

Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 569-575, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.107431 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Unveiling the Synergistic Impact of N & P Levels Alone and in Conjunction with Bio-Inoculants (*Azotobacter* and PSB) on Growth and Yield of Wheat

# Harsh Gupta <sup>a\*</sup>, U. S. Mishra <sup>a</sup>, Pawan Sirothia <sup>a</sup>, Ashutosh Mishra <sup>a</sup>, Veerendra Kumar Patel <sup>a</sup> and Shikha Rao <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Natural Resources Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna, 485334, (M.P.), India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214011

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

Received: 07/08/2023 Accepted: 12/10/2023

Published: 26/10/2023

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107431

**Original Research Article** 

# ABSTRACT

The experiment conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2022-23 at Rajoula Agriculture Farm of Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya in Satna, Madhya Pradesh, investigated the growth characteristics and yield attributes of wheat under various treatments. The experiment, utilizing a randomized block design with 3 replications and 13 treatments, including different levels of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and bio-inoculants (*Azotobacter* and PSB). The wheat variety RAJ-4238 was grown as test crop. Based on experimental findings result revealed significant effects on wheat growth and yield attributes. Notably, plant height (91.80 cm), tiller count/ plant (7.47), and spike number/plant (14.27) at different stages were significantly improved by specific treatments, with the treatment containing T<sub>10</sub> (100% N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100% K) consistently



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: harsh.g.gupta24@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 569-575, 2023

producing the most favorable results. Moreover, yield attributes such as number of spikelets/plant (599), number of grains/plant (550), test weight (53.87 gm), straw yield (51.14 q/ha) and grain yield (38.33 q/ha) were all significantly influenced by the same treatment combination  $T_{10}$  (100% N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100% K). However harvest index (44.37 %) was significantly influenced by the treatment  $T_{12}$  (50% N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100% K). These findings emphasize the potential for tailored nutrient and bio-inoculant management practices to enhance wheat production in the region.

Keywords: Azotobacter; bio-inoculants; PSB; production; wheat; yield.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) is one of the world's most important leading cereal crop after rice (*Oryza sativa L*), as well as in India, it can be grown in broad range of altitudes and latitudes. It is one of the most important and extensively cultivated cereal crops in Indian sub-continent. India is the world's second largest wheat producer after China surpassing Russia and India share of around 13.53% of world total production [1].

According to Government of India, in year 2021-22 all the growing wheat provinces, Uttar Pradesh stand at first position in production with 33.95 million tonnes followed by Madhya Pradesh with 22.42 million tonnes of production, Punjab at 3rd position with 14.82 million tonnes of production, Haryana at 4th place with 10.45 million tonnes of production, Rajasthan at 5th position with 9.48 million tonnes, Bihar stand at 6th position with 6.22 million tonnes of production, Gujarat and Maharashtra at 7th and 8th position with production of 3.33 and 247 million tonnes respectively and rest of state contribute about 3.69 million tonnes of production [2].

Nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient and has significant role in plant growth. It is a constituent of protein and amino acids [3]. Nitrogen application also increases the rate of grain filling [4]. Nitrogen plays a very vital role in the process of grain filling [5], increase leaf area of the crop and may result in increased dry matter production by intercepting more sun light [6]. Low soil nitrogen contents result in low protein content in wheat grain [7].

Phosphorus is an integral nutrient element in the plant system [8]. It is known as "key of life" because in the deficiency of this single element, plants cannot complete their life cycles [9]. Phosphorus makes 0.15–0.50% of the dry weight in crop plants, and is the most important limiting macronutrient after N for plant growth and development. It is a key constituent of important

macromolecules (e.g., nucleic acids, phospholipids, ATP) and is intimately involved in a wide range of physiological and biochemical processes as it controls key enzymatic reactions (through reversible phosphorylation), thus regulating a number of metabolic pathways [10]; [11]. Phosphorus uptake and utilization also plays a vital role in determination of final crop yield [12].

