

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 9, Page 451-459, 2023; Article no.IJECC.102049 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Performance of Various Chilli Hybrids for Growth, Yield and Quality Attributes

R. T. Roland Aimol ^{a++*}, Vijay Bahadur ^{a#}, Samir E. Topno ^{a†} and Anita Kerketta ^{a†}

^a Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92255

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102049

Original Research Article

Received: 22/04/2023 Accepted: 24/06/2023 Published: 05/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during 2022-23 at the vegetable Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The observations were recorded on various growth, yield and quality contributing characters. The results from the present investigation revealed that on the basis of mean performance of eighteen hybrids of Chilli, hybrids 20CHIHYB4 (50.03 q/ha) and 21CHIHYB3 (49.47 q/ha) were found superior in terms of Fruit yield (q/ha). On the basis of Analysis of variance significant difference was recorded for all the quantitative and qualitative traits indicating presence of large amount of variability in the hybrids. The maximum gross return, net return and Benefit cost ratio Rs. 101260.00, Rs. 41260.00 and 1.6 respectively were recorded in hybrid 20CHIHYB4.

Keywords: Chilli, hybrids; variability; economics.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: aimolroland@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 451-459, 2023

⁺⁺ Research Student;

[#]Associate Professor;

[†] Assistant Professor;

1. INTRODUCTION

"Chilli (Capsicum annum L.) is one of the most important commercial crops of India. It belongs to genus Capsicum under the the family Solanaceae. Chilli is an indispensable spice, due to its pungency, taste, appealing colour and flavor. It is the second largest commodity after black pepper (Piper nigrum L.) in the international spice trade. In India, it is an important ingredient in daily cuisine and is also used in the preparation of pickles, chutneys, etc. The pungency in chilli is due to a crystalline, acrid, volatile alkaloid, capsaicin present in placenta and pericarp of the fruit which has high diverse prophylactic and therapeutic uses in Allopathic and Ayurvedic medicine value. India is the largest producer, consumer and exporter of chilli with an annual production of 2.09 million tonnes from 0.84 million ha" (National Horticulture Board, 2016-17). "According to an estimate for 2016, in India, chillies (dry-red and fresh-green fruits) were cultivated in 797,029 ha with a total production of 1.3 million tonnes of dry fruits and 679,17 tonnes of fresh fruits. Average yield of dry chilli harvest was around 1.7 t/ha compared to that of 8.4 t/ha for green chilli" (FAOSTAT, 2016). "In India, the states of Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Orissa and Tamil Nadu account for more than 75% of the area and production of chilli. Studies on chilli genotypes revealed that great variation exists in ability to flowering, fruit set, yield and other qualitative attributes under different agroclimates". Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the growth, flowering behaviour and physical fruit parameters in various chilli genotypes in Prayagraj [1].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the year 2022 in Departmental vegetable Research field of Department of Horticulture and Sciences, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The area is situated on the south of Prayagraj on the right bank of Yamuna at Rewa road at the distance of about 6 km from Prayagraj city. It is situated at the 250.8°N Latitude and 810.50°E meters from sea level. Prayagraj has a sub-tropical climatic with uttermost in summer (in the month of May and June) with temperature reaching around 115°F with hot blazing winds and in winter (December

and January the temperature falls down as low as 32°F. The average rainfall is around 1013.4 (mm) annually with maximum concentration during July to September with occasional showers in winters. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD), 18 varieties of chilli with 3 replications each.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present experiment, a research was conducted to study the varietal performance of chilli (Capsicum annum) at Prayagraj agroclimatic condition. The morphological growth parameters are namely, days taken to germination, number of branches per plant, plant height (cm), plant spread(cm), days to 1st flowering, days to 50% flowering, days to $1^{\mbox{st}}$ harvesting, number of pickings and yield parameters were length of fruits(cm),fruits diameter(cm), number of fruits per plant, average weight of fruits(g), fruits yield per plant(g), fruits yield (q/ha), and quality parameters are ascorbic acid(mg/100g)fruits of juice, TSS. number of seed per fruits and economics. The results obtained are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

3.1 Growth Parameters

The analysis of variance (Table 1) revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the 18 varieties studied indicating the presence of variability for various growth parameters. The seed germination and seedling growth parameters showed different variation in their performance with respect to the different hybrids taken. These results are in conformity with earlier reports of Nimnoi et al. [2].

