

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 3, Page 106-119, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.96905 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Influence of Seasons and Nutrient Invigoration on Seed Quality in Greengram

G. Adhithya <sup>a\*</sup>, R. Siddarju <sup>b</sup>, Parashivmurthy <sup>b</sup>, T. M. Ramanapa <sup>b</sup>, K. Vishwanath <sup>b</sup>, U. Tejaswini <sup>b</sup> and S. Sowjanya <sup>b</sup>

> <sup>a</sup> TSSOCA, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. <sup>b</sup> UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i32781

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96905

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 18/12/2022 Accepted: 24/02/2023 Published: 01/03/2023

# ABSTRACT

The influence of seed invigoration on seed yield and quality was studied in two seasons, i.e., summer and *kharif*. Among the seasons studied, seed quality parameters significantly differed for both seasons. Summer recorded the highest values in growth and yield parameters, *viz.*, field emergence and plant population at harvest (91.75 and 87.75 %, respectively), number of nodules (35.71), seed yield (q/ha) (3.49), and seed quality parameters, *viz.*, seed germination (%) (g) (91.7), and seedling vigour index I and II (3392 and 2252, respectively). But the lowest hard seeds were recorded in kharif (71.71%). Among treatments studied, 1 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest values in growth and yield parameters, *viz.*, field emergence and plant population at harvest (96.50 and 91.17 %, respectively), number of nodules (38.50), pod length (cm), and number of seeds per pod (11.00 and 12.23, respectively). also in seed quality parameters, *viz.*, seed germination (%) and

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 106-119, 2023

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: adithyaguntha652@gmail.com;

100 seed weight (g) (94.7 and 3.78, respectively), shoot length and root length (25.93 and 14.51 cm, respectively), mean seedling length (cm) and mean seedling dry weight (mg) (40.44 and 278, respectively), seedling vigour index I and II (3829 and 2636, respectively), and total dehydrogenase activity ( $A_{480nm}$ ) (1.825).

Keywords: Green gram; seed invigoration; nutrients.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Greengram is a short-duration pulse crop, as farmers are interested in growing it for three seasons in a single year. Because of the presence of a hard seed coat or dormancy in greengram, demonstrating proper crop stand establishment at the field level during the germination period is difficult. As a result, we designed the current research programme to address issues such as field germination delay. how to break the hard seededness in selected three greengram varieties, and seed yield and quality parameters. Low yields are caused by poor seed nutrition, which can be remedied by applying nutrients to the plant via the foliar mode, which has a greater impact on seed quality than soil nutrition and reduces fertiliser costs for farmers. Major problems with pulses during storage were also studied using different packing seed treatment chemicals, materials, and solarisation treatment studies to control storage pests.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed invigoration treatments have been developed to improve seed performance during germination and early seedling growth. So it is necessary to develop suitable techniques in order to improve greengram seed germination capacity and evaluate the effects of different priming treatments. Improved seed invigoration techniques are being used to reduce the germination time, synchronise germination, improve the germination rate, and increase seedling stand [1].

Hydro-priming is a traditional pre-sowing seed treatment that involves soaking seeds in tap water for an appropriate amount of time before dehydrating them for further sowing. In hydropriming, seeds imbibe an adequate amount of water to initiate the germination process but elude the protrusion of the radicle and plumule. Seeds are hydrated for a known interval, which jerks metabolic actions inside the seed, but dehydration after priming averts completion of germination. At the time of sowing, this seed absorbs moisture from the substrate, resulting in quick and synchronised emergence. This conventional method significantly enhances the activities of hydrolytic enzymes, which bring about the breakdown of food material available in the endosperm and deliver energy to the living embryo for further growth. Alterations in seed cell membrane integrity occur due to ageing and storage, which cause a decline in the viability and vigour of the seed. Priming invigorates seeds through repair mechanisms by creating oxidative stress.

To overcome adverse environmental conditions like low rainfall and low soil moisture that prevent germination and seedling establishment, seed priming is given as a presowing seed treatment, which acts as a boon to farmers in dryland agriculture. It has a synergistic effect on early and uniform seed germination and enhances tolerance to pests and disease during the early crop stage. It controls soil- and seed-borne fungi. Seed priming has presented promising and even surprising results for many seeds, including legume seeds.

Micronutrients act as cofactors for many enzymes, performing a critical role in metabolic processes that fasten the readily available energy during germination, which helps in faster emergence, faster growth, a higher germination rate, etc.

Keeping all this in view, the present investigation was conducted to find out the impact of various chemicals on various growth, seed yield, and quality parameters of greengram grown in summer and *kharif*. Since season is the one factor affecting the growth and yield of crops, it has a great influence on flowering time, dry matter accumulation, seed set, and seed yield.

The present experiment of seed invigoration treatments on greengram var.KKM-3was carried out during Summer 2021 and *Kharif* 2021 in order to improve the seed quality. The seeds were soaked in respective solution for three hours  $(T_3-T_7)$  in a ratio of one kg seed: 3L solution before the day of sowing and then dried

back to original moisture content for 34 h and all the seeds were treated with *Rhizobium* @ 50 g/kg seed. The present experiment was carried using different chemicals as seed priming agents such as MgSO<sub>4</sub>, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>,KCI and hydro priming to improve seed yield and quality parameters in greengram variety KKM-3.

