 14.45, 34.97 and 13.83 per cent, respectively and 13.60, 32.24 and 11.32 per cent, respectively due to  $S<sub>4</sub>$  (200%) RDF) and  $S_3$  (150% RDF) at each stage.

Relative growth rate (RGR) expresses the dry weight increase in time interval in relation to the initial weight N fertilizers, which might be due to the high concentrations of nutrients in fairly fertilized plots causing production of more leaves which in turn contributes for the production of high dry matter which resulted in more RGR [28]. The phenomena of RGR tend to be low again during later stage and negative towards maturity considerably due the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  lost by respiration, which occurs uninterruptedly in all metabolically active tissues, was greater than that assimilated by photosynthesis which occurs only in cells with chlorophyll when exposed to light [29]. These results are in agreement with those obtained by [30, 31, 32].

## **3.4 Net Assimilation Rate (NAR)**

Data presented in Table 4 indicated that the among the different sources, inorganic sources of nutrient showed significant superiority with the NAR of 0.0176, 0.0141 and 0.0138  $g^{1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>

over integrated sources of nutrient  $(M_3)$  and organic source of nutrients  $(M<sub>2</sub>)$  at 21-45, 45-60 and 60-90 DAS. Application of  $M_3$  registered comparatively higher NAR of 0.0168, 0.0132 and 0.0130  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> over M<sub>2</sub>, which have lowest NAR of 0.0158, 0.0129 and 0.0122  $g^1$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at each stage. Increase in NAR of 11.39, 2.32 and 13.11 per cent respectively and 6.32, 9.30 and 6.55 respectively in response to  $M_1$  and  $M_3$ over  $M<sub>2</sub>$  at each stage.

The NAR increased from 0.0153, 0.0118 and 0.0113  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in S<sub>1</sub> (control) to 0.0178, 0.0147 and 0.0143  $g^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in S<sub>4</sub> (200%) RDF) at 21-45, 45-60 and 60-90 DAS. However, the application of  $S_3$  (150% RDF) and  $S_4$  (200%) RDF) significantly increased NAR over  $S<sub>2</sub>$  (100%) RDF) and  $S_5$  at each growth stages. The treatment receiving  $S_4$  (200% RDF) obtained the maximum NAR which was significantly similar with  $S_3$  (150% RDF), with the resultant value of 0.0172, and 0.0143 and 0.0139  $g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>$  day<sup>-1</sup>. The NAR of  $S_2$  (100% RDF) and  $S_5$  were found at par with each other. The increase in NAR over control was 16.33, 24.57 and 26.54 per cent respectively and 12.41, 23.00 and 21.18 per cent, respectively in response to  $S_4$  (200% RDF) and  $S_3$  (150% RDF) at each stage.

Interaction effect was nonsignificant at 21-45 DAS but found significant at 45-60 DAS and 60- 90 DAS. At 45-60 DAS, maximum NAR found with the application of  $M_1S_4$  (0.0160 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which was found at par with  $M_1S_3$  (0.0157 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_3S_4$  (0.0146 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) followed by remaining treatments. At 60-90 DAS maximum values found under  $M_1S_4$  (0.0158) which was found over all treatment but found at par with  $M_1S_3$  (0.0158 g<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_3S_4$  (0.0144) followed by remaining treatments. NAR is a measure of the rate of dry matter accumulation per unit leaf area. An increase in NAR during the growing season is indicative of response of the photosynthetic apparatus to an increase in demand for assimilates to afford rapid growth of the grain fraction [24]. Portes reported that [29] NAR decrease with maturity because the loss of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by respiration exceeded the amount assimilated for photosynthesis. Elevated nitrogen supply can boost dry matter content through production of photo-assimilates via leaves which is the centre of plant growth during vegetative stage and later distribution of assimilates to the reproductive organs [24]. The low net assimilation rate might be due to restricted availability of essential nutrients and decreased photosynthetic efficiency [33].