Azotobacter inoculation in wheat results in increased plant height, tillers, and ear length and grain yield of wheat over non inoculated control [13]. Azotobacter is a free living  $N_2$  fixing bacterium. It can successfully grow in the rhizospheric zone of wheat, maize, rice, sorghum, sugarcane, cotton, potato, tomato, brinjal, cabbage and many others and fix 10-20 kg N ha<sup>1</sup> cropping season [14]. Azotobacter has the ability to produce antifungal antibiotics and fungistatic compounds against pathogens like Fusarium, Alternaria, Trichoderma [15]. Inoculation with salinity tolerant Azotobacter strains significantly improved the plant biomass and grain yield of wheat. The inoculation with Azotobacter strain ST-24 resulted in attaining 89.9 cms plant height, 6.1 g seed yield, 12.0 g shoot dry weight and 0.7 % total nitrogen at fertilization dose of 120 kg N ha-1 [16]. Phosphate solubilizing bacterias (PSB) are beneficial microorganisms which have the capacity to solubilize organic compounds by the production of phosphatases such as phytase into inorganic phosphorus compounds. The major phosphate solubilizing genera include Bacillus and Pseudomonas, they constitute about 1 to 50% of the total microbial population in soil [17]. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) as biofertilizers have been found effective in solubilizing the fixed soil P and applied phosphates resulting in higher crop yields [18].

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **2.1 Experimental Location**

The experiment was carried out at Rajoula Agriculture farm, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot

Gramodava Vishwavidvalava Chitrakoot Satna (M.P.). This area is under semi- arid and subtropical region of Madhya Pradesh between 25°14' North latitude and 80°85' East longitude. The altitude of Chitrakoot is about 190-210 meter above mean sea level. During cropping period of corresponding mean wheat. the weekly temperature fluctuations were observed during Rabi season in year 2023, maximum and minimum temperature ranged between 36 °C and 4°C, respectively. Mean weekly maximum and minimum relative humidity ranged between 100 and 15 per cent, respectively. The total rainfall during crop season were recorded 0.50 mm

#### 2.2 Treatments and Design

The experiment was conducted with different levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus with combinations of bio-inoculants and total 13 treatment combination laid out in 3 replication under, "Randomized Block Design". There were 39 plots and the gross size of each plot was 3.25m x 5.25m and the net plot size was 5.0m x 3m.

#### 2.3 Fertilization

Recommended dose of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium applied (120kg/ha: 60kg/ha: 40kg /ha) in the form of Urea (46% N) and Muriate of potash (60% K<sub>2</sub>O) and phosphorus applied as per the treatments in from of DAP [P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (46%), N (18%)] obtained from instructional farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) was applied in each plot. Nitrogen is give in three splits at first application one third of nitrogen, full dose of phosphorus and potash were applied as basal application. Remaining nitrogen applied at 21 days and 45 days after sowing of wheat crop in the form of urea.

#### 2.4 Application of Bio-Inoculants

In the experiment bio-inoculants i.e., *Azotobacter* and PSB was used for this investigation. Required quantity of healthy, bold, unbroken and fully developed seeds of wheat variety Raj-4238 was inoculated separately with liquid *Azotobacter* (@20ml/kg seed) and PSB (@20ml/kg seed) before sowing as per treatments. The seeds were spread on a polythene sheet after proper mixing uniformly with hands for proper coating. After drying in shade, the seed were used for sowing immediately.

# 2.5 Harvesting, Threshing and Cleaning

When maturity signs were recognized, harvesting began. It was hand-harvested with the aid of the local name hansiya (sickle). Before harvesting, five plants were removed from each plot that had already been tagged in order to record post-harvest observations. The harvested wheat was bundle one day after harvesting according to the plot, taken to the threshing yard. The threshing and cleaning processes were carried out independently for each plot, 5 Days after harvesting on 1 April 2023 due to sudden change in local weather condition and final winnowing and grain weight per plot was recorded 8-10 days after harvesting between, 5 April 2023.

