

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 10, Page 3378-3391, 2023; Article no.IJECC.105787 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Effects of Zero-budget Natural Farming on Yield, Earthworm Populations, Microbiological Activity, and the Requirement for Public Policy: A Review

Suraj Mishra ^{a++*}, Anand Kumar Chaubey ^{a#}, Amar Singh Gaur ^{a++}, Kaushlendra Mani Tripathi ^{a++}, Deepak Prajapati ^{a++} and Sandeep Sahu ^{b++}

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry (BUAT), Banda 210001 (U.P.), India. ^b Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, (BUAT), Banda 210001 (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i103006

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105787</u>

Review Article

Received: 03/07/2023 Accepted: 08/09/2023 Published: 16/09/2023

ABSTRACT

At this moment, Indian agriculture is facing a crucial crisis due to the diminishing hope of the green revolution. Overuse and wasteful exploitation of the green revolution's crops have negatively impacted food security and the environment. In recent years, Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF), a rapidly expanding farming method influenced by agroecological principles, has been suggested to

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: surajmishras306@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 3378-3391, 2023

increase farm viability and food security. By 2050, sixty percent of Indians will have severe food insufficiencies. There is an urgent need for increased food production, yet farmers are becoming indebted due to the high cost of production and shifting market pricing. This concept works on four concepts they are jeevamrith, bijamrith, mulching, and soil aeration. These four concepts help better soil health, increased microbial population, and enhanced crop yield. Different astras used to control pest infestation in natural farming. Here we discussed ZBNF is requires low input cost, good soil health management, and focused on major challenges and opportunities to adopt ZBNF and what are the policies need to improve this system. ZBNF is the best option for dropping farmers' input costs. This paper reviews the concepts of natural farming in the context of its eco-friendly nature and sustainability.

Keywords: Conventional agriculture; environmental safety; food security; green revolution; Indian agriculture; zero-budget natural farming.

1. INTRODUCTION

"India has the second-largest amount of agricultural production in the world, supported by its arable land area (about 159 Mha). This sector is one of India's most significant ones because it generates more than 15% of the national gross domestic product" Yadav et al. [1]. "Feeding a projected population of 9 billion people who will exist by the mid-century constitutes one of the most fundamental challenges facing humanity" Watson et al. [2]. "This was initially facilitated, in part, by Green Revolution technologies to increase yields and profits, compared to traditional techniques. Conventional agriculture relies largely on the input of pesticides, fertiliser, and energy from fuel. When humankind is benefiting greatly from the wealth brought about economic arowth and technical bv advancements, severe environmental and food safety concerns have vanished from view. Excessive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides have caused environmental pollution and degradation, impaired food safety and quality and adverse effect on human and animal health" Bhattacharyya et al. [3];[4]. "Because of the overuse of chemical fertilisers and pesticides in agricultural production, people are concerned about the potential negative impacts on the environment, including polluted air, water, and food. The rapid deterioration of both the natural environment and agricultural products has become a matter of great concern for many people in the world. The concept of Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) was first popularised by agriculturist Sh. Subhash Palekar in the middle of the 1990s. In 2016, he was given India's highest civilian honour, the Padma Shri, for his work promoting this alternative farming method" Khadse et al. [5]. "In order to increase farmers' income, the Economic Survey (2019)

highlighted the importance of ZBNF as a potential alternative farming practice. In addition to substituting chemical fertilizers with home-grown products such as Jeevamritha, Beejamritha and Neemastra, and applying intercropping and mulching, these practices are considered to drastically reduce cost of production" [6].

"Zero Budget natural farming is a grassroots agrarian movement that uses inexpensive, homegrown fertilisers that are sourced locally. By avoiding agrochemicals and agribusiness, ZBNF achieves food security for the world and environmental preservation" Duddigan et al. [7]. Andhra Pradesh Community-Managed Natural Farming has been widely adopted in Andhra Pradesh (southeast India). By partnering with the not-for-profit organization Rythu Sadhikara Samstha, (RvSS) the Andhra Pradesh Department of Agriculture is promoting ZBNF adoption. In 2020, 580,000 farmers will use ZBNF techniques Duddigan et al. [7], and the local government extend the program to 6 million farmers Tripathi et al. [8]. According to estimates, Andhra Pradesh could save USD 70 million annually on fertiliser subsidies if ZBNF covered 25% of the state's total cropland Gupta et al. [9]. techniques used by ZBNF Similar and conservation agriculture to lessen soil disturbance include using crop remnants, intercropping, and minimizing tillage Ravisankar et al. [10]. However, ZBNF stands out since it methods combines these with particular handmade alterations. The subsequent focus on developing sustainable and equitable approaches to agriculture underpins the Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) approach, which aims to address both environmental and socioeconomic concerns within the agricultural sector.