## 2.1 Seasons

S<sub>1</sub>:Summer, 2021 S<sub>2</sub>:*Kharif*, 2021

## 2.2 Treatments

T<sub>1</sub>:Hydro priming for 3h T<sub>3</sub>:Priming with 1% MgSO<sub>4</sub> T<sub>3</sub>:Priming with 3% KH<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> T<sub>4</sub>:Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub> T<sub>5</sub>:Priming with 3% CaCl<sub>3</sub> T<sub>6</sub>:Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub> T<sub>7</sub>: Priming with 1% KCl T<sub>8</sub>: Control

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seed priming significantly enhances activities of hydrolytic enzymes which brings breakdown of reserve food material available in endosperm and deliver energy to the living embryo for further growth. Uniform crop establishment under favourable as well as in unfavourable environmental conditions is significant prerequisite for better seed yield. Seeds show variation in germination due to low vigour, hard seed coat and abiotic stressed conditions. Invigorate seeds by treating before sowing and it played imperative role on crop establishment. Seed priming is a physiological invigoration technique majorly stimulates repairing of cell organelles, metabolism essential for germination. One of the very traditional pre sowing seed treatment is hydro-priming which includes hvdration of seeds in tap water and dehydrated them back to original moisture content until sowing. In the priming treatments seeds imbibe adequate amount of solution/water to initiate germination process but elude protrusion of radicle and plumule [2].

Micro and macro nutrients as a priming agent in a low optimized concentration it has a potential to increase germination percentage, crop emergence, stand establishment, seedling development, mineral uptake, dry matter accumulation and enhanced water use efficiency, vigor index, hundred seedling weight, mean root and shoot lengths, leaf area and yield attributes. Priming activate metabolic changes required for germination and easy mobilization of reserve food materials resulting in sugars can be used for protein synthesis during germination which improves germination rate and uniform growth of the plants [3].

## **3.1 Growth Parameters**

#### 3.1.1 Field emergence (%)

The seasons showed significant difference in field emergence. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest field emergence (91.75 %) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (89.79 %). Field emergence also differed significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Priming with 1 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded the highest field emergence (96.50 %) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and T<sub>3</sub> (Priming with 2 % KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (93.83 % and 93.00 %, respectively), while lowest was recorded in control (85.50 %).

The micronutrients plays an important role in plant growth in pulse crops where Zn is main constituent of an enzyme essential for the synthesis of plant hormone indole acetic acid, which is presumed to be capable of stimulating emergence and highest field emergence due to stimulated hypocotyl growth, also increased cell elongation with  $KH_2PO_4$  [4]. In present work Zn nutrient priming better in showing field emergence and the similar results were also reported by Ananthi et al. [5] and Devi et al. [6].

#### 3.1.2 Plant height at 30 DAS (cm)

The seasons showed significant difference for plant height at 30 DAS. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest plant height (14.38 cm) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (13.16cm). Plant height (cm) at 30 DAS differs significantly among eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest plant height (18.17 cm) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) which are on par with each other (16.93 cm and 16.10 cm, respectively) and lowest was recorded in control (9.87 cm).

Plant height is an important criterion for any crop in providing more places for flower production leading to better pod / fruit production. Priming increased oxidative enzymatic activity of compounds leading to improved seedling growth and plant height. The rationale for seed priming is to mobilize the seeds their own resources in addition to the external resources for maximum improvement in stand establishment and yield. The similar findings were also reported in soybean by Limba et al. [7].

The seeds treatment was done in combination with *Rhizobium*. Hence, the increase in plant growth due to increased uptake of nutrients by micro organisms associated with plants and their synergistic effect [4].

## 3.1.3 Plant height at 60 DAS (cm)

The seasons showed significant difference for plant height 60 DAS. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest plant height (29.95 cm) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (28.92 cm). Plant height at 60 DAS differed significantly among different seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest plant height (32.37 cm) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$ (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (31.50 and 31.93 cm, respectively). While, lowest was recorded in unprimed seeds (26.20 cm).

The increase in plant height might be due to an increased supply of nutrients during initial stages and also due to more nodulation by *Rhizobium*. The association also regulate the physiological processes in the ecosystems by involving in the decomposition of organic matter, fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, secretion of growth-promoting substances, increasing the availability of mineral nutrients. Thus, the rhizosphere effect through microbial activity modifies the plant itself by providing the plant growth and increasing the availability of elements to the root zone.

Seed priming enhanced the availability of macro and micro nutrients throughout the crop growth period which might have helped in increasing translocation into the plants without any loss that contributed for better photosynthetic activity and ultimately reflected on significant increase in plant height. Similar results also reported by Elankavi et al. [8].

## 3.1.4 Number of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>

The seasons showed significant difference in number of nodules per plant. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest number of nodules (35.71) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (33.04). Number of nodules per plant differed significantly among seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest number of nodules (38.50) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (37.67 and 37.33, respectively) while lowest was recorded in control (27.67).

Nutrient seed priming and inoculation of seeds with *Rhizobium* recorded more number of nodules at all the growth stages. A high population of rhizobia before sowing is required to ensure the survival on seed and in the soil to bring about effective nodulation, because pulse productivity mainly depends upon optimum nodulation (Kurundkar et al., 1991).

The increased nodule number plant<sup>-1</sup> due to the *Rhizobium* inoculation was also reported in pulses by Prakash et al. [9] in blackgram.

## 3.1.5 Number of clusters plant<sup>-1</sup>

*Kharif* and summer seasons showed significant difference in number of clusters per plant. Among them, summer recorded highest number of clusters per plant (6.36) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (5.37). Number of clustersper plant differs significantly among seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest number of clusters (7.17) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (6.83 and 6.45, respectively) while, lowest number of clusters was recorded in control (4.73).

ZnSO<sub>4</sub> helped in rapid cell multiplication and resulted in expansion of leaf area thereby accelerating the photosynthetic rate and concentration of total chlorophyll ultimately parameters. increased all growth The improvement might be due to Zn availability required for the synthesis of lipids, protein, carbohydrates and nucleic acid which are for the superior growth necessary and development of plants also helpful for increasing number of clusters. The present research on increasing number of cluster were also agreement with Valadkhan et al. [10].

## 3.1.6 Plant population at harvest (%)

The seasons showed significant difference in plant population. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest plant stand (87.75 %) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (84.25%). Plant

population differs significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest plant population (91.17 %) followed by  $T_4$ (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (89.00 % and 89.13 %, respectively) while, the lowest plant population was recorded in control (79.17 %).