Treatments	Details of Treatments		
T <sub>0</sub>	Control		
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF, [N:P:K: @120:60:40]		
T <sub>2</sub>	75% N & P + 100% K		
T₃	50% N & P + 100% K		
Τ <sub>4</sub>	100% N & P + PSB + 100% K		
T₅	75% N & P + PSB + 100% K		
T <sub>6</sub>	50% N & P + PSB + 100% K		
Τ <sub>7</sub>	100% N & P + Azotobacter + 100% K		
T <sub>8</sub>	75% N & P + Azotobacter + 100% K		
Тэ	50% N & P + Azotobacter + 100% K		
T <sub>10</sub>	100% N & P + PSB + <i>Azotobacter</i> + 100% K		
T <sub>11</sub>	75% N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100% K		
T <sub>12</sub>	50% N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100% K		

# 2.6 Statistical Analysis

The standard procedure by applying the techniques of analysis of variance in order to test the significance of the experimental results. Where "F" test was found significant at 5 percent level of significance, the critical difference (C.D.) for treatment means were worked out. In order to establish inter relationship between various components was also computed for yield attributing characters with yield using the formula described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Growth Parameters**

The growth characters of wheat presented in Table 2 were significantly influenced by the different treatments and plant height, no. of tillers and no. of spikes were significantly improved by different levels of N & P alone and along with bioinoculants (Azotobacter and PSB). Plant height at 90 days stage ranged from 72.27 to 91.80 and maximum plant height was recorded in the treatment T<sub>10</sub> (100 % N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100 % K) while minimum recorded in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control). Whereas number of tillers at 60 days stage ranged from 2.97 to 7.47. Treatment  $T_{10}$  (100 % N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100 % K) given highest number of tillers and lowest found in treatment combination T<sub>0</sub> (Control). The results of number of spike of wheat at 90 days stage ranged from 5.23 to 14.27 in different treatments. Treatment  $T_{10}$  (100 % N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100 % K) given the highest number of and lowest found in treatment combination T<sub>0</sub> (Control). The plant height increased to each higher level of fertility up to 100% RDF but it remained at par with 100% N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100% K. The increase in plant height with increase in fertility levels due to higher availability of nutrients to plant which might have enhanced growth substances and phytohormones. The higher nutrient availability in rhizosphere for plant growth at active vegetative stages which seem to have promoted metabolic activities [19]. Prolification of lateral root and root hairs that provided more surface area for nutrient and water absorption this might be due to increase in plant growth by combine inoculation of Azotobacter and PSB. Hence, plant height increased due to photosynthesis and production of assimilates which is enhanced by prolificated growth. The positive effects of application of Azotobacter and PSB in wheat on plant height

have also been reported by Bai et al [20]. Wu et al [21] and Madhu et al [22]. The highest number of tillers (60 DAS) and highest number of spikes (90 DAS) were observed in 100 % RDF with combine inoculation of Azotobacter + PSB over control and single inoculation. It is because biofertilizers improve growth might be due to increasing the supply or availability of plant nutrients. The inoculation of seed with nitrogen fixer have increased the concentration of Azotobacter in the rhizosphere and they fixed atmospheric and organic nitrogen in becterioeds and later on oxidized to nitrate form. Azotobacter increases the root development and plant growth might be due to excretion of vitamins, auxins and amino acids [23]. PSB produced organic acids like malic, succinic, glyoxalic, fumaric and critic acid, which have increased the mineralization of insoluble organic phosphorus to soluble Phosphorus there why increase in ability of P in soil [24]. Azotobacter and PSB application have beneficial effects on wheat and they could be attributed to their ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, phosphate solubilization and secretion of plant growth hormones [25]. The positive effects of application of Azotobacter and PSB in wheat on no. of tillers and no. of spike have also been reported by Jnawali et al [26] and Kaur et al [27] respectively.