# **4. CONCLUSION**

A result obtained from present investigation indicates that application of different sources of nutrients and varied levels of NPK fertilizers significantly influences the growth and growth attributes of wheat. Adequate supply of plant nutrient in inorganic sources with 150% RDF levels helps in better photosynthesis and growth of wheat which helps in higher yield.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I am thankful to JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) for providing financial and other support.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Hunter MC, Smith RG, Schipanski ME, Atwood LW, Mortensen DA. Agriculture in 2050: Recalibrating targets for sustainable intensification. Bioscience. 2017;67(4):386- 391.
- 2. Alexandre C, Mamadou B, Rebouh NY, Valentinovich VV, Polityko PM. Nitrogen Fertilizer Influence on Winter Wheat *(Triticum aestivum L.)* Grain Yield and Grain Quality Production under Non-Chernozem Soils of Central Russia: A Review. Agrotechnology. 2022; 11:288.
- 3. Ray DK, Ramankutty N, Mueller ND, West PC, Foley JA. Recent patterns of crop yield growth and stagnation. Nat Commun. 2012; 3(1):1-7.
- 4. Mandic V, Krnjaja V, Tomic Z, Bijelic Z, Simic A, Ruzic Muslic D, et al. Nitrogen fertilizer influence on wheat yield and use efficiency under different environmental conditions. Chilean journal of agricultural research. 2015; 75(1): 92-97.
- 5. Kumar N, Mankotia BS, Manuja S, Pareek B, Kumar P, Sharma R and Mandian IS. Effect of varying fertility levels on physiological aspects of rice cultivars in the Northwestern Himalayas. Journal of Crop and Weed, 2020; 16(3): 143-147.
- 6. Abdelaziz ME, Hannfy Ahmed AH, Bekhit RS, Pokluda R. Response of growth patterns in sweet pepper to different NPK levels. Acta univ. agric. et silvic. Mendel. Brun. 2008;1:241–244.
- 7. Pandey D, Bhatnagar A, Singh G and Samartha. Growth response of intercropped maize *(Zea mays L.)* and urdbean *(Vigna mungo L.)* under different planting patterns and nutrient management practices. Pantnagar Journal of Research. 2019; 17(2): 99-105
- 8. Verma RK, Shivay YS and Ghasal PS. Effect of different cropping systems and nutrient sources on growth, productivity and economics of direct seeded basmati rice *(Oryza sativa).*, Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017; 87 (10): 1377–83.
- 9. Faisal M, Khan I, Ashraf U, Shahzad T, Hussain S, Shahid M, Abid M, Ullah S. Effects of organic and inorganic manures on maize and their residual impact on soil physico-chemical properties. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2017; 17 (1): 22-32.
- 10. Yadav RL. Factor productivity trends in a ricewheat cropping system under longterm use of chemical fertilizers. Exptl. Agric. 1998; 34 : 1-8.
- 11. Priya S, Kaushik MK, Sharma SK, Kumawat P. Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Productivity of Hybrid Maize *(Zea mays L.)*. Annals of Biology. 2014; 30 (1) : 106-108.
- 12. Huang S, Weijian ZW, Yu X, Huang Q. Effects of long-term fertilization on corn productivity and its sustainability in an Ultisol of southern China. Agri. Ecosyst. Environ. 2010;138:44–50.
- 13. Khan MA, Abid M, Hussain N, Imran T. Growth and analysis of wheat *(Triticum aestivium L.)* cultivars under saline conditions. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2005;7 (3): 508– 510.
- 14. Tafes B, Alemayehu Y. Physiological growth indices of durum wheat *(Triticum turgidum L. Var. durum)* as affected by rates of blended and nitrogen fertilizers, American Journal of Life Sciences. 2020; 8(4): 52-59.
- 15. Tesar MB. Physiological basis of crop growth and development. American Society of Agronomy. Madison. Wisconsin. 1984: 291-321.
- 16. Mandal A, Patra AK, Singh D, Swarup A and Masto RE. Effect of long-term application of manure and fertilizer on biological and biochemical activities in soil during crop development stages.