The seeds primed with 0.5 %  $ZnSO_4$  enabled quick emergence and established well in the field with a higher population. Seed priming with chemicals play a major role in increasing leaf and stem dry matter and redistribution of dry matter in reproductive parts leads to improve in the biomass helps the plant to withstand [11]. Seed priming with 1% KNO<sub>3</sub> shorten the time from seed emergence to harvest, improved crop stand and dry matter partitioning to grain in maize [12].

## 3.2 Yield Parameters

## 3.2.1 Number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>

Significant difference was observed in summer and kharif for number of pods per plant. Where, summer recorded the highest number of pods per plant (13.37) and lowest was recorded in kharif (12.14). Number of pods per plant differs different eight significantly among seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$ (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest number of pods (16.43) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) which are on par with each other (13.77 and 13.37, respectively) while lowest number of pods per plant was recorded in control (10.10).

Priming could have triggered the biosynthesis of nucleic acids, proteins, and the consequential enhancement of cell division, besides the enhanced metabolic activity of the plants resulting on the increased uptake of nutrients. Hence, availability of energy is more due to higher metabolic accumulation which helps in increasing production of more number of pods in  $ZnSO_4$  seed priming. Poor translocation of metabolites to the reproductive stage may be one of the reasons for lower yield in control. Similar results on increasing number of pods were also reported by Golezani et al., [13] in soybean and Kalpana et al., [14].

## 3.2.2 Pod length (cm)

The *kharif* and summer showed significant difference in pod length. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest pod length (9.44 cm)

and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (8.42 cm). Pod length (cm) differs significantly among different seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$ (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest pod length (11.00 cm) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (10.05 cm and 9.90 cm, respectively) while lowest pod length (cm) was recorded in control (6.27 cm).

Due to rhizobial activities during pod formation, availability of nutrients are more, which results in availability of high energy for longer growth which triggers seed for more cell division and growth leads to increasing in pod length also could be increased mineral uptake and assimilate translocation during pod formation stage [15].

## 3.2.3 Number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>

The seasons showed significant difference in number of seeds. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest number of seeds per pod (11.18) and lowest was recorded in kharif Number differs (10.35). of seeds (cm) significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment Te (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest number of seeds (12.23) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (11.88 and 11.93, respectively) while lowest number of seeds per pod was recorded in control (8.08).

Priming helps in improving yield contributing factors like number of pods, pod length results in increased number of seeds per pod. The similar results are in line with Golezani et al. [13] in soybean.

## 3.2.4 Seed yield per plot (g)

The seasons showed significant difference in seed yield per plot. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest seed yield (219.96 g) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (173.97 g). Seed yield per plot differs significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seed yield (kg) (268.34 g) followed by  $T_2$  (Priming with 1% MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (223.17 g and 216.48 g, respectively) while, the lowest seed yield was recorded in control (132.70 g).

Yield on priming might be due to modulation of enzymes of sucrose metabolism in actively

growing plant parts, the pod wall and the seeds during development (Kaur et al., 2005) in chickpea.

Higher seed yield of summer greengram crop was associated with high net assimilation ratio, thus permitting higher seed growth rate and better performance throughout its growth and improved yield contributing factors. Favourable climatic conditions during the summer have resulted in higher dry matter and seed yield compared to the *kharif* [16]. Lower yield in *kharif* may be due to the fact that *kharif* crop had to be harvested under low humidity, low temperature and water stress condition resulting in poor seed filling and resulting in more dead seed. Among seasons studied in present work, Summer shown highest seed yield.

## 3.2.5 Seed yield per hectare (q)

The seasons showed significant difference in seed yield per ha. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest seed yield (3.49 q) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (2.76 q). Seed yield differs significantly among different seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) recorded highest yield (4.26 q) followed by  $T_2$  (Priming with 1 % MgSO<sub>4</sub>)  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (3.54 q and 3.44 q, respectively) while lowest was recorded in control (2.11 q).

The least yield was recorded under control might be due to lack of adequate supply of nutrients and activation of different metabolic pathways to enhance in growth and yield components of the crop ultimately reflecting on yield parameters. The highest seed yield was documented when mungbean seeds were primed with micro nutrients in present work and results are in line with the findings of Umair et al. [16] and Haider et al. [17].

## 3.2.6 Seed recovery (%)

The seasons showed significant difference in seed recovery. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest seed recovery (96.7 %) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (94.8 %). Seed recovery differs significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seed recovery (97.10 %) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (96.2 % and 96.8 %, respectively) while lowest seed recovery was recorded in control (91.1 %).

Seed priming resulted in rapid seed filling, increased activities of synthase pathways. The higher requirement of assimilate at seed filling might have been resulted in more efficient conversion of sugars by activation of metabolic pathways and higher sink strength leads to higher seed production also reduce the losses of improper filling of seeds, which helps to increase recovery percentage of seeds (Kaur et al., 2005).

# 3.3 Seed Quality Parameters

## 3.3.1 Hundred seed weight (g)

Kharif and summer seasons showed significant difference in hundred seed weight. Among two seasons, summerrecorded highest 100 seed weight (3.91 g) and lowest was recorded in kharif (3.02 g). Hundred seed weight differ significantly different eight seed invigoration among treatments. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest 100 seed weight (3.78 g) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_7$  (Priming with 1 % KCI) (3.59 and 3.55 g, respectively) while lowest 100 seed weight was recorded in control (2.89 g).

Reduction in the 100 seed weight in *kharif* could be due to insufficient seed filling affected by increased respiration and lower photosynthesis, slower growth rate. Present work on pre-sowing seed treatment cause an increase in seed weight which also confirmed with red gram and greengram [18].