# 3.2 Yield Attributes and Yield

The yield attributes and yield of wheat presented in Table 3 were significantly influenced by the different treatments and number of spikelets per plant, number of grains per plant, test weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index after harvesting were significantly improved bv different levels of N & P alone and along with bioinoculants (Azotobacter and PSB). The results of number of spikelets per plant of wheat after harvesting ranged from 263.93 to 599 in different treatments. The results of number of grains per plant of wheat after harvesting ranged from 187.33 to 550 in different treatments. The results of test weight (g) of wheat after harvesting ranged from 47.41 to 53.87 g. The straw yield ranged from 18.77 to 51.14 (g/ha) in different treatments. The treatment  $T_{10}$  (100 % N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100 % K) given the highest number of spikelets per plant, number of grains per plant, test weight, grain yield, straw yield and lowest number of spikelets per plant, number of grains per plant, test weight, grain yield, straw vield found in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control). The harvest index after harvesting ranged from 41.18 to 44.37 % in different treatments. The treatment T<sub>12</sub> (50% N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100% K) given the highest harvest index and lowest found in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control). The grain yield after harvesting ranged from 13.53 to 38.33 (q/ha) in different treatments. The treatment combination T<sub>10</sub> (100 % N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100 % K) given the highest seed yield and lowest found in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control). The yield attributing parameters viz. number of spikelets/plant, number of grain/plant, test weight (g), grain yield (q/ha), straw yield (q/ha) harvest index (%) and crop yield statistically significant. The combined

inoculation of *Azotobacter* and PSB works together to enhance nutrient availability and uptake by plants. *Azotobacter* converts atmospheric nitrogen into usable forms, while PSB makes phosphorus more accessible to plants. This dual action improves nutrient absorption, plant growth hormone production, and stress resistance, leading to better root systems and a balanced soil microbial community. As a result, this combine inoculation with RDF results, spikelet initiation, grain development, and overall crop yield. The highest

Table 2. Effect of different level of N and P alone and along with bio-inoculants (Azotobacter						
and PSB) on growth parameters						

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS	No. of tillers at 60 DAS	No. of spikes at 90 DAS 5.23	
To	72.27	3.30		
T <sub>1</sub>	85.87	4.87	6.00	
T <sub>2</sub>	85.33	4.47	8.13	
T <sub>3</sub>	87.33	4.57	9.03	
T <sub>4</sub>	91.47	5.20	9.67	
T <sub>5</sub>	89.07	5.47	8.53	
T <sub>6</sub>	86.53	5.67	8.53	
T <sub>7</sub>	87.67	6.07	8.93	
T <sub>8</sub>	88.07	6.80	12.53	
T9	86.73	5.27	6.93	
<b>T</b> <sub>10</sub>	91.80	7.47	14.27	
T <sub>11</sub>	89.73	6.80	12.73	
T <sub>12</sub>	89.60	6.00	8.27	
C.D. at 5%	5.67	1.84	2.99	
S.E(m)±	1.94	0.63	1.02	
Result	Sig	Sig	Sig	

 Table 3. Effect of different level of N and P alone and along with bio-inoculants (Azotobacter and PSB) on growth parameters

Treatments	No. of Spikelets/	No. of grains/	1000 Seed weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
	plant	plant	3.1. (3)			
To	236.93	187.33	47.41	13.53	18.77	41.18
T <sub>1</sub>	250.47	221.87	50.62	28.67	36.46	43.89
T <sub>2</sub>	311.00	264.93	51.11	21.16	28.14	42.86
T <sub>3</sub>	353.73	293.33	52.00	17.25	21.75	44.31
$T_4$	399.13	352.13	52.03	33.40	43.22	43.53
T <sub>5</sub>	435.13	312.27	52.47	33.18	42.99	43.40
T <sub>6</sub>	367.27	326.00	50.00	27.05	35.12	43.25
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	436.27	413.83	50.32	34.87	44.86	43.76
T <sub>8</sub>	443.40	432.87	51.87	27.01	36.06	42.25
T9	298.20	261.67	50.03	25.58	32.88	43.75
T <sub>10</sub>	599.00	550.00	53.87	38.33	51.14	42.83
T <sub>11</sub>	521.13	463.00	52.04	34.16	44.35	43.50
T <sub>12</sub>	437.87	374.53	50.56	33.78	42.20	44.37
C.D. at 5%	136.05	84.93	2.43	10.03	11.32	1.69
S.E(m)±	46.61	29.10	0.83	3.44	3.88	0.58
Result	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig	Sig