Bioresource Technology. 2007; 98: 3585- 3592.

- 17. Portes TA, Carvalho SIC. Crescimento e alocação de fitomassa de cinco gramíneas forrageiras em condições de Cerrado. Revista de Biologia Neotropical. 2009; 6 (2): 1-14.
- 18. Portes TA, Castro JLG. Análise de crescimento de plantas: um programa computacional auxiliar. Revista Brasileira Fisiologia Vegetal. 1991; 3: 53-56.
- 19. Srinivasan V. Decreasing, not increasing, leaf area will raise crop yields under global atmospheric change. Global Change Biology. 2017; 23 (4) :1626–1635.
- 20. Reis EM. Relationship between soybean plant defoliation and Asian soybean rust severity. Summa Phytopathologica. 2019; 45(3): 252-254.
- 21. Wajid A, Ahmad A, Awais M, Habib-ur-Rahman M, Sammar A, Bashir U, Arshad MN, Sana Ullah, Irfan M, Gull U. Nitrogen Requirements of Promising Cotton Cultivars in Arid Climate of Multan. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2017; 33(3): 397- 405.
- 22. Soldati A, Stehli A, Stamp P. Temperature adaptation of tropical highland maize *(Zea mays L.)* during early growth and in controlled conditions. Crop Science. 1984; 24:28-32.
- 23. Awal MA, Khan MAH. Mulch Induced Ecophysiological growth and Yield of maize. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 20003; (1): 61-64.
- 24. Valero A, Juan D, Maturano M, Ramírez AA. Tarjuelo Martín-Benito JM, Ortega Álvarez JF. Growth and nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated maize in a semiarid region as affected by nitrogen fertilization. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2005; 3(1): 134-144.
- 25. Prajapati N and Rawat GS. Effect of tillage practices and fertility levels on growth analysis, yield of clusterbean and residual

soil nutrients. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019; 7(5): 3255- 3258.

- 26. Singh BV, Singh Rakesh. Effect of sources of nutrients on physiological growth parameters and yield of onion varieties. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2014; 16(4):362-366.
- 27. Kumar R, Singh A, Yadav RB, Kumar A, Kumar S, Shahi UP and Singh AP. Growth, development and yield response of rice *(Oryza sativa L.)* as influenced by efficient nitrogen management under subtropical climatic condition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; SP1: 791-797.
- 28. Nataraja TH, Halepyati A S, Pujari B T and Desai B K. Influence of phosphorus levels and micronutrients on physiological parameters of wheat *(Triticum durum Dcsf.).* Karnataka Journal Agricultural Science. 2006; 19 (3): 685-687.
- 29. Portes ATD, Araújo BRBD, Melo HCD. Growth analysis, photosynthate partition and nodulation in bean and soybean. Ciência Rural, 2022;52(10):1-14.
- 30. Azarpour E, Moraditochaee M, Bozorgi HR. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer management on growth analysis of rice cultivars. International Journal of Biosciences. 2014;4:35-47.
- 31. Paul SK, Isam MS, Sarkar MAR, Das KR, Islam SMM. Impact of variety and levels of nitrogen on the growth performance of HYV transplant aman rice. Progressive Agriculture. 2016; 27:32-38.
- 32. Salem AKM, Elkhoby WM, Khalifa Abou, Ceesay M. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and seedling ageon inbred and hybrid rice varieties. American-Eurasian Journal Agricultural and Environmental Science. 2011; (5):640- 646.
- 33. Datta SC. Plant Physiology. Wiley Eastern Ltd. New Age Intl. Ltd. New Delhi, India; 1994.

\_ *© 2023 Vishwakarma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](about:blank), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108733*