



# Determination of PAHs in Surface Sediments along Dakar Coast Using QuEChERS and Gas Chromatography Coupled to Tandem Mass Spectrometry

**Dame Cisse <sup>a</sup>, Birame Ndiaye <sup>a\*</sup>, Cheikh Tidiane Dione <sup>a</sup>,  
Ibrahima Diagne <sup>a</sup>, Maoudo Hane <sup>a</sup>, Mame Mor Dione <sup>a</sup>,  
Sitor Diouf <sup>a</sup>, Mamadou Sarr <sup>a</sup>, Ousmane Ka <sup>a</sup>,  
Maurice Millet <sup>b</sup>, Momar Ndiaye <sup>a</sup> and Seydou Ba <sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Science and Technology, Laboratory of Organic Physical Chemistry and Environmental Analysis (LCPOAE)-UCAD, Dakar, Senegal.

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Chemistry and Processes for Energy, Environment and Health (ICPEES), University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France.

## Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors CTD and MM took part in the GM-MS measurements, Authors BN, ID and SD participated in sample collection and processing. Authors MMD, MH, OK, MS and SB took part in the statistical calculations. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/CSJI/2024/v33i6919>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124035>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 21/07/2024**

**Accepted: 23/09/2024**

**Published: 05/10/2024**

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [biramendiaye85@yahoo.fr](mailto:biramendiaye85@yahoo.fr);

## ABSTRACT

Untreated sewage, which is a source of many pollutants, can also deposit a mass of sediment on beaches. These sediments can trap many pollutants, including PAHs, which are toxic and mutagenic to DNA and RNA in organisms. In this study, we investigated the contamination of sediments from the beaches of Hann, Soumbédioune and Ngor on the coast of Dakar by the 16 priority PAHs identified by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The sediment samples were taken between June and September 2018. Analyses were carried out using the GC-MS technique in tandem mode. For extraction and purification, QuEChERS and dSPE RESTEK salts were used, respectively, with recoveries ranging from 83.87 to 98.10%. For PAH repeatability, the %RSD values ranged from 0.02 to 3.08%, while those obtained for reproducibility varied from 0.01 to 1.29%. The results show that the highest levels were recorded in September: pyrene with 35.763  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ , benzo(a)anthracene (22.396  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and benzo(k)fluoranthene (18.678  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) in Hann. At Soumbédioune, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene is at 15.782  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  and at Ngor coronene has the highest content (9.030  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ). Analysis of the Shapiro-Wilk normality test reveals that most of the p-values for the variances of the various PAHs are above the 5% threshold. For the Levene test, apart from pyrene, the rest of the hydrocarbons satisfied the heteroscedacity hypothesis (inequality of at least two variances) with p-values below the 5% threshold.

*Keywords: Contamination; sediments; Dakar; PAHs.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The presence of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the environment is often linked to human activities, including the combustion of biomass and fossil fuels in industry (thermal power stations, oil refineries). PAHs can also come from domestic activities (individual heating, cigarette smoke, etc.). The bays of Dakar are a dumping ground for untreated sewage. The beaches of Hann, Ngor and Soumbédioune constantly receive water from the canals of the city of Dakar. This sewage is a source of pollution for the aquatic environment. Downstream of the discharges, a mass of sediment is formed which is subject to pollution, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [1]. In the aquatic environment, these hydrophobic PAHs are often trapped in sediments. Shellfish can accumulate these hydrocarbons and transport them over long distances [2]. Because of their behaviour and acute or chronic toxic effects, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) classifies PAHs as priority pollutants, sixteen of which are considered or suspected carcinogens. They are persistent, bioaccumulative and highly stable in the environment. Pregnant women and their fetuses may therefore be at risk from these hydrocarbons [3]. The wastewater and rainwater drainage channels of Hann, Ngor and Soumbédioune transport suspended matter, which becomes sedimented by obstacles or downstream of the discharge. These sediments can accumulate several micropollutants such as

PAHs. In this study, we are seeking to determine the hydrocarbon content of sediments from beaches along the Dakar coast, which are often contaminated by wastewater discharges.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Sites

The sediment samples were taken at Ngor, Hann and Soumbédioune during the rainy season between June and September 2018. These selected sites face the Atlantic and are the scene of many activities. They are a major source of fish products. Hann Bay (SD1), which extends over 13 km, is home to fishing activities and several industries, including textile factories and fish processing plants. Hann Bay, once considered one of the most beautiful bays in the world, now receives wastewater from the East canal and has reached an advanced state of degradation. Soumbédioune beach (SD2) is a fish landing site and receives wastewater from the open West canal, which crosses a large part of Dakar's communes. Ngor (SD3), a tourist site, is also crossed by the canal, which is open and almost transformed into a rubbish dump.

