



Problems Encountered by Coconut Growers by Adopting TNAU Coconut Tonic and their Perceived Benefits

M. Karthickraja^{a++*}, R. Premavathi^{a#}, K. P. Vanetha^{b†},
A. Senthil^{c‡} and R. Gangai Selvi^{d^}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology, TNAU, Coimbatore- 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

^b ICAR- Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tindivanam, Villupuram- 604 001, Tamil Nadu, India.

^c Department of Crop Physiology, TNAU, Coimbatore- 641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

^d Department of Physical Sciences and Information Technology, TNAU, Coimbatore- 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92611

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104352>

Original Research Article

Received: 30/05/2023

Accepted: 04/08/2023

Published: 12/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Aim: The study aims to analyse the problems encountered by Coconut growers by adopting the TNAU Coconut tonic and their perceived benefits.

Study Design: Ex post facto research design.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in the Coimbatore district which represents a major coconut-growing tract of the Western zone of Tamil Nadu.

⁺⁺ PG Scholar;

[#] Professor;

[†] Assistant Professor (Agricultural extension);

[‡] Professor and Head;

[^] Associate Professor (Statistics);

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mkarthickraja722@gmail.com;

Methodology: From the Coimbatore district of Tamil Nadu, 120 Coconut growers from three different blocks namely Pollachi (North), Pollachi (South) and Anaimalai block were purposively selected for conducting the study. Data were collected from coconut growers who are all adopting TNAU Coconut tonic. The well-structured pre-tested interview schedule was used to collect data from farmers. Garrett ranking and weighted average method were computed to analyse the constraints and perceived benefits of coconut growers who are all adopting the TNAU Coconut tonic as their nutrient management practices.

Results: The major constraints were reported by the growers viz., availability of tonic at TNAU (8.75%), Unskilled labour (8.57%), difficulty to identify the root (8.04%), the absorption rate of tonic is low (7.92%), non-availability of labour (7.31%), high cost of labour (7.13%), High cost of crop boosters (6.81%), poor knowledge on the availability of tonic at TNAU Agri cart, Coconut Research Station, Aliyar & Courier services (6.45%) as major constraints. The obtained key benefits include increased chlorophyll content and greenness of leaves (16.93), improved photosynthetic efficiency of leaves (11.73), decreases button shedding (30.53), increased number and size of nut (22.27), increased nut yield up to 20 per cent (18.20), increased longevity and vigour of the palm (19.40) and resistance to pests, diseases and environmental stresses (24.20) shows that maximum number of coconut growers benefited by adopting TNAU Coconut tonic.

Conclusion: To overcome these constraints, concerted efforts from researchers with government and institutional support set forth the easy adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic. The adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic technology brings forth a multitude of perceived benefits to the coconut growers, along with their conventional, recommended nutrient management practices and the livelihood of coconut growers will be improved.

Keywords: Coimbatore; TNAU Coconut tonic; technological and labour constraints; perceived benefits; institutional support.

1. INTRODUCTION

The coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) serves as a monocot classified into the Arecaeae, subfamily Arecoideae and is the only species in the genus *Cocos* [1]. Coconut is predominantly grown in humid tropics and is located within 23 degrees north and 23 degrees south of the equator to an altitude of 600 meters from above mean sea level for coconut production [2]. Temperature ranges from 20° to 32° Celsius with mean annual temperature of 27° Celsius for better yield. Rainfall is distributed equally throughout the year; a total of 1000 mm is adequate. In the western zone of Tamil Nadu due to more suitable climate [3], the maximum productivity under coconut cultivation was majorly in the Coimbatore district with an area of 88467.00 hectares, annual production of 13,237.00 million nuts and productivity of 14,963 nuts/hectares (Department of Agriculture & Co-operation, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare (Horticulture division), Govt. of India, 2021). In despite of the state, it is impractical to expand the production area-wise due to pests and disease attacks, environmental distress, water deficit, utilization of land for other purposes and unavailability of labour. Because of urban development and other socioeconomic factors, the usable land for coconut cultivation would rapidly diminish [3]. As