test weight, grain yield and straw yield were observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> (100% N & P + PSB + Azotobacter + 100% K), over control and single inoculation. Jnawali et al [26] reported that, Inoculating seeds with Azotobacter leads to a yield increase by providing crops with a higher nitrogen supply. Additionally, the chelating action of PSB diminishes phosphorus fixation, making fixed phosphorus forms soluble. This boosts phosphorus uptake, resulting in improved growth indicators like total tillers meter-1 row length. effective tillers m<sup>-1</sup> row length and test weight. Kaushik et al [28] reported that, the combined inoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphorusmicroorganism's results solubilizing in а synergistic impact, potentially elevating the production of growth-promoting hormones like gibberellins, and cytokinins, thus auxins. contributing to improved yield characteristics and overall crop yield. Combine inoculation of Azotobacter + PSB with 100 % RDF increased straw vield might be due to increase in biomass production. Similar results have been reported by Selvakumar et al [29], Bhavya et al [30], Jnawali et al [26] and Kumawat et al [31].

# 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the results summarized above, it is found that treatment T<sub>1</sub> T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> were statistically at par with treatment T<sub>10</sub> and application of (100% N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100% K) given the most optimum yield for the "Raj-4238" variety of wheat for Chitrakoot region of Madhya Pradesh. Moreover T<sub>10</sub> (100% N & P + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 100% K) resulted maximum seed productivity 38.33 g ha-1.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous, Press Information Bureau, Directorate General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics, department of Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India; 2021.
- 2. Anonymous, District wise area, Production and yield crop wise new, Agriculture Statistics, Farmers Welfare and Agriculture Development Department, Madhya Pradesh, Final Estimate Report. 2021-22;7

- 3. Gul S, Khan MH, Khanday BA, Nabi S. Effect of sowing methods and NPK levels on growth and yield of rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L.). Scientifica. 2015,1-6.
- 4. Langer RHM, FKY Liew,. Effect of varying nitrogen supply at different stages of the reproductive phase on spikelet and grain production and on grain nitrogen in wheat. Australian J. Agric. Res. 1973;24:647–56.
- 5. Green CF. Dry matter accumulation: a logical work for wheat husbandry. Arable Farming. 1984;11:26–30.
- Wilhelm WW,. Dry matter partitioning and leaf area of winter wheat grown in a long term fallow tillage comparisons in US central great plains. Soil and Tillage Res. 1998;49:49–56.
- Fowler DB, Brydon J, Baker RJ, Nitrogen fertilization of nontill winter wheat and rye-II. Influence on grain protein. Agron. J. 1989;81:72–7.
- Ziadi N, Bélanger G, Cambouris AN, Tremblay N, Nolin MC, Claessens A. Relationship between phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations in spring wheat. Agronomy Journal. 2008;100(1):80-86.
- Hadis H, Gashaw M, Wassie H. Response of bread wheat to integrated application of vermicompost and NPK fertilizers. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018;13(1):1420.
- 10. Theodorou ME, WC. Plaxton.. Metabolic adaptations of plant respiration to nutritional phosphate deprivation. Plant Physiol. 1993;101:339–344.
- Schachtman DP, Reid RJ, Ayling SM.. Phosphorous uptake by plants: From soil to cell. Plant Physiol. 1998;116:447–453.
- 12. Shen J, J Yuan, H. Li, X. Chen, W. Zhang, and F. Zhang. Phosphorous dynamics: From soil to plant. Plant Physiol. 2011;156:997–1005.
- 13. Sharma RC, Yield and yield components responses of wheat cultivars to seeding rate, J. Inst. Agric. Anim. Sci. 1987;8:99-110.
- Jadhav AS, Shaikh AA, Nimbalkar CA, Harinarayana G, Synergistic effects of bacterial fertilizers in economizing atments. Increase of straw N uptake nitrogen use in pearlmillet. Millets Newsletter. 1987;6:14-15.
- 15. Wani SP, Chandrapalaiah S, Zambre MA, Lee KK, Association between nitogenfixing bacteria and pearlmillet plants, responses mechnisms and resistance. Plant and Soil. 1988;110:284-302.