2. ZERO BUDGET NATURAL FARMING (ZBNF)

As the name speaks, ZBNF is a natural way of farming without any capital investment. The term "Zero Budget" refers to reducing the amount of purchased inputs and agribusiness involvement while also lowering farmer debt Smith et al. [11]. 'Natural Farming' refers to the use of homemade amendments from readily available ingredients. By using these inputs and integrating pest management and intercropping, soil health will be promoted, nutrient cycling loops will be closed, and water will be retained in the soil for a longer period Bhatt et al. [12]; Keerthi et al. [13]. In essence, it returns to the sole utilization of abundant natural resources, which ancient agriculture completely depended on. Presently, when production costs are rising sharply and production rates are stagnating, along with footprints associated environmental with chemical pesticides and fertilizers, ZBNF is gaining traction as it improves soil health through diversity, microbial activities, nutrient recycling, and beneficial biological interactions, leading to sustainable crop production [14]. It is a particularly extreme instance of low-input sustainable agriculture (LEISA), in which all inputs are readily available locally (on the farm), and the output of one farming system is mostly

used as an input in another farming system. Rather, an extreme form that doesn't hesitate to assert that no external inputs are required. All inputs must be gathered locally and symbiotically from the hamlet and its surrounding areas (or even from within the farm). This is a dynamic system wherein outputs are likely to be input to at least one of the other outputs. More importantly, as none of the inputs are sourced from outside the system then there is no cost, hence it is called Zero Budget Natural Farming.

According to Sh. Subhash Palekar, the ZBNF/NF has following 4 essential components:

2.1 Jeevamritha

Jeevamritha is a fermented microbial culture. In addition to providing nutrients, it also serves as a catalytic agent that encourages the activity of soil microorganisms and boosts the population of native earthworms. To prepare the Jeevemritha put 200 litres of water in a barrel, Add 10 kg fresh local cow dung, 5 to 10 liters of aged cow urine, 2 kg of Jaggery (a local type of brown sugar), 2 kg of pulses flour and a handful of soil from the bund of the farm. Stir the solution well and let it ferment for 48 hours in the shade. Jeevamritha is ready for application. It is sufficient to cover one



Fig. 1. Four essential components of zero budget natural farming

acre of land with 200 litres of Jeevamritha. The aerobic and anaerobic bacteria found in cow dung and urine increase while consuming organic materials (such as pulse flour and jaggery) during the course of the 48-hour fermentation process. A handful of undisturbed soil acts as inoculating of native species of microbes and organisms. Jeevamritha also helps to prevent fungal and bacterial plant diseases. It should be sprayed on the crops twice a month with a 10% foliar spray or irrigation water. The preparation is stored up to a maximum of 15 davs. For horticultural crops. Jeevamritha is applied to the individual plant. In Maharashtra, the majority of the sample farmers are applying Jeevamritha through drip irrigation method. Applying Jeevamruth increased crop output and promoted the development of beneficial soil microbes [15].

2.2 Beejamritha

"Beejamritha is a treatment used for seeds, seedlings or any planting material. Beejamritha is effective in protecting young roots from fungus as well as from soilborne pathogens and seedborne diseases that commonly affect plants after the monsoon period. It is also helpful in producing IAA and GA" Sreenivasa et al. [16]. To prepare the mix local cow dung, considered to be a natural fungicide, and cow urine (an antibacterial liquid), lime and soil. After being tied in a cloth, the dung is kept in urine for about 12 hours. Cow dung is squeezed out of cow urine, and then 50 grams of lime are added to the urine. Mix Beejamritha with seeds of any crop, coat them, and dry them thoroughly before sowing. Leguminous seeds can be dipped quickly and dried.

2.3 Acchadana – Mulching

Three types of mulching have been suggested under ZBNF:

2.3.1 Soil mulch

This prevents tilling from destroying topsoil during farming. It promotes aeration and water retention in the soil. Because of this, it's best to avoid deep ploughing.

2.3.2 Straw mulch

Dried biomass waste from earlier crops is typically referred to as straw material. As organic materials decompose and become humus, they are activated by the soil biota and microbial cultures.

2.3.3 Live mulch

To provide all necessary nutrients to the soil and crops, it is crucial to establish multiple cropping patterns for monocotyledons and dicotyledons cultivated in the same area. Dicot groups such as pulses are nitrogen-fixing plants. Monocots such as rice and wheat supply other elements like potash, phosphate and sulphur.

2.4 Whapasa- Moisture

The advocates of ZBNF counter the overreliance on irrigation in green revolution farming. Whapasa occurs when the soil contains both air and water molecules. As a result, only irrigating at noon, in alternate furrows, may fulfill the moisture requirement of the crops, a significant decline in need for irrigation in ZBNF. However, rarely this practice is followed by any farmer.

In an interactive workshop discussion with stakeholders, it was suggested that the four wheels of ZBNF could increase yields through a variety of biochemical interactions (Fig. 2). The ZBNF system is believed to dramatically increase soil biodiversity as a result of the amendments provided, and as a result, this diversified belowground biological population offers many ecosystem services, such as nutrient delivery, carbon storage, and resistance to external pressures. To support the alleged mechanisms involved in crop output maintenance in the ZBNF system, additional research is required. However, there is a body of research into the benefits of systems that use seed treatments of Ferula spp. (e.g., 'asafoetida') Kavoosi et al. [17]: Sitara and Hasan [18] and P. emblica Mohana et al. [19] inoculum and biostimulants Colla and Rouphael [20]; Trabelsi and Mhamdi, [21] and organic matter applications Lima et al. [22] from which the stakeholders, and we, could draw parallels to ZBNF.

In assessing agricultural sustainability, yield is an important factor to consider Corsi et al. [23]; By tracking the yield, we can evaluate how well ZBNF contributes to SDG 2 (Zero Hunger). However, we acknowledge that when taking into account ecological services, human and soil health, or the socio-historical subjectivity of ZBNF farmers, yield is not necessarily the most crucial element Walker et al. [24], which drives the adoption of farming practice. Our next steps in assessing the efficacy of ZBNF must build on the simple metric of productivity in terms of yield to encompass environmental and socially progressive outcomes Wezel et al. [25] in order to reflect contributions to other Sustainable Development Goals.