a result, productivity should be increased within the existing area by using site-specific technologies [4]. One of the harmful effects of chemical fertilizers were depleting our environment and ecosystem, as pointed out by [5]. In palm production the enhanced tree growth characteristics and yield attributes i.e., improved button nut productivity and nut setting and hence increasing yield while adopting the improved technologies [6]. Although the coconut palm yields fronds and nuts are year-round, it requires a steady supply of necessary nutrients from the soil. In a coconut system, nutrient replacement demands increase faster than uptake and loss, and because root surface for absorption is limited, it is critical to keep nutrient supply at a level of modest extravagant consumption [7]. The interaction effect of potassium and boron application on improving nut productivity encompassed that improved yield (15642 nuts/ha/year) [8]. Thus, the coconut was commercially cultivated, the coconut growers lack the potential yield is due to unaware of scientist-generated innovations and technologies and are not persuaded to accept the latest technology in their fields [9].

A nutritional crop booster is a complex mixture of all the essential nutrients that the crop requires for proper vegetative development and growth. It

mostly contains nutrients that are unavailable in the soil for each crop. Each plant will require a different crop booster based on its growth and development requirements. Considering the problems faced by coconut growers around the coconut belts of Tamil Nadu, the Department of Crop Physiology, the crop booster's division, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University released the "TNAU Coconut tonic" (A technique of feeding nutrients to trees through the root) during the year 2003 for the welfare of coconut growers. The technology provides the application of nutrients and growth regulators in the form of liquid directly to the root of the plant. Hence, the study will examine the constraints encountered by coconut growers to adopt the TNAU Coconut tonic technology and the key advantages attained by farmers after using the root feeding of TNAU Coconut tonic over the years.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Research Location

The deliberate selection of the Coimbatore district was made in part because of the importance of coconut farming. This district was selected, since it has the maximum area covered in coconuts of any district in the state, as well as the highest productivity. The major perennial crop raised in the district was coconut (49% of the net sown area) and 28.2 per cent of the total area in the plantation crop, it could be seen that coconut is the predominant crop of the district with an area of 83887 ha (According to TNRTP- Coimbatore DDR, 2019). For this, the Coimbatore district was purposively chosen and three blocks (Pollachi (North), Pollachi (South) and Anaimalai block) were selected purposively.

2.2 Data Collection

A well-structured pre-tested interview schedule was prepared for the collection of constraints and perceived benefits obtained by coconut growers while adopting TNAU Coconut tonic. After contacting the respondents, the gathered data were coded and tabulated for statistical tests to get meaningful results.

2.3 Research Design

Where,

An ex-post-facto research design was used for the present study to be consistent with the research objective. The sampling unit was coconut growers adopting TNAU Coconut tonic.

From each block, 40 coconut growers were selected randomly with a sample size of 120 respondents from the Pollachi taluk of Coimbatore district.

2.4 Statistical Tools Employed

2.4.1 Garret ranking

Garrett's ranking encompasses the score for constraints and advantages. The major benefit of this technique over simple frequency distribution is that the constraints are decided based on their seriousness from the point of view of respondents. Garrett's formula for converting ranks into percent is:

$$\text{Percent Position Value} = 100 * (R_{ij} - 0.5) / N_j$$

Where,

R_{ij} = rank given for i th constraint by the j th individual;

N_j = the number of constraints ranked by j th individual.

The per cent position of each rank will be modified into scores with the help of the table given by Garrett and Woodworth (1969). For each constraint, the scores of individual respondents will be added together and divided by the total number of respondents. These mean scores for all the constraints will be arranged in descending order; the constraints will be accordingly ranked.