The data recorded on days taken to germination are presented in Table 1. From the data it was observed that days taken to germination varied significantly in chilli genotypes with a mean from 7 9. recorded the maximum to was 20CHIHYB3(9) followed by 21CHIHYB7(8) in chilli hybrids. The minimum was recorded in hybrids Suraj Mukhi (check) (7). Such variation in the days taken to germination may be due to genotypes characteristic of genotypes, interaction with environment and soil factors. These results are conformity with the findings of Chaudhary et al., [3], Arshia Debbarma et al., (2018), Veerendra et al., [4].

The data recorded on number of branches produced per plant at last harvest in all the varieties are presented in Table 1. From the data it was observed that the number of branches produced per plant varied significantly in chilli genotypes during last harvest of growth. The genotypes at last harvest stage produced maximum branches in 20CHIHYB1 (16.70) followed by 21CHIHYB8 (16.40) and minimum number of branches per plant was recorded in hybrids Suraj Mukhi (7.67). Such variation in the number of branches per plant may be due to genotypes characteristic of genotypes, interaction with environment and soil factors. These results are conformity with the findings of Amith et al., (2014), Ukkund et al., [5] and Vijaya et al., (2014), Versha et al., (2017), Mopidevi et al., [6], Prasad et al., (2019).

"The plant height represents the extension of primary growth and constitutes an important component of plant architecture. The data pertaining to mean height of the plant in chilli varieties under study at different growth stages is presented in Table 1. It was evident from the data that plant height differed significantly in chilli varieties at various growth stages. Generally, plant height increased from transplanting 1 month onwards, reaching its maximum height at 4th month and then it declined at the last harvest stage. All the genotypes showed similar trend. Significant variations in the plant height were observed among chilli varieties at all the stages of plant growth". [7] Plant height of genotypes varied with a mean from 42.13cm to 49.83 cm (Table 1). Among the genotypes, Suraj Mukhi (Check) (49.83 cm) recorded maximum plant height followed by 21CHIHYB8 (49 cm), whereas, minimum plant height was recorded in 21CHIHYB1 (42.13 cm). The difference observed in the plant height of the different genotypes at different stages of crop growth could be attributed to their genotype characteristics. This may be due to difference in the genotypes genetic makeup, environment and soil of Prayagraj. These results are in accordance with the finding of Rivitra et al.,(2021),Sarita et al.,(2019), Rupali et al.,(2017), Ukkund et al., [5].

"Plant spread is the results of process of differentiation occurring in plant. It was observed that the maximum plant spreads were recorded at last harvest in all the genotypes (Table 1). It was observed from the data that the plant spread differed significantly in chilli genotypes during last harvest stage. The maximum plant spread was observed in genotype 20CHIHYB3 (44.79 cm) followed by 20CHIHYB9 (44.36 cm) which was significantly superior to all the varieties at last harvest days stage. The minimum plant spread was observed in the genotype Suraj Mukhi (check) (30.33 cm). The wide range of variation in the plant spread observed may be due to direct effect of soil and agro-climatic condition of Prayagraj and indirect effect of number of branches per plant" [7]. Significant variations in plant spread were reported by Nehru et al., Yatagiri et al., [7], Bharadwaj et al., [8].

The data pertaining to the number of days to initiation of flowering is presented in Table 1. The minimum number of days to initiation of flowering was observed in the genotypes 20CHIHYB2 (37.63) followed by 20CHIHYB5 (38.77). The maximum davs in the genotype 20CHIHYB3(45.36) followed by 21CHIHYB4 (44.20) and variation in days to initiation of flowering might be due to moderately genetic factors of varieties, less influence by hormonal factors and environmental factors. The results are in conformity with the findings of Manju and Amit et al., [9], Yatagiri et al., [7].

"The data pertaining to the number of days to 50% of flowering are presented in Table 1. It was noticed that genotype 20CHIHYB4 required minimum (44.07) number of days, followed by 20CHIHYB2 (44.43) days and the genotype Suraj Mukhi required the maximum days (55.00) followed by 20CHIHYB4 (49.51) days. The variation of the days to 50% flowering may be due to highly genetic makeup of genotypes, less environmental, and vigor growth of crop [10].