3. PEST CONTROL SOLUTIONS

ZBNF-adopting farmers assert that when chemical fertilizers are given to crops, the crop's vegetative development is excellent and luscious green. Because of this, crops are attacked by insects and pests. While in case of Jeevamritha, the leaves colour is not that much green and therefore, the menace of pests is limited. However, the farmers develop various formulations (Kashayam) composed of locally accessible plant materials when an infestation occurs to suppress the pests. Some of these are:

3.1 Neemastra

It is the most important pest-control solution prepared by farmers. The neemastra is prepared with water, neem plants, cow urine, and cow dung. Neem leaves are made into a paste by grinding them, then mixing them in water. Without dilution, the solution is applied directly to plants. The mixture consists of 5 kg of neem paste, 2-3 kg of cow dung, 10-20 liters of urine, and a handful of soil. The mixture ferments for approximately 48 hours. Depending on their needs and crops, farmers produce solutions in volumes ranging from 100 to 200 litres.

3.2 Brahmastra

It is prepared from different types of bitter leaves. Neem leaves are mixed with other leaves that have a bitter taste, such as custard apples, chilies, etc. It takes about two to three hours to boil approximately 20-30 litres of cow urine. After the solution has cooled for about 12 hours, it is filtered with fine cloths. For every litre of Brahamastra, the solution is further diluted with around 15 litres of water.

3.3 Agniastra

It is prepared by adding about 5 kg of neem paste to 1 kg of tobacco leaves, 0.5 kg of spices, and 0.5 kg of garlic paste. These are cooled for around 24 hours after being mixed with 25 to 30 litres of cow urine. The solution is filtered with fine cloths and then used. Before using it in the field, the solution is diluted with a half-litre of Agniastra 15 litres of water or so are added. Among the insects that Agniastra is effective against are Leaf Roller, Stem Borer, Fruit Borer and Pod Borer.

3.4 Tutikada Rasam

It is prepared from datura leaves and cow urine. The leaves are boiled in cow urine for 2-3 hours and is cooled then it is filtered using cloth.



Fig. 2. Conceptual model linking operations of ZBNF with biogeochemical processes

Fungicide/ Insecticide	Ingredients	Quantity used	
		in mixture	
Fungicide-I	Butter milk fermented for 5 days	5 litres	
	Water	50 litres	
Fungicide-II	Indian bred cow milk	5 litres	
	Black Pepper Powder	200 g	
	Water	200 litres	
Insecticide-I	Neem seed or leaf powder	20 kg	
	Water	200 litres	
Insecticide-II	Indian bred cow urine	5 kg	
	Indian bred cow dung	10 litres	
	Neem leaves	10 kg	
	Water	200 litres	
Insecticide-III	Neem leaves soaked in cow urine for 10 days	10 kg	
	Tobacco powder soaked in cow urine for 10 days	3 kg	
	Garlic paste soaked in cow urine for 10 days	3 kg	
	Green chilli paste soaked in cow urine for 10 days	4 kg	

Table 1. Ingredients and their quantity that are used to make some other biopesticides [26]

3.5 Dashparini Kashyam

It is prepared from ten types of plant leaves. The leaves of Neem, Agele marmelos, Calotropis, Senna auriculata, Papaya, Custard apple, Gauva, Vitex negundo, castor, Pomegranate, Nerium, Ocimum, Aloe vera, Tobacco, Datura, Lantana camara and Pongamia pinnata are used in preparing the solution. Green chilli and garlic are also crushed and added and mixed with 20 litres of cow urine. It is kept up to 45 days for fermentation. The solution is filtered and sprayed after dilution. In about 8-10 litres of solution, 100 litres of water is added for dilution.

4. OTHER PRACTICES OF ZBNF

4.1 Intercropping and Crop Rotation

Intercropping is the simultaneous cultivation of two or more distinct crops on the same plot of land. Intercropping aims to improve solar radiation harvesting, land utilization, erosion control, and soil utilization. Additionally, it helps increase farmers' revenue or provide food in the event that their primary crop fails. The component crops of the intercropping system include legumes, millets, grains, vegetables, fruit trees, medicinal plants, etc. It is also important for ZBNF to diversify its cropping system because it breaks up habitats and consequently reduces pest and disease populations.

4.2 Bunds and Contours

Bunds and contours are constructed with the intention of minimizing water-borne soil erosion and conserving rainwater for crop production.

4.3 Indigenous Earth Worm Species

The incorporation of vermicompost into the soil is not encouraged in ZBNF. As per Palekar, deeper soils can be enriched with organic matter if they are treated with native earthworms, so external vermicompost is not necessary. He said that exotic earthworm species, in particular Eisenia foetida, are hazardous because they contaminate soil and groundwater by absorbing harmful metals [27].

4.4 Cow Dung

species beneficial Indian have more microorganisms in their faeces (around 3-5 crores) than foreign breeds, hence only native Indian cows (Bos indicus) faeces are advised in ZBNF activities. Palekar claims that of foreign breeds contain numerous the faeces harmful bacteria, fungi, and other pathogens, while Indian breeds are only found to be effective for crop cultivation. One Local indigenous cattle breed can cultivate 30 acres of land. In order to ensure the success of the ZBNF, promoters suggest that cattle faeces shouldn't be mixed between Indian and foreign breeds of cattle. They advise farmers to use the dung and urine of local Indian cows for ZBNF, while cows of foreign breeds should be used for biogas or fuel [28]. The majority of ZBNF supporters have stopped drinking milk and milk products as they avoid the dairyfication of local cows to let these precious species shower benefits in crop production purposes only.