2.4.2 Weighted average

A weighted average is a calculation that takes into account the varying degrees of importance of the numbers in a data set. In calculating a weighted average, each number in the data set is multiplied by a predetermined weight before the final calculation is made. A weighted average can be more accurate than a simple average in which all numbers in a data set are assigned an identical weight.

$$W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

W = Weighted average

n = number of terms to be averaged

w_i = Weights apply to X values

X_i = Data values to be averaged

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i = \text{Sum of numbers with the weighting factor}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = \text{Sum of all the weights}$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Problems Encountered by Coconut Growers by Adopting TNAU Coconut Tonic

The coconut growers face various constraints while adopting the TNAU Coconut tonic in different categories viz., technological constraints, labour constraints, economic constraints and accessibility constraints as listed in Table 1.

A. Technological constraints

Difficult to identify the root (8.04%) was one of the predominant technological constraints as

expressed by the majority of coconut growers and rank 3 is due to the non-availability of skilled labour. This finding is in line with the findings of [10]. The absorption rate of tonic is low was reported by coconut growers (7.92%) with rank 4 due to excess moisture retained in the soil while root feeding of the tonic. Thus, the absorption rate of tonic by the roots was low in water-logged soil when compared to non-water-logged soil. The time taken for absorption of the tonic was 6-8 days. This finding was in close contact with the findings of [10]. Loss of tonic due to packet damage (5.47%) with rank 12 was reported by meagre of coconut growers by cause of birds, domestic animals and less thinness or gauge of polythene bags after the use of tonic. Similar findings were reported by [10].

Lack of awareness on mixing and quantity of application (5.47%) with rank 11 might be due to poor awareness of the application of TNAU Coconut tonic [9] revealed that lack of awareness of the latest technologies in coconut cultivation is a personal constraint. Lack of adequate training

Table 1. Ranking of constraints faced by coconut growers while adopting root feeding technology of TNAU Coconut tonic n= 120

S. No.	Constraints	Sum	Average score	Percentage	Garrett rank
A. Technological constraints					
1.	Difficult to identify the root	7248	60.40	8.04	3
2.	Low absorption rate of tonic	7139	59.49	7.92	4
3.	Loss of tonic due to packet damage	4921	41.01	5.47	12
4.	Lack of awareness on mixing and quantity of application	4924	41.03	5.46	11
5.	Lack of adequate training and demonstration	5428	45.23	6.02	10
6.	Poor post care maintenance	3901	32.51	4.33	15
7.	Involvement of private players	6214	51.78	6.9	7
8.	No difference in yield and income	4857	40.48	5.39	14
B. Labour constraints					
9.	Non-availability of labour	6584	54.87	7.31	5
10.	High cost of labour	6427	53.56	7.13	6
11.	Unskilled labour	7719	64.33	8.57	2
C. Economic constraints					
12.	High cost of crop boosters	6137	51.14	6.81	8
13.	Additional costs incurred for the cost of cultivation	4916	40.97	5.45	13
D. Accessibility constraints					
14.	The tonic is only available at TNAU	7890	65.75	8.75	1
15.	Poor knowledge of the availability of tonic at TNAU Agri cart, Coconut Research Station, Aliyar & Courier services	5815	48.46	6.45	9
Total		90120		100	

and demonstration (6.02%) with rank 10 reported by coconut growers was due to inadequate capacity-building programmes and demonstrations concerning new technologies and innovations. Poor post-care maintenance (4.33%) with rank 15 was noticed very less among the coconut growers. Involvement of private players (6.90%) with rank 7 expressed by coconut growers because of easy availability in local extent by input dealers or commission agents or mandis on a contractual basis. No difference in yield and income (5.39%) with rank 14 was due to the application of coconut tonic for the first time in their field thus reported by the majority of coconut farmers.