Harvesting duration is the important character specially in vegetable crops which are consumed at immature tender stage. The more harvesting span is considered as favourable character and given into consideration during selection. Number of the days to first harvesting determined the earliness of genotypes. It was noticed that the genotype the Suraj Mukhi (Check) (60) was recorded minimum (44.07) followed by 21CHIHYB8 (63.33), and the maximum days to first harvesting was 21CHIHYB6 (66.67), followed by 20CHIHYB8 (63.33). This may be due to difference in the genotypes genetic makeup, environment and soil of Prayagraj [11,12].

Number of pickings indicates the longetivity or harvesting duration. The variations may be due to appearance to 50 percent flowering, number of fruits per plant, moderately and less effect of climatic condition, soil in Prayagraj. It was noticed that the genotype 20CHIHYB5 (6.00) was recorded the maximum number of first pickings followed by 20CHIHYB2 (5.67) and the number minimum of pickings was 21CHIHYB8(4.33). Similar results regarding the number of picking per plant had noticed by Kumari et al., [13] Devi et al. [14].

3.2 Yield and Quality Parameter

The data on yield and quality parameters are given in Table 2.

The maximum fruits length (17.53cm) was reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB3 followed by 21CHIHYB7 (11.63cm) and the minimum length of the fruits was recorded in the hybrids 21CHIHYB5 (7.40cm). The length of fruits is one of the major factors for deciding the yield of the crop. The variation in fruits length might have been due to the internodal length, sex ratio, fruit set percentage, genetic nature and their response to varying environment condition and higher uptake nutrient. Similar results have been found in [15,16].

The variation in diameter of fruits may be due to its hybrid vigour and adoptability to Allahabad agro- climatic condition. The maximum number of fruits diameter was recorded in the hybrids (2.43cm) followed by 21CHIHYB3 (2.17cm). The minimum number of fruits diameter was recorded in the hybrids Suraj Mukhi (1.10cm). Similar findings have been reported by Sushmita et al., [17].

The maximum number of fruits per plants was recorded (53.67) reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB3 followed by 20CHIHYB1 (52.67). The minimum number of fruits per plants was recorded in the hybrids Suraj Mukhi (30.33), which were on par with each other and the variation is due to the inherent characters and genetic makeup of the hybrids, higher uptake of nutrient and environmental conditions. The results are conformity with finding of Vani et al. [18].

The maximum average pod weight (13.20 g) was recorded in the hybrid 20CHIHYB3 followed by 20CHIHYB7 (10.97g). The minimum average pod weight was recorded in the hybrids 20CHIHYB4 (3.23g), which were on par with each other and the significant variation in weight of fruits might have been due to fruit set percentage, fruit length, number of fruits per vine and fruits width, genetic nature, environmental factors and vigour of the crops and higher uptake of nutrient. The results are in conformity with the findings of Yatagiri et al., [7],

The maximum fruit yield per plant (673.50 g) reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB3 followed by 21CHIHYB3 (510.60 g). The minimum fruit yield per plant was recorded in the hybrids 21CHIHYB5 (147.27 g) which were on par with each other and increasing of number of fruits plant is mostly influenced by genetic factor, environmental factor, hormonal factor vigour of the crop the fruit plant is one of the major factors for deciding the yield of the crop. The variation in fruit plant have been to sex ratio and fruit set percentage. The results are in agreement with the finding of Mathew et al., [19].

The maximum fruit yield q/ha (50.03) was reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB4 followed by 21CHIHYB3(49.37q/ha). The minimum fruit (yield q/ha) was recorded in the hybrids Suraj Mukhi (5.43q/ha).The higher yield plant due to its inherent characteristics, better adoptability for the environmental conditions and efficiently all available factors viz. water, nutrient, light and CO2. None of the treatment significantly influenced the plant standard. The result are in agreement with the finding of Mopidevi et al., [6], Yatagiri et al., [7].