5. BENEFITS OF ZBNF

On June 26, 2018, the New York Times ran the headline "Bringing farming back to nature" and pointed out the dire consequences for agriculture if nature is disregarded [14]. A true example is the green revolution, which is no longer relevant because its artificial techniques did not increase yield and had negative effects on the environment. In context with the food crisis. climate change, natural resource depletion, migration, and farmer suicides, as well as other factors Biswas [6], ZBNF is possibly the most successful agrarian movement in the world in terms of its reach Khadse et al. [5]. By shifting modern agriculture to a zero-budget natural farming approach, there are several benefits. ZBNF totally relies on using internal inputs, which reduces the need for borrowing for farming activities. Therefore, it can be a measure to minimize indebtedness and suicide in farming communities (particularly of the small and marginal categories). Further, by removing chemicals (such as pesticides and fertilizers) from farming operations, ZBNF can prevent further deterioration and successfully restore the health of the ecosystem and soil. Additionally, it promotes soil aeration, bunds and topsoil mulching, intercropping, and less water application, all of which, while not immediately increasing productivity, can boost farmers' income by fostering the development of selfsustaining systems after at least three years of the conversion period. In addition, ZBNF can be a good alternative given the current labour shortage (caused by unwillingness to choose farming as a profession and consequent migration to urban regions for other employment), as it does not encourage diverse intercultural operations and as a result, the engagement of hired manual labourers. As there is no peak season in ZBNF models (such as 5 years model Murali [29], due to diversified culture, need to hire labour in a particular time (specially, in a labour crisis) can be minimized. As a result, ZBNF may be able to reduce the amount of energy used to produce one unit of gross domestic product Tripathi et al. [8]. Moreover, ZBNF can reduce material footprint per unit capita and per unit value added in agriculture by reducing external inputs and promoting waste recycling instead of dumping or burning.

6. PRINCIPLES OF ZBNF

Some of the other important principles of ZBNF are intercropping where in addition to combining monocot and dicot crops in a single plot of land it also articulates the relevance of crop-tree association (and that will add to income from additional sources), the role of contours and bunds to preserve rainwater and promote maximum efficacy for different crops, the need to revive the local deep soil earthworms and not to rely on vermicompost (in particular, the Eisinea feotida worm, exotic to India should be avoided), and to use the indigenous humped cow (Bos Indicus) for their dung and urine because they have a greater concentration of micro-organisms. Further, depending on the nature and type of insect/pest attack, zero-budget natural farming has come up with different formulations (neemastra, agniastra, and bramhastra among others) from locally available resources that work bio-pesticides. Before exploring the as application of ZBNF in Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh, we will briefly discuss the links of ZBNF with agroecology and articulate its risk-reducing ability.

7. EFFECT ON ZBNF ON DIFFERENT PARAMETERS

7.1 First Claim: Effect on yield

The first claim put forward by ZBNF promoters is that the use of ZBNF practices mostly crops enhance the yield compared to 'non-ZBNF'. Adaptation of ZBNF vield increased by up to 22 percent for crops such as gram, lentil, soybean, black gram and red mash as compared to inorganic farming [30]. In survey of Karnataka, the farmers increased yields by 79% Khadse et al. [5] and in Andhra Pradesh increased yield is 88% (Bharucha et al, 2020) compared to 'non-ZBNF' management techniques. ZBNF inputs have also been observed to increase the growth and yield of chilli Gangadhar et al. [31], peppers Boraiah et al. [32], rice, groundnut (Bharucha et al, 2020), maize Vinay et al. [33] banana, gram legumes Galab et al. [34] and cotton Korav et al. [35] compared to non-ZBNF agricultural practices. The study was undertaken in Telegana state where the yield of maize in conventional farming was found to be higher than ZBNF and organic farming Vinay et al. [33] and another study found that rice yields were lower on ZBNF farms compared to non-ZBNF farms Galab et al. [34]. Andhra Pradesh is also India's largest producer of tomatoes, covering 167 thousand

hectares Yesdhanulla and Aparna [36] Therefore, the ZBNF treatment's 30–40% increase in the bulk of fruit yield from a single plant above organic and conventional could also have a sizable positive impact. The application of biodigester liquid manures to obtain higher yields of rice Siddaram [37] and other field crops Reddy et al. [38]. Panchagavya spray influenced significantly on yield of capsicum per hectare. Due to the use of liquid chemical formulations, yield per hectare of capsicum varied dramatically at different phonological phases Boraiah et al. [32]. Fruits yield per hectare varied significantly due to the application of jeevamritha.

7.2 Second Claim: Effect on Earthworm Population

The second claim put forward by ZBNF promoters is that ZBNF practices enhance the activity of soil biology, and larger earthworm populations are an indicator of this. Prior studies have found that ZBNF farms have a higher abundance of earthworms than non-ZBNF fields. (Bharucha et al, 2020). In the research, earthworm abundance was indeed significantly and considerably higher in the ZBNF treatment than the conventional or organic treatment in all three seasons Duddigan et al. [39].. Retaining crop residue, or dead mulch, on the soil surface can boost the earthworm population by reducing soil temperature. retaining moisture, and providing more food sources for the earthworms to grow and reproduce Turmel et al. [40]. Additionally, it has been found that applying cow dung and Jiwamrita to the agro-industrial waste treatment process increases the number of earthworms Veeresh et al [41].