B. Labour constraints

Labour constraints include the non-availability of labour (7.31%) with rank 5 expressed by most of the respondents. This might be due to labours migrating towards high-wage employment in other sectors as guaranteed jobs, so labour scarcity occurs. Farm labourers are currently transferring to the industry due to the higher income they receive. This manpower migration to industry has resulted in a labour shortage in agriculture. Because of this, producers are forced to pay high wages to agricultural labourers. This finding aligns with that of [9]. The high cost of labour (7.13%) with rank 6 was the significant labour constraint because of the unavailability of labour there is more demand in that particular region, and the existing labour hike their wages. Unskilled labour was a major constraint faced by coconut growers (8.57%) with rank 2. This might be due to the root feeding technology requiring the skill and experience to adopt, in the study area there was labour but they are not trained and skilled in the specific technology. The labour constraints are in line with the findings of [10].

C. Economic constraints

The high cost of crop boosters was experienced by coconut farmers (6.81%) with rank 8, followed by additional cost incurred for the cost of cultivation (5.45%) with rank 13 due to usage of TNAU Coconut tonic and labour charges. This finding is in line with the findings of [10]. Coconut farmers facing high cultivation costs in their production, as pointed out by [11]. Therefore, governments, agricultural universities, and development agencies can play a critical role in providing financial assistance, training

programmes, and demonstration for coconut growers to overcome these economic barriers. Collaboration between the public and private sectors can also aid in the adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic and the reduction of nutritional disorders and environmental stress.

D. Accessibility constraints

The tonic is only available at TNAU (8.75%) with rank 1 encountered by the majority of coconut growers due to the production unit being only available at TNAU, Coimbatore only. So, government take the necessary steps to take additional sales centres to overcome these constraints. Similar findings were reported by [10]. Poor knowledge of the availability of tonic at TNAU Agri cart, Coconut Research Station, Aliyar & Courier services (6.45%) with rank 9 was faced by coconut growers due to lack of mass media exposure and illiteracy among coconut growers.

3.2 Perceived Benefits Obtained by Coconut Growers While Adopting TNAU Coconut Tonic Technology

The key advantages benefited by coconut growers in Table 2. While adopting the root feeding of TNAU Coconut tonic technology was decreases button shedding (30.53), followed by resistance to pests, diseases and environmental stresses (24.20), increased number and size of nuts (22.27), increased longevity and vigour of the palm (19.40), increased nut yield up to 20 per cent (18.20), increased chlorophyll content and greenness of leaves (16.93) and improved photosynthetic efficiency of leaves (11.73). Coconut growers can experience numerous perceived benefits when adopting TNAU Coconut tonic in their cultivation practices. The major problems in coconut cultivation such as pests and diseases, nutritional deficiencies and hormonal disorders, small size and less number of nuts, nut cracking and poor pericarp formation, button shedding, drying of buttons and spath, pencil point disorder, improper nutrient supply, yellowing and scorching of leaves were drastically reduced. This finding was also similar in connection with [10]. These benefits can positively impact various aspects of coconut farming and improve the overall well-being of farmers [12,13].




Fig. 1. Pie chart for the constraints of adopting TNAU Coconut tonic technology

Table 2. Item-wise perceived benefits attained by coconut growers (n=120)

S. No	List of key advantages	Weighted average
1.	Increased chlorophyll content and greenness of leaves	16.93
2.	Improved photosynthetic efficiency of leaves	11.73
3.	Decreases button shedding	30.53
4.	Increased number and size of nuts	22.27
5.	Increased nut yield by up to 20 per cent	18.20
6.	Increased longevity and vigour of the palm	19.40
7.	Resistance to pests, diseases and environmental stresses	24.20




Fig. 2. Percentage of key benefits of adopting TNAU Coconut tonic technology

4. CONCLUSION

4.1 Constraints of Adopting TNAU Coconut tonic

The adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic in coconut cultivation offers the potential for improved productivity, efficiency and sustainability. However, several constraints hinder the widespread integration of these technologies within the coconut farming sector. Technological constraints, labour constraints, economic constraints and accessibility constraints can deter farmers from investing in modern practices.