Hybrids	Days taken to germination	No. of branches per plant	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread(cm)	Days to first flowering	Days to50% flowering	Days to first harvesting	Numberof pickings
20CHIHYB1	9	16.7	43.90	39.32	39.92	44.44	66.67	5.00
20CHIHYB2	8	15.5	45.33	41.13	37.63	44.43	66.67	5.67
20CHIHYB3	9	15.9	42.57	44.79	45.36	49.51	60.00	5.33
20CHIHYB4	9	15.2	42.33	40.01	38.77	44.07	60.00	5.00
20CHIHYB5	8	16.1	45.10	41.97	40.93	45.23	63.33	6.00
20CHIHYB6	8	14.4	45.57	41.79	42.68	49.42	66.67	6.00
20CHIHYB7	7	16.0	42.47	41.90	40.43	45.37	66.67	5.00
20CHIHYB8	8	16.1	43.27	41.30	41.47	46.73	63.33	6.00
20CHIHYB9	7	15.7	46.27	44.36	39.93	45.73	63.33	5.00
21CHIHYB1	7	15.5	42.13	40.63	41.00	45.37	63.33	6.00
21CHIHYB2	9	15.5	43.60	41.63	41.80	45.86	66.67	5.67
21CHIHYB3	9	15.1	42.50	41.23	41.93	47.27	63.33	5.00
21CHIHYB4	9	15.9	43.37	41.50	44.20	49.00	63.33	6.00
21CHIHYB5	9	15.5	44.30	41.00	42.80	49.16	63.33	5.33
21CHIHYB6	9	14.1	47.43	41.35	42.87	48.07	66.67	6.00
21CHIHYB7	8	15.6	46.50	42.80	40.40	45.47	63.33	5.67
21CHIHYB8	8	16.4	49.00	40.99	42.07	45.73	63.33	4.33
SURAJ MUKHI	7	7.6	49.83	30.33	40.00	55.00	60.00	5.00
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
SE(d)	0.06	0.68	1.36	1.30	1.78	1.66	3.93	0.26
C.D.	0.11	1.38	2.87	2.64	3.61	3.37	7.99	0.54
C.V.	0.84	5.49	3.86	3.88	5.27	4.37	7.54	5.94

Table 1. Growth parameters of different chilli genotypes

Hybrids	Length ofthe fruits(cm)	Fruits diameter	Numberof fruitsper	Average weight of	Fruit yield per	Fruit yield (q/ha)	Ascorbicacid (mg/100)	TSS (⁰Brix)	No. of seed/fruits
	inuits(ciii)	(cm)	plant	fruits(g)	plant(g)	(q/na)	(119/100)		seeu/iruits
20CHIHYB1	7.53	1.47	52.67	3.53	183.10	38.27	94.67	5.37	82.67
20CHIHYB2	11.07	1.77	44.00	8.93	391.40	37.83	97.67	5.73	78.67
20CHIHYB3	17.53	2.43	53.67	13.20	673.50	50.03	102.67	6.17	116.67
20CHIHYB4	7.50	1.47	49.33	3.23	161.53	35.97	94.00	6.10	105.00
20CHIHYB5	9.03	1.67	45.67	4.87	222.67	46.80	85.67	6.03	85.33
20CHIHYB6	9.47	1.53	43.00	6.10	339.77	34.63	89.67	6.70	128.33
20CHIHYB7	11.17	2.13	50.00	10.93	414.67	42.93	87.33	6.13	88.67
20CHIHYB8	10.17	1.77	47.67	7.33	325.03	38.53	94.33	6.97	99.33
20CHIHYB9	11.27	1.87	51.00	5.57	386.20	36.40	86.00	5.90	98.00
21CHIHYB1	11.00	2.13	48.00	7.67	365.90	36.97	101.67	6.07	82.00
21CHIHYB2	10.13	2.13	47.67	8.70	409.93	36.47	95.67	6.43	79.33
21CHIHYB3	10.40	2.17	49.67	10.27	510.60	49.37	95.67	6.17	89.67
21CHIHYB4	10.20	1.47	49.67	6.23	346.93	33.10	91.00	6.70	81.33
21CHIHYB5	7.40	1.27	45.33	3.63	147.27	46.40	88.67	6.30	95.67
21CHIHYB6	10.60	1.47	45.33	6.70	306.17	30.93	88.33	6.40	97.67
21CHIHYB7	11.65	2.17	51.67	9.27	478.47	34.20	98.33	6.03	67.67
21CHIHYB8	10.33	2.13	52.33	7.60	394.80	40.40	82.00	7.07	66.67
Suraj Mukhi	7.80	1.10	30.33	3.37	147.33	5.43	75.67	6.43	50.33
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
SE(d)	0.73	0.05	5.27	0.71	55.97	2.84	7.03	0.80	19.12
C.D.	1.49	0.10	10.72	1.44	113.75	5.76	14.28	1.63	38.85
C.V.	8.77	3.25	13.41	12.14	19.81	17.76	9.40	15.66	26.46