# 3.3.2 Speed of germination

The seasons showed significant difference in speed of germination. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest speed of germination (22.25) and lowest was recorded in kharif (21.54). Speed of germination differ significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1%) ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest speed of emergence (24.83) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and T<sub>3</sub> (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (23.17 and 22.67 respectively) while lowest speed of emergence was recorded in control (17.50).

Early germination and increase in speed of germination may be due to the role of micro nutrients as enzyme cofactor in germination process by increase in protein synthesis for germination and also the greater hydration of colloids, higher viscosity of protoplasm, increase in the cell wall elasticity and cell membrane that allows the early entry of moisture, which can activates the early phase of hydrolyzing the reserve food material by triggering the enzymes to activate the  $GA_3$  in the seed which helps for faster germination.

Present work on speed of germination was also similarity with Kamaraj and Padmavathi [19], Sharma et al. [20], Elankavi et al. [8], Subapriya and Geetha [21] and Kamraj et al. [22].

# 3.3.3 Hard seed (%)

The seasons showed significant difference in hard seeds. Among two seasons summer recorded least hard seeds (71.71 %) and highest was recorded in *kharif* (73.04%). Hard seeds differ significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded least hard seeds (68.67 %) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (70.83 and 71.67 %, respectively) while highest hard seeds recorded in control (77.67 %).

High temperature during mungbean seed maturity could have accelerated the seed development process resulting in impaired seed coat development. At high temperature and moisture, there may be a decrease in lignin content in the seed coat which in turn facilitated the capacity and velocity of water absorption through the seed coat. Under low or mild temperature situation it failed to break the physical dormancy resulting in higher occurrence of hard seeds [6,23].

# 3.3.4 Seed germination (%)

The seasons showed significant difference in seed germination. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest germination (91.70 %) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (89.00 %).Seed germination differs significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seed germination (94.70 %) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (92.20 % and 92.00 %, respectively) while lowest seed germination was recorded in control (83.80 %).

Metabolic processes occurs to prepare seeds for germination and elongation of embryonic axes, where the enzymes *viz.*, amylase, ribonuclease and phosphatase are synthesized by the influence of GA and increase the effectiveness of

hydrolytic enzymes such as ATP ase, protease, lipase and peroxidase. In addition to transfer of nutrients from storage tissues to the growth sites of the seed and stimulation the chemical reactions to synthetic new substances [24,25].

Priming with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> considered advantageous because it brought an advancement of reactions in the cell and leads to higher germination. Repairing of cell organelles and biochemical activities boost up inside the embryo/seed. Faster biochemical actions rapidly synthesize metabolites responsible for germination and quick restoring of cell components at the time of seed priming encourages speedy germination [2]. Seed germination controlled by many factors by altering seed surface structure, enhancing water uptake rate, breakdown of endosperm food through higher hydrolytic enzyme activity, oxidative stress during priming process which accelerate overall development of seedlings.

The superiority of soaking seeds with  $KH_2PO_4$ could be due to increasing in the seed phosphorus content which leads to faster growth. Further, activation of phosphorus also increases the activity of enzymes such as amylase, protease and lipase which leads to early development of the embryo and accelerate germination [24,25]. The ability of  $KH_2PO_4$  to penetrate the seed coat and accumulation inside the seed during activation process.

Higher germination in ZnSO<sub>4</sub> primed seeds could be due to greater hydration of colloids, higher viscosity and elasticity of protoplasm, increase inbound water content, lower water deficit, more efficient root system and increased metabolic activity. The increased seedling growth and vigor index might due to greater early vigor and a higher percentage of germination. Similar results were reported in Kamaraj and Padmavathi [19] and Kavitha and Srimathi [4].

# 3.3.5 Shoot length (cm)

The seasons showed significant difference in shoot length. Where, summerrecorded highest shoot length (24.33 cm) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (22.91 cm). Shoot length (cm) differ significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest shoot length (25.93 cm)followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (24.98 and 24.69 cm, respectively) while lowest shoot length was recorded in control (19.50 cm).