To overcome these constraints, concerted efforts from researchers with government and institutional support and coconut research stations set forth the easy adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic are essential. Adapting root feeding of TNAU Coconut tonic technology to specific regional conditions and encouraging knowledge sharing will enable farmers to make informed choices about technology adoption. Collaboration between the public and private sectors can help to speed up the adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic technology, ensure sustainable coconut cultivation practices, and ultimately benefit farmers, consumers, and the environment. By overcoming such challenges, the coconut farming sector could achieve its full potential and contribute to boost the income of coconut growers and overcoming environmental distress such as drought, pest and disease attack.

4.2 Perceived Benefits of TNAU Coconut Tonic Technology

The adoption of TNAU Coconut tonic technology brings forth a multitude of perceived benefits for coconut growers, along with their conventional, recommended nutrient management practices, root feeding of TNAU Coconut tonic was added to their cultivation practices and improved their livelihoods. The integration of TNAU Coconut tonic offers the potential for increased productivity and improved quality, which translates into higher income and market access for farmers. Furthermore, TNAU Coconut tonic technologies contribute to the resilience of coconut cultivation by mitigating risks associated with pest and disease and environmental challenges.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I sincerely thank the Department of Crop Physiology for graciously supplying the secondary data and to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Think-tank fellowship, supporting for my research endeavours during the period (2022–2023).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gurbuz IB, Manaros M. Impact of coconut production on the environment and the problems faced by coconut producers in Lanao del Norte Province, Philippines. Scientific Paper Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development. 2019;19(3):247-258.
2. Kumar SN, Aggarwal PK. Climate change and coconut plantations in India: Impacts and potential adaptation gains. Agricultural Systems. 2013;117:45-54.
3. Hebbar KB, Abhin PS, Sanjo Jose V, Neethu P, Santhosh A, Shil S, Prasad PV. Predicting the potential suitable climate for coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) cultivation in India under climate change scenarios using the MaxEnt model. Plants. 2022; 11(6):731.
4. Srinivasan R, Kumar KA, Chandrakala M, Niranjana K, Maddileti N, Hegde R. Characterization and classification of major coconut growing soils in South Eastern Ghats of Tamil Nadu, India. Journal of Plantation Crops. 2021;49(2):94-103.
5. Sudha B, John J, Meera A, Sajeena A, Jacob D, Bindhu J. Coconut based integrated farming: A climate-smart model for food security and economic prosperity. J. Plantation Crops. 2021;49(2): 104-110.
6. Jayakumar M, Janapriya S, Surendran U. Effect of drip fertigation and polythene mulching on growth and productivity of coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.), water, nutrient use efficiency and economic benefits. Agricultural Water Management. 2017; 182:87-93.
7. Hameed Khan H. Fertilizer management in Coconut, In: Tandon, H.L.S. (Ed). Fertilizer Management in Commercial Crops.

- Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organisation, New Delhi; 1993.
8. Sathi Babu N, Medda PS, Himadri Bhattacharjee A, Kumar Sinha, Ghosh A. Impact of potassium-boron content of leaf on nut yield of coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) in terai Region of West Bengal. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2022;11(07):282-294
 9. Archana AS, Sakthivel V, Kanagasabapathi K. An analysis of constraints encountered by coconut growers in coconut cultivation of Kanyakumari District. Bull. Env.Pharmacol. Life Sci. 2022;11[11]:05-08.
 10. Kalarani M, Raja D, Janaki P. Popularization of TNAU Coconut Tonic and its impact. Agriculture Update 2009;4(1/2): 36-40.
 11. Sathuragiri V, Muthumani K. A study of production problems faced by coconut farmers in Theni district confront. Elementary Education Online. 2022;19(4): 7283-7283.
 12. Available: <https://rabcrop.com/crop-booster-and-different-type-of-fertilizers/>
 13. Available: http://www.agritech.tnau.ac.in/expert_system/coconut/coconut/coconut_planting_seasons.html

© 2023 Karthickraja et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104352>