Table 2. Yield and quality parameters of different chilli genotypes

Aimol et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 451-459, 2023; Article no.IJECC.102049

Hybrids	Fruit Yield (q/ha)	SellingRate (Rs/q)	Gross Return (INR/ha)	Cost of Cultivation (INR/ha)	Net Return (INR/ha)	Benefit Cost Ratio
20CHIHYB1	38.27	2000	76540	60000	16540	1.2
20CHIHYB2	37.83	2000	75660	60000	15660	1.2
20CHIHYB3	34.20	2000	68400	60000	8400	1.1
20CHIHYB4	50.03	2000	101260	60000	41260	1.6
20CHIHYB5	46.80	2000	93600	60000	33600	1.5
20CHIHYB6	34.63	2000	69260	60000	2260	1.1
20CHIHYB7	42.93	2000	85860	60000	25860	1.4
20CHIHYB8	38.53	2000	77060	60000	17060	1.2
20CHIHYB9	36.40	2000	72800	60000	12800	1.2
21CHIHYB1	36.97	2000	73940	60000	13940	1.2
21CHIHYB2	36.47	2000	72940	60000	12940	1.2
21CHIHYB3	49.47	2000	98940	60000	38940	1.6
21CHIHYB4	33.10	2000	66200	60000	6200	1.1
21CHIHYB5	46.40	2000	92800	60000	32800	1.5
21CHIHYB6	30.93	2000	61860	60000	1860	1.0
21CHIHYB7	35.97	2000	71940	60000	11940	1.1
21CHIHYB8	40.40	2000	80800	60000	20800	1.3
SURAJ MUKHI	5.43	2000	10860	60000	49140	0.1

Table 3. Cost benefit ratio

The maximum ascorbic acid (102.67mg/100g) was reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB3 followed by 21CHIHYB1 (101.67 mg/100g), and 21CHIHYB7 (98.33mg/100g). The minimum ascorbic acid (mg/100g) was recorded in the hybrids Suraj Mukhi (75.67 mg/100g) The variation may be due to the inherent character and genetic makeup of the hybrids and environment condition and the results are conformity with finding of Yogesh et al. [20].

The maximum total soluble solid (7.07 ^oBrix) was reported in the hybrid 21CHIHYB8 followed by 20CHIHYB6 (6.70^oBrix). The minimum total soluble solid was recorded in the hybrids 20CHIHYB1(5.37 ^oBrix). Mudasir and Anju (2018) also observed a significant increased in TSS irrespective of treatment and reported that the increase in TSS could be due to the conversion of starch and other insoluble carbohydrates into sugars which was similar to the result obtained. [14,20].

The maximum number of seed per fruits (128.33) was reported in the hybrid 20CHIHYB6 followed by 20CHIHYB3 (116.67),20CHIHYB4 (105.00) and the minimum number of seed per fruits was recorded in the hybrids Suraj Mukhi (50.33). Number of seeds showed significant variation among cultivars. Number of seeds per fruit varied due to the variation of genetic materials in chilli [20].

3.3 Economics of Different Chilli Hybrids Genotypes

The economics of the chilli hybrids was calculated by summed cost of all agronomical practices, protection measures, land etc including labour and farm machinery. The total cost of cultivation is (INR 60000).

The total yield of particular hybrids is multiplied by marked price of chilli at a time. The total cost of cultivation is subtracted from total income, all the middleman margin and market charge were subtracted from total income for determining the net return.

The maximum net return per hectare was obtained by 20CHIHYB4 i.e.,101260 INR and followed by 21CHIHYB3 i.e., 98940 and the minimum gross return hectare by SURAJ MUKHI i.e.,10860 INR. The maximum net income per hectare was obtained by 20CHIHYB4 i.e.,41260 and followed by 21CHIHYB3 i.e., 38940 and the minimum net return per hectare was obtained by

21CHIHYB i.e.,1860 INR. Among the different chilli hybrids 20CHIHYB4 has the highest cost benefit ratio 1.6 followed by 21CHIHYB3 i.e.,1.6 and the minimum cost benefit ratio was obtained in SURAJ MUKHI (check) i.e.,0.1.