	Field emergence	Plant Ht @	Plant Ht @	No. of nodules/pt	No. of clusters/Pt	Pant Population	No. of pods/pt	Seed yield/plot	Graded yield(Q/ha)	Seed recovery	Pod yield/pt	Pod length	No. of seeds/pod
	(%)	30	60	•		at harvest	•	(Kg)	,	(%)	(gm)	(cm)	•
		DAS	DAS										
		(cm)	(cm)										
Seasons (S)													
S <sub>1</sub>	91.75	14.38	29.95	35.71	6.36	87.75	13.37	0.220	3.49	96.7	9.45	9.44	11.18
S <sub>2</sub>	89.79	13.16	28.92	33.04	5.37	84.25	12.14	0.174	2.76	94.8	8.51	8.42	10.35
Mean	90.77	13.77	29.43	34.38	5.86	86.00	12.75	0.20	3.13	95.78	8.98	8.93	10.77
SEm <u>+</u>	0.49	0.32	0.30	0.88	0.18	0.91	0.31	0.007	0.11	0.4	0.31	0.13	0.21
CD (P=0.05)	1.42	0.93	0.88	2.55	0.52	2.63	0.88	0.020	0.32	1.2	0.88	0.39	0.60
Treatments (	Т)												
T₁	87.33	10.53	26.80	28.00	5.95	83.00	11.37	0.174	2.75	95.9	7.81	8.37	9.63
T <sub>2</sub>	90.17	13.53	29.73	34.67	5.03	86.17	12.17	0.223	3.54	96.7	8.92	8.67	11.53
T <sub>3</sub>	93.00	16.10	31.93	37.33	6.45	89.17	13.37	0.216	3.44	96.8	9.55	9.90	11.93
T <sub>4</sub>	93.83	16.93	31.50	37.67	6.83	89.00	13.77	0.268	4.26	96.2	10.15	10.05	11.88
T <sub>5</sub>	90.00	13.73	29.40	36.33	6.32	86.00	12.87	0.198	3.14	96.3	9.40	9.20	11.30
T <sub>6</sub>	96.50	18.17	32.37	38.50	7.17	91.17	16.43	0.211	3.35	97.1	10.48	11.00	12.23
<b>T</b> 7	89.83	11.30	27.53	34.83	4.43	84.33	11.97	0.153	2.42	96.3	8.53	7.97	9.55
T <sub>8</sub>	85.50	9.87	26.20	27.67	4.73	79.17	10.10	0.133	2.11	91.0	7.03	6.27	8.08
Mean	90.77	13.77	29.43	34.38	5.86	86.00	12.75	0.20	3.13	95.78	8.98	8.93	10.77
SEm <u>+</u>	0.99	0.64	0.61	1.77	0.36	1.82	0.61	0.014	0.22	0.8	0.61	0.27	0.42
CD (P=0.05)	2.85	1.86	1.76	5.10	1.04	5.25	1.77	0.041	0.64	2.4	1.76	0.78	1.21
Interaction (S	SXT)												
S₁T₁	89.33	10.40	26.40	30.00	5.57	84.67	12.07	0.184	2.92	96.5	8.06	9.40	10.60
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	91.67	15.20	31.37	35.00	6.33	87.67	12.80	0.255	4.05	97.5	9.80	8.80	11.80
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	93.33	16.47	32.60	38.00	7.23	89.33	13.40	0.235	3.72	97.5	10.29	9.40	12.07
S₁T₄	94.33	17.13	31.07	39.67	6.87	91.33	13.87	0.273	4.33	97.6	10.34	10.43	12.17
S₁T₅	91.33	16.13	31.67	37.33	6.57	87.33	13.00	0.260	4.13	97.2	9.41	9.67	11.47
S₁T <sub>6</sub>	96.67	18.53	32.77	40.33	7.33	94.00	16.93	0.256	4.06	97.7	10.83	11.27	12.40
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>7</sub>	90.33	11.33	27.40	36.33	5.20	86.33	13.40	0.163	2.58	97.0	8.96	8.73	9.50
S₁T <sub>8</sub>	87.00	9.87	26.30	29.00	5.80	81.33	11.47	0.134	2.13	92.8	7.91	7.80	9.47
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	85.33	10.67	27.20	26.00	6.33	81.33	10.67	0.163	2.59	95.3	7.55	7.33	8.67
$S_2T_2$	88.67	11.87	28.10	34.33	3.73	84.67	11.53	0.191	3.03	95.9	8.03	8.53	11.27
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	92.67	15.73	31.27	36.67	5.67	89.00	13.33	0.198	3.15	96.0	8.81	10.40	11.80
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	93.33	16.73	31.93	35.67	6.80	86.67	13.67	0.264	4.18	94.9	9.96	9.67	11.60
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	88.67	11.33	27.13	35.33	6.07	84.67	12.73	0.136	2.16	95.3	9.39	8.73	11.13

Table 1. Influence of invigoration techniques on growth, seed yield and quality parameters in green gram during kharif and summer seasons

#### Adhithya et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 106-119, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.96905

S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	96.33	17.80	31.97	36.67	7.00	88.33	15.93	0.166	2.63	96.6	10.13	10.73	12.07
$S_2T_7$	89.33	11.27	27.67	33.33	3.67	82.33	10.53	0.142	2.26	95.5	8.09	7.20	9.60
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>8</sub>	84.00	9.87	26.10	26.33	3.67	77.00	8.73	0.131	2.08	89.1	6.15	4.73	6.70
Mean	90.77	13.77	29.43	34.38	5.86	86.00	12.75	0.20	3.13	95.78	8.98	8.93	10.77
SEm <u>+</u>	1.39	0.91	0.86	2.50	0.51	2.57	0.87	0.020	0.32	1.2	0.86	0.38	0.59
CD (P=0.05)	4.03	2.63	2.49	7.21	1.46	7.43	2.50	0.057	0.91	3.4	2.49	1.10	1.71
CV (%)	2.66	11.44	5.08	12.58	14.98	5.18	11.76	17.490	17.49	2.1	16.64	7.38	9.50

Seasons:

S<sub>2</sub>: Kharif, 2021

T <sub>2</sub> : Priming v	with 1 % MgSO₄
T <sub>4</sub> : Priming v	vith 0.5 % KNO₃
T <sub>6</sub> : Priming v	vith 1% ZnSO₄
T <sub>8</sub> : Control	

## Table 2. Influence of invigoration techniques on growth, seed yield and quality parameters in green gram during kharif and summer seasons

	100	Germination	Hard	Speed of	Shoot	Root	MSL	SDW	SVI-I	SVI-II	EC	TDH
	seed	(%)	seeds	emergence	length	length	(cm)	(mg)			(dSm <sup>⁻1</sup> )	(A <sub>480nm</sub> )
	weight		(%)		(cm)	(cm)						
	(g)											
Seasons (S)												
S <sub>1</sub>	3.91	91.7	71.71	22.25	24.33	12.62	36.95	245	3392	2252	287.10	1.638
S <sub>2</sub>	3.02	89.0	73.04	21.54	22.91	12.06	34.97	236	3126	2110	308.60	1.527
Mean	3.46	90.3	72.38	21.90	23.62	12.34	35.96	241	3259	2181	297.85	1.58
SEm <u>+</u>	0.05	0.33	0.24	0.22	0.33	0.08	0.34	1.54	31.70	17.30	2.73	0.006
CD (P=0.05)	0.15	0.96	0.68	0.64	0.94	0.24	1.00	4.45	91.57	49.97	7.89	0.019
Treatments (T)												
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	3.41	88.2	73.50	22.00	22.69	11.44	34.13	215	3010	1896	350.78	1.402
T <sub>2</sub>	3.47	91.3	71.67	22.00	23.88	11.80	35.68	247	3260	2255	263.93	1.624
T₃	3.53	92.0	71.67	22.67	24.69	12.99	37.69	255	3468	2347	260.75	1.693
T <sub>4</sub>	3.59	92.7	70.83	23.17	24.98	13.11	38.08	260	3529	2410	258.07	1.785
T <sub>5</sub>	3.50	90.8	72.33	22.00	24.37	12.68	37.05	243	3364	2212	267.23	1.569
T <sub>6</sub>	3.78	94.7	68.67	24.83	25.93	14.51	40.44	278	3829	2636	249.53	1.825
T <sub>7</sub>	3.55	89.0	72.67	21.00	22.89	11.71	34.60	223	3080	1988	288.82	1.514
T <sub>8</sub>	2.89	83.8	77.67	17.50	19.50	10.52	30.02	203	2532	1706	443.68	1.249
Mean	3.46	90.31	72.38	21.90	23.62	12.34	35.96	241	3258.99	2180.90	297.85	1.58
SEm <u>+</u>	0.10	0.66	0.47	0.45	0.65	0.17	0.69	3.08	63.41	34.60	5.46	0.013
CD (P=0.05)	0.30	1.92	1.36	1.29	1.88	0.48	1.99	8.91	183.14	99.94	15.77	0.037