7.3 Third Claim: Effect on Microbial Activity

The third claim put out by the ZBNF promoters is that ZBNF practices enhance the activity of microbes. Natural farming proponents contend that native cow dung and a little amount of undisturbed contain soil а variety of microorganisms that help increase the bioavailability of nutrients to plants. The complex ecosystem that exists in soil includes bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals Bonkowski et al. [42]. "Soil microbes metabolize recalcitrant forms of

soil-borne nutrients to liberate these elements for plant nutrition. Most nutrients, including N. P. and S. are bound in organic molecules in natural ecosystems, which makes them only slightly accessible to plants. The development of soil microorganisms like bacteria and fungi, which have the metabolic apparatus to depolymerize and mineralize organic forms of N, P, and S, is necessary for plants to obtain these nutrients" Jacoby et al. [43]. The researcher has isolated "many different bacterial genera such as Citrobacter koseri, Enterobacter aerogenes, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Kluyvera spp., Morgarella morganii, Pasteurella Providencia alcaligenes, spp., Providencia stuartii and Pseudomonas spp. from cow dung" Sawant et al. [44]. This study found that many cow dung microorganisms have shown natural ability to increase soil fertility through phosphate solubilization Gupta et al. [45]. The researcher has isolated "219 bacterial strains from COW duna. among which 59 isolates displayed nematicidal activity against >90% of the tested nematodes" Lu et al. [46]. Cow dung has antifungal that inhibits the substance growth of coprophilous fungi.

"The samples from natural farming fields, turmeric, as well as sorghum fields in Parbhani district, recorded higher mean population of actinomycetes, free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and Pseudomonas sp. but lower mean population of bacteria and fungi as compared to samples from Non-NF fields" Kumar et al. [47] (Table 2).

Organic and natural farming practices have gained popularity in recent years due to their perceived environmental and health benefits. For the success of organic or natural farming, along with the awareness of the farmers, it is also necessary to have the support of the central and state governments. Due to lack of awareness among farmers, farmer is unable to adopt this technology. The increasing price of organic produce also creates inability to promote natural farming because the common man in the village something believes in buying at low cost. Keeping the above lines in mind, the lack of development of organic or natural farming has been devided into 3 main obstacles in Table 3.

8. BENEFITS PERCEIVED BY NF FARMERS

"Farmers perceive many benefits of NF. In Andhra Pradesh, 81% farmers believe that the vield has increased (Table 4). In Karnataka, 56% of farmers felt lower yield in NF. NF practice reduces the cost of cultivation which is felt by 86% of farmers in Andhra Pradesh and more than 90% in Karnataka and Maharashtra. As far as produce quality and taste are concerned, around 90% in all the selected states found that NF produce has better quality than non-NF produce. In Andhra Pradesh, farmers are not getting any designated market for sale of NF produce, hence the produce in sold in the same market at almost same price. In Karnataka and Maharashtra, farmers are getting designated markets where produce fetches higher price" Kumar et al. [47].

9. OPPORTUNITIES TO ADOPT ZBNF

When it comes to the green revolution, the use of high-yielding cultivars, chemical fertilisers, and pesticides reduces soil health by removing a lot of nutrients from the ground, reducing the number of beneficial microbes, and accumulating harmful substances in the soil profile. It also contaminates groundwater. These all create a negative impact on the environment and human health. Organic matter is the primary source of

plant nutrients as a result of crop residue burning, which lowers soil organic matter content and increases air pollution. Environmental sustainability is required in the context of this growing globalisation in order to protect the environment for the coming generations. Even though they don't make much money from it, farmers still have to deal with high input expenses in commercial farming. "Natural farming gives better opportunities to solve these problems in a better manner. Conserving naturethis practice improves microbial content and water retention capacity in soils which enables drought-prone areas to provide consistent yields. Less application of chemical fertilizers reduces runoff water into rivers and wetlands enhancing water quality and increasing availability during extreme weather events. Reduces health risks from chemicals entails ecosystems on the farms and reduces the drudgery of women who have easier access to clean water and feed for livestock as well as reducing illnesses caused by chemicals in food, especially among children. In addition to this, the social and environmental benefits are food, nutrition, and health security, employment, soil health, and water security, ecosystem coastal regeneration, climate resilience, biodiversity protection, and less risk. Indian Government allowing farmers to convert natural farming, the finance minister Nirmala Sitaram announced 687.5 crores to organic farming and natural farming development in the 2020-21 budget" [23].