- Chaudhary D, Narula N, Sindhu SS, Behl RK. Plant growth stimulation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by inoculation of salinity tolerant Azotobacter strains. Physiol Mol Biol Plants. 2013;19(4):515-9. DOI: 10.1007/s12298-013-0178-2 PMID: 24431520; PMCID: PMC3781287.
- 17. Kalayu G. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms: promising approach as biofertilizers. International Journal of Agronomy. 2019;1687-8159.
- Panhwar QA, Radziah O, UA. Naher AZ, Rahman M, Sariah IM, Razi, Shamshuddin J, Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and oxalic acid on P uptake from different P fractions and growth improvement of aerobic rice using 32P technique. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 2013;7(8):1131-1140.
- 19. Choudhary GL, Yadav LR. Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. Journal of Food Legumes. 2011;24:66-67.
- 20. Bai Y, Zhou X, Smith DL. Crop ecology, management and quality: Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from coinoculation of Bacillus strains with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Crop Science 2003;43:17741781
- Wu SC, Cao ZH, Li ZG, Cheung KC, Wong MH. Effects of biofertilizer containing Nfixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: A greenhouse trial. Geoderma-The Journal of Soil Science. 2005;12(125):155-166.
- 22. Madhu R, Ahemud M, Ravichand P, Rahman AM. Investigation of indole acetic acid production potential of Azotobacter chroococcum using wheat coleoptiles bioassay. Indian Journal of Agriculture Research. 2012;46:127-133
- Mohanta S, Banerjee M, Shankar T. Influence of nutrient management on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L) in Lateritic Belt of

West Bengal. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science 2020;9(6):1389-1396.

- 24. Chand M, Gupta J, Roy N. Effect of integrated nutrient management module on wheat yield in bundelkhand zone of Uttar Pradesh. Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika 2014;29(1):1-4.
- 25. Singh RR, Prasad K. Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Journal of Farm Sciences. 2011;1(1):1-8.
- 26. Jnawali AD, Ojha RB, Marahatta S. Role of Azotobacter in soil fertility and sustainability–a review. Advances in Plants and Agricultural Research 2015;2(6):250-253.
- Kaur M, Chhabra V, Singh A. Role of Azotobacter, PSB and Sulphur in Yield Improvement for Wheat Crop. Annals of Biology. 2019;35(2):229-231.
- 28. Kaushik MK, Bishnoi NR, Sumeriya HK. Productivity and economics of wheat as influenced by inorganic and organic sources of nutrients. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2012;14(1):61-64
- 29. Selvakumar G, Reetha S, Thamizhiniyan P. Response of biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and associated protein profiling changes of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). World Applied Sciences Journal. 2012;16(10):13681374.
- Bhavya K, Subhash Reddy R, Triveni S. Assessment of yield attributes in mungbean with carrier and liquid biofertilizer using different methods of application. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(5):874878.
- Kumawat H, Singh DP, Jat G, Choudhary R, Singh PB, Dhayal S, Khardia N. Effect of fertility levels and liquid biofertilizers on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(9):1365-1369.

© 2023 Gupta et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107431