Adhithya et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 106-119, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.96905

Interaction (SXT)												
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	3.80	89.0	72.67	22.00	23.36	11.52	34.87	210	3104	1869	355.57	1.452
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	3.85	92.7	72.00	21.33	24.25	11.83	36.08	257	3344	2378	251.10	1.637
S₁T₃	4.03	93.0	71.67	22.33	25.06	13.35	38.41	263	3572	2449	246.40	1.740
S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	3.96	93.7	70.33	22.67	25.24	13.39	38.63	267	3618	2497	244.40	1.852
S₁T₅	3.92	92.0	72.33	22.00	24.51	12.86	37.37	253	3435	2331	252.17	1.647
S₁T <sub>6</sub>	4.21	95.7	66.67	24.33	26.15	15.01	41.16	280	3938	2679	234.57	1.883
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub> <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	3.85	89.7	71.33	22.00	23.39	11.71	35.10	223	3147	2002	255.03	1.562
S₁T <sub>8</sub>	3.71	87.7	76.67	21.33	22.64	11.33	33.97	207	2979	1812	457.53	1.328
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	3.03	87.3	74.33	22.00	22.03	11.35	33.38	220	2915	1922	346.00	1.352
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	3.10	90.0	71.33	22.67	23.51	11.76	35.27	237	3175	2131	276.77	1.610
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	3.04	91.0	71.67	23.00	24.33	12.64	36.97	247	3363	2245	275.10	1.647
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	3.22	91.7	71.33	23.67	24.72	12.82	37.54	253	3441	2322	271.73	1.718
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>5</sub>	3.08	89.7	72.33	22.00	24.23	12.49	36.72	233	3294	2093	282.30	1.490
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	3.35	93.7	70.67	25.33	25.70	14.01	39.71	277	3721	2592	264.50	1.767
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>7</sub>	3.24	88.3	74.00	20.00	22.39	11.72	34.11	223	3013	1973	322.60	1.465
S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>8</sub>	2.07	80.0	78.67	13.67	16.36	9.71	26.07	200	2086	1600	429.83	1.169
Mean	3.46	90.31	72.38	21.90	23.62	12.34	35.96	241	3258.99	2180.90	297.85	1.58
SEm <u>+</u>	0.15	0.94	0.67	0.63	0.92	0.23	0.98	4.36	89.67	48.94	7.72	0.018
CD (P=0.05)	0.43	2.71	1.92	1.82	2.66	0.68	2.82	12.60	258.99	141.34	22.31	0.052
CV (%)	7.38	1.80	1.59	4.99	6.76	3.29	4.70	3.14	4.77	3.89	4.49	1.989

Seasons:

S1: Summer, 2021

Treatments:

T<sub>1</sub>: Hydro priming T<sub>3</sub>: Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> T<sub>5</sub>: Priming with 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub> T<sub>7</sub>: Priming with 1% KCl

 $T_2$ : Priming with 1 % MgSO<sub>4</sub>  $T_4$ : Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>  $T_6$ : Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>  $T_8$ : Control

S2: Kharif, 2021

The increase in shoot length also due to its stimulation effect in the formation of enzymes which are important in the early phase of germination which helps for a fast radical protrusion and shoot growth in the crops. Similar results were reported by Gomathi et al. [26].

#### 3.3.6 Root length (cm)

The seasons showed significant difference in root length. Among seasons, summerrecorded highest root length (12.62 cm) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (12.06 cm). Root length (cm) differ significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest root length (14.51 cm) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (13.11 and 12.99 cm, respectively) while lowest root length was recorded in control (10.52 cm).

Increased root length and root dry weight due to  $ZnSO_4$  seed priming in this study might be attributed to the fact that zinc is required for stimulation of several metabolic enzymes in the roots. Similar results were also reported by Demir and Oztokat (2003), Golezani et al, [13], Gomathi et al. [26], Shojaei and Makarian (2015), Kavitha and Srimathi [4].

#### 3.3.7 Mean seedling length (cm)

The seasons showed significant differences in mean seedling length. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest mean seedling length (36.95 cm) and lowest was recorded in kharif (34.97 cm). Mean seedling length (cm) differ different significantly among eiaht seed invigoration treatments. The treatment Τ<sub>6</sub> (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seedling length (40.44 cm) followed by  $T_4$ (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and T<sub>3</sub> (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (38.08 and 37.69 cm, respectively) while lowest seedling length was recorded in control (30.02 cm).