Particulars	Farming	Turmeric			Sorghum		
	Туре	Range	Mean	S.D.	Range	Mean	S.D.
Bacteria	NF	8.18-9.00	8.59	0.36	8.00-8.48	8.29	0.21
	Non-NF	8.30-9.64	8.82	0.53	8.78-8.95	8.87	0.07
Fungi	NF	3.00-3.65	3.45	0.26	2.70-3.65	3.32	0.44
	Non-NF	3.48-4.31	3.9	0.31	3.88-4.34	4.09	0.19
Actinomycetes	NF	3.00-3.54	3.29	0.19	3.00-3.60	3.2	0.28
	Non-NF	3.18-3.85	3.52	0.25	3.18-3.65	3.41	0.19
Free-living	NF	4.18-5.23	4.76	0.38	4.18-5.23	4.76	0.38
nitrogen-fixing	Non-NF	4.40-5.31	4.92	0.35	4.40-5.31	4.92	0.35
Daciena		2 00 2 02	2.54	0.24	2 00 2 02	2 5 1	0.24
Phosphorous		3.00-3.93	3.51	0.34	3.00-3.93	3.51	0.34
bacteria	Non-NF	3.18-4.13	3.76	0.36	3.18-4.13	3.76	0.36
Pseudomonas	NF	5.00-6.06	5.67	0.41	5.00-6.06	5.67	0.41
sp.	Non-NF	5.40-6.26	5.97	0.34	5.40-6.26	5.97	0.34

 Table 2. Average soil microbial population in turmeric and sorghum cultivated fields of

 Parbhani district, Maharashtra (Log10 CFU/g soil)

Why national and state governments do not fully support organic or natural farming.	Why farmers are hesitant to use natural or organic farming methods.	Why most customers don't purchase natural or organic foods.
 Chemical-intensive farming mindset; Scientific community is not focused on natural or organic farming; Issues relating to food security and poor yield; Influence of the agrochemical industry; Organic and natural produce not consider a holistic solution beyond pesticide-free food; Insufficient information on holistic linkages; Minimal consideration given to the drawbacks of the present chemical-based model; Lack of conviction regarding advantages; Extension machinery is untrained, unpracticed, and lacking in expertise; State-level 'political will' is not sufficiently demonstrated, with the exception of a few states like Sikkim and Andhra Pradesh. 	 Dominant perspective on chemical farming; Lack of knowledge of organic or natural approaches; Lack of faith in natural and organic methods and concern over yield; Inability to take risks and sustain yield losses; Lack of handholding assistance while switching to organic and natural farming; Lack of assistance and insurance throughout the switch to organic farming; Lack of stable market with competitive prices; Lack of sufficient supply of high-quality organic inputs, such as seeds, bio inputs, and technology; Concerns about pest management; Certification requires a lot of paperwork, which is time- consuming and costly for small producers; Reliance on animals; Labor-intensive and time- consuming are natural and organic farming practices; Decline in rural youth interest in agriculture; Diminished joint family support system. 	 Organic produce is often priced higher than conventional most people are unable to pay higher prices; It can be difficult to find and get organic food anywhere; Concerns about credibility of organic food in market, i.e. whether produce is fake organic; Lack of awareness or covinction about health linkages (e.g. cancer and pesticides have a more complex link than sugar and diabetes-this link is less direct, less seen and less believed); Limited awareness on linkages of organic and natural farming with sustainability, environment etc; Consumers and lack awareness of chemical-dependent food systems and food producers.

Table 3. Barriers to the growth of organic and natural farming

10. PUBLIC POLICIES NEED FOR ZERO-BUDGET NATURAL FARMING

The change in the basis for public policy- To remedy improper resource usage, according to Ramanjaneyulu, a scientist at the Centre for Sustainable Agriculture, agriculture must transition to varied, biological resource-integrated models. Intercropping, different cropping systems, suitable crop rotations, and integrating crops and animals should all be adopted. Conscious planning of land use and farming systems is required, with the difficulties of ecological intensification being taken into consideration. It is crucial to maintain agronomic variety peculiar to each ecosystem. For instance, the practice of appropriate farming techniques needs to be supported in wetlands, rainfed areas, hill regions, etc. Current unsustainable agricultural models that are centralized and dependent on monocultures must be stopped. Strictly adhere to the rules and limit the use of unsuitable technology, such as agrochemicals, GMOs, and other technologies with potential concerns for biosafety. Increase the budgeted allocation from the union to ZBNF for appropriate

Perceived benefits	Percent farmers				
	Andhra Pradesh	Karnataka	Maharashtra		
Crop yield					
High	81	22	60		
Same	17	20	16		
Lower	2	56	24		
Cost of cultivation					
High	14	7	9		
Low	86	93	91		
Produce quality					
Better	96	89	91		
Same	3	11	9		
Poor	1	0	0		
Taste of Produce					
Better	91	89	89		
Same	9	11	11		
Selling Price					
High	22	96	81		
Same	69	4	19		
Lower	1	0	0		

Table 4. Benefits perceived by Natural Farming farmers (Kumar et al, 2020)

agricultural investments by 10% to 15%. Farmers should have more informed options rather than being controlled by captive organizations. Providing for financial security requires that the Farmers Income Commission make sure the statutory commission balances decisions impacting production costs, subsidies, support costs, and the prices of produced goods. Setting the research and training agenda for agricultural adoption research requires the of an agroecological perspective. Researchers should participatory methods that involve adopt practicing farmers and farm workers and develop appropriate technologies to suit their needs.

11. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to assess the performance of against conventional and ZBNF organic alternatives and to mechanistically explain the benefits ZBNF offers. Zero Budget Farming is also a valuable contribution to theoretical and practical problems regarding food and agriculture in the contemporary world. Zero-budget farming is environmentally friendly. Savings on the cost of seeds, fertilizers, and plant protection chemicals have been substantial. Because of continuous retention of crop residues replenishment, the soil fertility, it helps to maintain the soil health. Other thing is that management of pest and diseases is a key component in zero budget natural farming crop production systems. In order to research ZBNF's effects on soil, land, and environment health, the socioeconomic condition of farmers. and the nation's food security, multi-locational trials by unbiased, independent bodies like Indian Council of Agricultural Research are urgently required at this time. As a result of mulch addition, ZBNF treatment may result in higher vields, higher microbial activity, and larger earthworm populations. There is still a need for more research on the contribution of each of the ZBNF inputs (Bijamrita, solid Jiwamrita, liquid Jiwamrita, and mulch). Additionally, it will be important to examine further the availability of these inputs if the system is operated at scale. In addition, while our research has concentrated on ZBNF amendments, other aspects of ZBNF management must be examined in the future, including intercropping and reduced tillage. Farmer profitability, human health, greenhouse gas emissions, biodiversity, and environmental guality are all adversely affected by the intensive use of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers. However, long-term field and landscape scale trials are needed to corroborate these observations if ZBNF is going to be adopted at scale.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

 Yadav P, Jaiswal DK, Sinha RK. Climate change: impact of agricultural production and sustainable mitigation. In: Srivastava KK, Singh P, Rangabhashiyam S, Singh S (eds) Global climate change. Elsevier Science, Netherlands. 2021;151– 174.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822928-6.00010-1

- Watson R, Baste I, Larigauderie A, Leadley P, Pascual U, Baptiste B, Demissew S, Dziba L, Erpul G, Fazel A, Fischer M. Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES Secretariat: Bonn, Germany. 2019; 22-47.
- Bhattacharyya R, Ghosh BN, Mishra PK, Mandal B, Rao CS, Sarkar D, Das K, Anil KS, Lalitha M, Hati KM, Franzluebbers AJ. Soil degradation in India: Challenges and potential solutions. Sustainability. 2015; 7(4):3528-3570.
- 4. Connor DJ, Mínguez MI. Evolution not revolution of farming systems will best feed and green the world. Global Food Security, Elsevier Science, Netherlands. 2012;1(2): 106-113.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2012 .10.004

- Khadse A, Rosset PM, Morales H, Ferguson BG. Taking agroecology to scale: The zero budget natural farming peasant movement in Karnataka, India. The Journal of Peasant Studies. 2018; 45(1):192-219. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150 .2016.1276450
- 6. Biswas S. Zero budget natural farming in India: Aiming back to the basics. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2020;10(9):38-52.
- Duddigan S, Collins CD, Hussain Z, Osbahr H, Shaw LJ, Sinclair F, Sizmur T, Thallam V, Ann Winowiecki L. Impact of zero budget natural farming on crop yields in Andhra Pradesh, SE India. Sustainability. 2022;14(3):1689. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/su140316 89
- Tripathi S, Shahidi T, Nagbhushan S, Gupta N. Zero budget natural farming for the sustainable development goals.

Council on Energy, Environment and Water, New Delhi, India; 2018.

- 9. Gupta N, Tripathi S, Dholakia HH. Can zero budget natural farming save input costs and fertiliser subsidies. Report. The Council on Energy, Environment and Water. 2020;1-30.
- Ravisankar N, Singh R, Panwar A, Shamim M, Prusty A. Comparative performance of different production systems with respect to yield, income and sustainability. Indian J Fertil. 2020;16(4): 368-375.
- 11. Smith J, Yeluripati J, Smith P, Nayak DR. Potential yield challenges to scale-up of zero budget natural farming. Nature sustainability. 2020;3(3):247-252.
- 12. Bhatt R, Kaur R, Ghosh A. Strategies to practice climate-smart agriculture to improve the livelihoods under the ricewheat cropping system in South Asia. Sustainable management of Soil and Environment. 2019;29-71.
- Keerthi P, Sharma SK, Chaudhary K. Zero budget natural farming: an introduction. Research Trends in Agriculture Sciences; AkiNik Publications: New Delhi, India. 2018;111-123.
- 14. Moss D, Bittman M. Bringing farming back to nature. New York Times. 2018;26.
- 15. Shaikh NF, Gachande BD. Effect of organic bio-booster and inorganic inputs on rhizosphere mycoflora population and species diversity of wheat. Int Jour of Sci and Res. 2015;4:295-302.
- 16. Sreenivasa MN, Naik N, Bhat SN. Beejamrutha: A source for beneficial bacteria. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010;22(5).
- 17. Kavoosi G, Tafsiry A, Ebdam AA, Rowshan V. Evaluation of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of essential oils from Carum copticum seed and Ferula assafoetida latex. Journal of food Science. 2013;78(2):T356-T361. Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12020
- Sitara U, Hasan N. Studies on the efficacy of chemical and non chemical treatments to control mycoflora associated with chilli seed. Pak. J. Bot. 2011;43(1):95-110.
- Mohana D, Prasad P, Vijaykumar V, Raveesha K. Plant extract effect on seedborne pathogenic fungi from seeds of paddy grown in Southern India. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2011; 51(2).