4. CONCLUSION

From the above experiment it can be concluded that 20CHIHYB3 was found to be best in terms of growth parameters. However, on the basis of earliness parameters (flowering and fruit setting), treatment 20CHIHYB2 performed best. On the basis of Yield Parameters, treatment 20CHIHYB3 was found to be the best, on the basis of Ascorbic Acid in Fruits. 20CHIHYB3is the best and the Total soluble solids was found best in 21CHIHYB7. The highest gross return was found in the 21CHIHYB4 and the B:C ratio was found in the same with 1.6.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Badu, Meenakshi and Naresh, Ponnam and Sahu, Gouri Shankar and Tripathy, Pradyumna and Das, Niranjan and Veera, Usha Rani. Mean Performance of F3 Genotypes for Yield and Yield Attributing Characters in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Int J Plant Soil Sci. 2022.;34(21):865-71.
- 2. Nimnoi P, Ruanpanun P. Suppression of root-knot nematode and plant growth promotion of chili (*Capsicum flutescens* L.) using co-inoculation of Streptomyces spp. Biol Control. 2020;145:104-244.
- Choudhary VK, Kumari S, Chaurasia AK, Naseem M, Gupta A, Maiti RK. Effect of priming and ageing on seed quality parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2008;1(3):111-6.
- 4. Verma VK, Jha AK, Patel RK, Ngachan SV. Studies on storage life, and effect of temperature and pre-sowing seed treatments on germination behaviour and maturity indices in King-chilli (*Capsicum spp*). 88. 2018;8:1162-7.
- 5. Ukkund KM, Madalageri MB, Patil MP, Mulage R, Kotikal YK. Variability studies in green Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2007;20(1): 102-4.

- M Nagaraju M, Reddy RVSK, Reddy KM, Naidu LN, Rani AS, Krishna KU. Performance of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes for yield and yield attributing traits. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(12):2252-60.
- Yatagiri N, Sanap PB, Telugu RK. Growth, Flowering Behaviour and Physical Fruit Parameters of Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) Genotypes in Coastal Maharashtra. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(7):2230-7.
- Bharadwaj DN, Singh H, Yadav RK. Genetic variability and association of component characters for yield in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Prog Agric. 2007;7(1/2):72-4.
- 9. Amitvikram IK. Warshamana and Meenu Gupta 2014. Genetic correlation and path coefficient studies on yield and biochemical traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Farm Sciences.,4(2): 70-5.
- Jan JA, Nabi G, Khan M, Ahmad S, Shah PS, Hussain S et al. Foliar Application of Humic Acid Improves Growth and Yield of Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) Varieties. Pak J Agric Res. 2020;33(3):461-72.
- 11. Ajjappalavara PS, Channagoudra RF. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Asian J Hortic. 2009;4(1):99-101.
- 12. Ajjapplavara PS, Patil SS, Hosamani RM, Patil AA, Gangaprasad S. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in chilli. Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2005;18(3):(748-51).
- 13. Kumari A, Jat AS. Effect of polythene mulch on growth, yield and economics of Green Chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). J Krishi Vigyan. 2021;9(2):49-52.

- Devi R, Kaur T, Kour D, Yadav AN, Suman A. Potential applications of mineral solubilizing rhizospheric and nitrogen fixing endophytic bacteria as microbial consortium for the growth promotion of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Biologia. 2022;77(10):2933-43.
- Vidyashree AB, Allolli TB, Ravi Y, Mulge R. Genetic variability studies in Byadagi chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). Int J Pure App Biosci. 2018;6(5):1120-5.
- Yatung T, Dubey RK, Singh V, Upadhyay G. Genetic diversity of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes of India based on morpho- chemical traits. Aust J Crop Sci. 2014;8(1):97-102.
- Sushmitha A, Allolli TB, Ganiger VM, Ajjappalavar PS, Evoor S, Gopali JB et al. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality traits of Byadgi Dabbi genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2019;8(5):944-7.
- Vani SK, Sridevi O, Salimath PM. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Ann Biol. 2007;23(2):117-21.
- Mathew RM, Bastian D, Francies RM, Cherian AK, Raja K, Milu Herbert. Effect of seed invigoration with inorganic nanoparticles on seed yield in chilli (*Capsicum annum*) J Phytol. 2021;13:14-5.
- 20. Yogeshkumar HJ, Ajjappalavara PS, Megharaj KC, Patil HB, Revanappa MS, Gollagi SG. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality components of Byadgi Dabbi ecotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(3):879-88.

© 2023 Aimol et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102049