Seedling growth might be attributed to the involvement of zinc in photosynthesis, cell division, protein synthesis, retaining membrane structure and providing the resistance against pathogen. Increase in seedling length could be ascribed to activity of hydrolytic enzymes during the early phase of germination. The effective mobilization of the available food reserves in the seeds resulted in the early emergence and growth of the seedlings. In proportion to increase in seedling growth, dry matter production was also increased. Similar results on seedling growth were also reported by Kavita and Srimathi [4].

#### 3.3.8 Mean seedling dry weight (mg)

The seasons showed significant difference in seedling dry weight. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest mean seedling dry weight (245 mg) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (236 mg). Mean seedling dry weight differ significantly among different seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seedling dry weight (278 mg) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (260 and 255 mg, respectively) while lowest seedling dry weight was recorded in control (203 mg).

Increase in mean seedling dry weight with enhancement of lipid utilization and enzyme activity also due to the presence of bioactive substances, which results in early vigour and higher germination percentage thus enabling them to produce relatively more quantity of dry matter which discerning the cause for the hike in dry matter production by priming treatment [26].

#### 3.3.9 Seedling vigor index-l

The seasons showed significant difference in seedling vigour index-I.Among two seasons, summer recorded highest seedling vigour index-I (3392) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (3126). Seedling vigour index-Idiffer significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seedling vigour index-I (3829) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (3529 and 3468, respectively) while lowest seedling vigour index-I was recorded in control (2532).

This increase in vigour index might be due to the beneficial effect of seed priming which induces the faster growth promoting substances and translocations of secondary metabolites to increase the mean seedling growth by which vigour index increased [27,4].

#### 3.3.10 Seedling vigor index-II

The seasons showed significant difference in seedling vigour index-II. Among two seasons, summer recorded highest seedling vigour index-II (2252) and lowest was recorded in *kharif* (2110). Seedling vigour index-II weight differ

significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$ (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest seedling vigour index-II(2636) followed by  $T_4$ (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (2410 and 2347, respectively) while lowest seedling vigour index-II was recorded in control (1706).

Ability of physiologically active substances might have activated the embryo and other associated structures which results in absorption of more water due to cell wall elasticity and development of stronger and efficient root system which help in increasing vigour index [4,28].

## 3.3.11 Electrical conductivity (dSm<sup>-1</sup>)

The seasons showed significant difference in electrical conductivity. Among two seasons, summer recorded least electrical conductivity (0.287 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) and highest was recorded in *kharif* (0.308 dSm<sup>-1</sup>). Electrical conductivity differ significantly among different eiaht seed invigoration treatments. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Priming with 1%  $ZnSO_4$ ) recorded least electrical conductivity (dSm<sup>-1</sup>) (0.249 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) followed by  $T_4$  (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$ (Priming with 2%  $KH_2PO_4$ ) (0.258 dSm<sup>-1</sup> and 0.260 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) while highest electrical conductivity (dSm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in control (0.443  $dSm^{-1}$ ).

Conductivity of leachates will reflect the vigour of seed. Where membrane integrity more leakage of solutes are less and it indicates that high vigour seed. This might be due to there is strong correlation between conductivity of seed leachates and membrane integrity. Similar results were also reported by Ranganayaki and Rammorty [29], Gangaraju and Balakrishna [30], [31-34] in blackgram which helps to improve seed quality.

## 3.3.12 Total dehydrogenase activity (A<sub>480nm</sub>)

The seasons showed significant difference in total de hydrogenase activity. Among two recorded seasons, summer highest de hydrogenase activity (1.638) and lowest was recorded in kharif (1.527). Total de hydrogenase activity weight differ significantly among different eight seed invigoration treatments. The treatment  $T_6$  (Priming with 1% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest de hydrogenase activity (1.825) followed by  $T_4$ (Priming with 0.5 % KNO<sub>3</sub>) and  $T_3$  (Priming with 2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) (1.785 and 1.693, respectively)

while lowest de hydrogenase activitywas recorded in control (1.249).

Priming the seeds with micro nutrients increases the viability of seeds by activating various metabolic activities in seeds which triggers/improves the viability of seeds leads to high de hydrogenase activity [29] in blackgram).

## 4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

Seed invigoration treatments are becoming a promising tool to improve seed performance during germination and early seedling growth, which helps farmers improve their seed yields. To overcome adverse environmental conditions that prevent seed germination and seedling establishment, seed priming is given as a presowing seed treatment, which acts as a boon to farmers in dry land agriculture. From the current investigation, it was identified that, among the seasons studied, summer recorded the best growth and yield parameters (plant height, seed yield per plot, seed yield, etc.), in seed quality parameters (seed germination, total de hydrogenase activity, etc. In this connection, it is recommended to go for the summer season to get better results during seed production.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

UAS, GKVK Bangalore, National Seed Project, Bangalore & TSSOCA, Hyderabad.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Lee S, Kim JH. Total sugars α-amylase activity and germination after priming of normal and aged rice seeds. Korean J. Crop Sci. 2000;44(2):108 -111.
- Sharma A, Pandey ST, Verma O. Response to pre-sowing seed treatment on germination indices, seedling growth and enzymatic activities of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) seed. Int. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 2021;3(1):405-410.
- Rouhi A, Tajbakhsh M, Bernousi A, Saeedi M. Study on effect of different primings on seed germination and seedling traits of different pea cultivars. J. Agric. 2011;90: 1–8.