- Colla G, Rouphael Y. Biostimulants in horticulture. Scientia Horticulturae. 2015; 196:1-134.
- 21. Trabelsi D, Mhamdi R. Microbial inoculants and their impact on soil microbial communities: A review. BioMed research international; 2013.
- Lima DL, Santos SM, Scherer HW, Schneider RJ, Duarte AC, Santos EB, Esteves VI. Effects of organic and inorganic amendments on soil organic matter properties. Geoderma. 2009;150(1-2):38-45. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderm

a.2009.01.009

- Corsi S, Friedrich T, Kassam A, Pisante M, De Moraes Sà J. Soil organic carbon accumulation and greenhouse gas emission reductions from conservation agriculture: A literature review; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO): Rome, Italy. 2012;16: 1–89.
- 24. Walker G, Osbahr H, Cardey S. Thematic collages in participatory photography: A process for understanding the adoption of zero budget natural farming in India. International Journal of Qualitative Methods. 2021;20:1609406920980956. Available:https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069 20980956
- Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves ALR, Sinclair F. Agroecological principles and elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2020;40: 1-13.
- 26. Babu RY. Action research report on subhash palekar zero budget natural farming. Mysore Administrative Training Institute. 2008;7.
- 27. Mishra S. Zero Budget Natural Farming: Are this and similar practices the answers. Nabakrushna Choudhury Centre for Development Studies (NCDS): Odisha, India; 2018.
- 28. Münster D. Zero budget natural farming and bovine entanglements in South India. RCC Perspectives. 2017;(1):25-32. Available:https://www.jstor.org/stable/2624 1413
- 29. BNN Murali. Zbnf Layout Plans; 2016. Available:https://agricultureforbetterfarming .wordpress.com/2016/07/04/zbnfplantsplanting-layout-plans-2016/. [Accessed on 25th March, 2020].

- Khurana A, Kumar V. State of organic and natural farming: challenges and possibilities. New Delhi; 2020.
- 31. Gangadhar K, Devakumar N, Vishwajith G, Lavanya G. Growth, yield and quality parameters of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) as infuenced by application of diferent organic manures and decomposers. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8:473–482. Available:https://doi.org/10.22271/ chemi.2020.v8.i1g.8299
- 32. Boraiah B, Devakumar N, Shubha S, Palanna KB. Efect of panchagavya, jeevamrutha and cow urine on benefcial microorganisms and yield of Capsicum (Capsicum annuum L. var. grossum). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6:3226– 3234.

Available:https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2 017.609.397

- Vinay G, Padmaja B, Malla Reddy M et al. Evaluation of natural farming practices on the performance of maize. Int J Ecol Environ Sci. 2020;2:224–230
- 34. Galab S, Prudhvikar Reddy P, Sree Rama Raju D et al. Impact assessment of zero budget natural farming in Andhra Pradesh: a comprehensive approach using crop cutting experiments. Centre for Economic and Social Studies, Hyderabad; 2019. Available:http://www.cess.ac. in/. [Accessed on 9 Mar 2022].
- 35. Korav S, Dhaka AK, Chaudhary A, Mamatha YS. Zero budget natural farming a key to sustainable agriculture: Challenges, opportunities and policy intervention, India. J Pure Appl Biosci. 2020;8:285–295. Available:https://doi.org/10.1878/2582-

2845.8091

- Yesdhanulla S, Aparna B. Marketing channels and price spread of tomato in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7:873–876.
- 37. Siddaram. Effect of FYM and biodigester liquid manure on the performance of aerobic rice–field bean cropping sequence, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Bangalore, Karnataka; 2012.
- 38. Reddy VC. Jayaram Reddy Μ, Sannathimappa Byrappanavar ST, Girijesh GK, Narayanaprasad Vasanthakumar HL, Agadeesh BR, Mohan GS, Hanumanthappa H, Panduranga RAO. Vijayalakshman GGE, Govindaraju C, Τ. Pradeep S. Basavaraja Naik Breakthrough in organic research. Ann.

Prog. Report, Research Institute on Organic Farming. Univ. Agric. Sci. Bangalore. 2010;9-21.

- 39. Duddigan S, Shaw LJ, Sizmur T, Gogu D, Hussain Z, Jirra K, Kaliki H, Sanka R, Sohail M, Soma R, Thallam V. Natural farming improves crop yield in SE India when compared to conventional or organic systems by enhancing soil quality. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2023;43(2):31.
- Turmel MS, Speratti A, Baudron F, Verhulst N, Govaerts B. Crop residue management and soil health: A systems analysis. Agricultural Systems. 2015;134: 6-16. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.201

Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.201 4.05.009

- 41. Veeresh SJ, Narayana JOGTTAPPA. Earthworm density, biomass and vermicompost recovery during agroindustrial waste treatment. International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences. 2013;4:1274-1280.
- Bonkowski M, Villenave C, Griffiths B. Rhizosphere fauna: The functional and structural diversity of intimate interactions of soil fauna with plant roots. Plant Soil. 2009;321:213–233. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-009-0013-2

- Jacoby R, Peukert M, Succurro A, Koprivova A, Kopriva S. The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition current knowledge and future directions. Frontiers in plant science. 2017;8: 1617. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017. 01617
- 44. Sawant AA, Hegde NV, Straley BA, Donaldson SC, Love BC, Knabel SJ, Jayarao BM. Antimicrobial-resistant enteric bacteria from dairy cattle. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2007;73:156– 163.
- 45. Gupta KK, Aneja KR, Rana Deepanshu. Current status of cow dung as a bioresource for sustainable development. Bioresources and Bioprocessing. 2016;28.
- 46. Lu Hao, Xin Wang, Ke-Qin Zhang, Youyao Xu, Liang Zhou, Guohong Li. Identification and nematicidal activity of bacteria isolated from cow dung. Annals in Microbiology; 2013.

DOI: 10.1007/s13213-013-0660-7

47. Kumar R, Kumar S, Yashavanth BS, Meena PC, Ramesh P, Indoria AK, Kundu S, Manjunath, M. Adoption of natural farming and its effect on crop yield and farmers' livelihood in India; 2020.

© 2023 Mishra et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105787