- Kavitha S, Srimathi P. Influence of seed priming with micro-nutrients followed by rhizobium seed coating on seed vigour, crop growth and seed yield in redgram cv. VBN 3. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2020;9(5):563-567.
- Ananthi M, Selvaraju P, Srimathi P. Effect of seed treatment on seed and seedling quality characters in redgram cv. CO (Rg) 7. Intl. J. Sci. Nat., 2015;6(2):205-208.
- 6. Devi K, Barua PK, Barua M. Integrated effect of pre-sowing seed treatment, sowing windows and seasons on seed yield and quality of greengram. Legume Res. 2019;42(4):1-6.
- Limba V, Dhillon BS, Singh H. Effect of seed priming and urea foliar application on the performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2020;9(6):1270-1274.
- Elankavi S, Ramesh S, Sudhakar P, Ramesh N, Sureshkumar SM., Effect of seed hardening and foliar nutrition on growth attributes and yield of greengram under rainfed condition. Plant Arch. 2019;19(2):2836-2838.
- Prakash M, Sathiya Narayanan G, Sunil Kumar B. Effect of seedling and pelleting on seed yield in blackgram [*Vigna mungo*(L.) Hepper]. Legume Res. 2012;35(1):64-67.
- 10. Valadkhan M, Mohammadi K, Karimi NMT. Effect of priming and foliar application of nano particles on agronomic traits of chickpea. Biol. Forum 2015;7:599-602.
- Arun MN, Hebbar SS, Bhanuprakash K, Senthivel T, Nair AK, Pandey DP. Influence of seed priming and different irrigation levels on growth parameters of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Legume Res. 2020;43(1):99-104.
- 12. Vazirimehr MR, Ganjali HR, Rigi K, Keshtehgar A. Effect of seed priming on quantitative traits corn. Int. J. Pl. Sci. 2014;4:134-140.
- Golezani KG, Jafari SF, Kolvanagh JS. Seed priming and field performance of soybean *Glycine max* (L.) in response to water limitation. Not. Bot. Hort. Agro. Bot. 2011;39:186-89.
- Kalpana, Butt A, Khan AH, Singh AK, Maurya KN, Mubeen Yadav RK, Um Singh Gautam AR. Effect of different seed priming treatments on germination, growth, biochemical changes and yield of wheat varieties under sodic soils. Int. J. Sci. Res. 2015;4(7):306-307.

- 15. Gana AS. The role of synthetic growth hormones in crop multiplication and improvement. African J. Biotech. 2010;10 (51):10330-10334.
- Umair A, Ali S, Hayat R, Ansar M, Tareen MJ. Evaluation of seed priming in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) for yield, nodulation and biological nitrogen fixation under rainfed conditions. African J. Biotechnol. 2011;10(79):18122-18129.
- Haider MU, Hussain M, Farooq M, Nawaz A. Optimizing zinc seed priming for improving the growth, yield and grain biofortification of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek). J. Plant Nutr. 2020;43(10): 1438-1446.
- Jayaseelan K. Studies on the effect of sequencing of seed treatments on the seed yield and quality in redgram cv. CO 5 [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill. Sp.] and greengram cv. CO 5 (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilcezek.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore; 1997.
- Kamraj AG, Padmavathi S. Effect of pre sowing seed priming treatment using botanical leaf extract on growth and yield characters in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* [L.] hepper) cv. CO 6. Plant Arch. 2017;17(2): 1013-1016.
- 20. Sharma PK, Elouaer MA, Hannachi C. Seed priming to improve germination and seedling growth of safflower under salt stress. Eur. J. Biosci. 2017;6:76-84.
- 21. Subapriya N, Geetha R. Effect of biopriming on seedling vigour in green gram Var. Co. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(5):51-57.
- 22. Kamraj A, Padmavathi S, Ezhilkumar S, Satheeshkumar P. Enhancement on seedling morphological and physiological characteristics of greengram seeds through pre-sowing seed treatment. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2020;2:454-458.
- Paul D, Chakrabarty SK, Dikshit HK, Jha SK, Chawla G, Singh Y. Heritability of hardseededness in mungbean [*Vigna* radiata (L.) Wilczek] under varying environments. Indian J. Genet. 2019;79(1): 197-203.
- 24. Al-Salhy SJ, Rasheed AA. Effect of mungbean seed priming methods and duration on seed germination and seedling vigour. Plant Arch. 2020;20(1):27-31.
- 25. Rashid A, Harris D, Hollington P, Khan P. On-farm seed priming for barley on normal, saline and saline-sodic soils in NWFP. Pakistan Europ. J. Agro. 2006;24:276-281.

- Gomathi G, Malarkodi K, Ananthi M. Presowing seed management techniques on initial seed quality parameters in blackgram cv. ADT3. Int. J. Sci. Res. 2014;3(1):13-14.
- 27. Sibande GAK, Kabambe VH, Maliro MFA, Karoshi V. Effect of priming techniques and seed storage period on soybean (*Glycinemax* L.) germination. J. Dynamics in Agric. Res. 2015;2(5):46-53.
- Khairul MHEM, Najmulhaque MD, Isratjahanirin MD, Ashraful Islam Pulok, Abu Habib MD Abdullah. Effect of seed priming on growth, yield and seed quality of chickpea (BARI chhola-6). Int. J. Multidisciplinary Res. and Develop. 2015;7:142-147.
- 29. Ranganayaki PR, Ramamoorthy K. Enhancing planting value of marginal seed lots of blackgram through Hydration-Dehydration (HD) treatment. Res. J. Seed Sci. 2015;8:22-29.

- Gangaraju N, Balakrishna P. Screening of black gram genotypes for hardseededness and breaking of hardseededness by using various seed treatment methods in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). Mysore J. Agric. Sci. 2016;50(2):434-437.
- Barua M, Barua PK. Seasonal effect on seed yield and quality in greengram. Seed Res. 2000;28(2):153-157.
- 32. Kavitha S, Srimathi P. Micro-nutrients seed priming and rhizobium coating on seed vigour, crop growth and seed yield in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). Legum Res. 2015:1-5.
- Prabakaran J, Rangarajan M. Effect of Rhizobial inoculation on the nodulation, nitrogen fixation and yield of lablab (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Madras Agric. J. 1992;79(3):172-174.
- 34. Saini AP, Das K. Studies on growth and yield of 3 mung bean cultivars. Indian J. Plant Physiol. 1979;22:147-155.

© 2023 Adhithya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96905