### 2.2 Sample Processing

Sediments were collected between June and September 2018 using a hand grab at depths of between 0 and 5 cm. The samples are placed in plastic bags and then in a cooler to the laboratory

[4]. In the laboratory, after extracting the coarse materials, the samples were oven-dried at 40°C for 24 hours to dry weight [5]. The samples are then ground using an agate mortar and sieved using a sieve with a mesh size of less than 63 µm [6]. The fine fraction is used because of its high affinity with hydrophobic substances [7].

### 2.3 Extraction and Purification

For PAH analysis, we used the method developed and optimised by Dione et al [8]. After weighing, each mass was placed in a 50-mL centrifuge tube and 10 mL of an acetonitrile (ACN) solvent solution was added to separate the aqueous phase from the organic phase, then shaken and vortexed for 30 seconds. The extraction of organic pollutants is made possible by the QuEChERS extraction salt composed of 4g MgSO<sub>4</sub>; 1g NaCl; 1g trisodium citrate dihydrate; 0.5 g disodium hydrogen-citrate sesquihydrate. After adding the extraction salt, the mixture is vortexed for 10 minutes at 4000 rpm. This extraction method, which can be used to analyse other organic pollutants in different matrices, reduces analysis time and steps with less use of reagents [9]. This extraction method uses less solvent than Soxhlet, where 200 mL of mixture is used [10]. We also saved time compared with the 8-16 h Soxhlet experiment (Mzoughi et al) [11]. After this step, we recovered the supernatant in a 15 mL tube already containing the RESTEK Q-sep purification salt. QuEChERS dSPE, 1.2g MgSO<sub>4</sub>+400mg

PSA+400mg C18. The extract is then vortexed for 30 seconds and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 rpm. The extract is recovered in a 10 mL glass tube and then evaporated in a fume hood down to 1 mL

### 2.4 GC/MSMS Analysis

PAHs are analysed by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS), which is used to separate, detect and quantify these pollutants [12]. The extract solution is topped up to 20 mL with acidified water (pH 3) and then extracted using a 100 µm SPME PDMS fibre at 80°C for 40 min for the PAHs. Each fibre was then introduced into the injector maintained at 250°C in splitless mode (3 min.). The compounds were separated on an Optima XLB column (Macherey Nagel) with an internal diameter of 30 m × 0.25 mm and a film thickness of 0.25 µm. The stationary phase consists of 5% phenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane with silyl groups inserted to increase its thermal stability. In the oven, a temperature gradient of 50 to 340°C was designed to separate the desired compounds as efficiently as possible, particularly those with the same characteristic ions [13]. The oven temperature was maintained at 50°C for 3 minutes and then raised to 240°C with a heating ramp of 40°C/min. The temperature reached 255°C with a slope reduced to 1.5°C/min [14]. A slope of 20°C/min is then used to raise the temperature to 330°C for a final stage lasting 18 minutes.



Fig. 1. Sampling points




Fig. 2. Gradient de temperature

At the end of the column, the compounds pass through a transfer line maintained at 300°C and are fragmented in a 70eV electron impact source heated to 210°C. In order to avoid solvent peaks, which lead to signal saturation and premature wear of the rhenium filament (electron source), the actual signal is not acquired until 8 minutes after injection. PAHs are determined in scan mode and then in MRM (Multiple Reaction Monitoring) mode.

#### 2.4.1 Scan mode

This is the mode used in qualitative analysis to identify compounds by searching the library for

spectra. It can also be used for quantitative analysis. With full scan mode, you have a 'fingerprint' of all the compounds you are looking for. The retention time and mass spectrum of each compound can be selected (Fig. 3) [15] [16].

#### 2.4.2 MRM mode

MRM mode is used for the quantitative analysis of trace elements already identified in scan mode. The retention times of the different molecules and the specific ions used for their quantification and identification (Table 2) [17-18].




Fig. 3. PAH scan mode chromatogram

**Table 1. Retention times and quantification ions for the PAHs studied**

Compounds	Parent ions	Son ions	Retention time (min)
Acenaphthene	153	150-151	16.34
Anthracene	178	152-176	20.29
Naphthalene	128	102-126	11.89
Fluorene	165	163-139	17.7
Phenanthrene	178	152-176	20.13
Fluoranthene	202	200	23.2
Pyrene	202	200-201	23.74
Benzo(a)anthracene	228	226-202	26.15
Benzo(k) fluoranthene	252	250-226	27.8
Benzo(b) fluoranthene	252	250-226	28.25
Benzo(a)pyrene	252	250-226	28.35
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	278	276	30.55
Indéno(1,2,3)pyrene	276	274	30.47
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	276	274	31.24
Coronene	300	298	36.48
Naphtalene-d8	136	108-132	11.88
Acénaphtene-d10	164	152-154	16.25
Chrysene-d12	240	125-	26.16
Pérylene-d12	264	135-152	28.45
Phénanthrene-d10	188	160-184	20.07

**Table 2. Extraction yield statistics and relative standard deviation for PAHs**

Compounds	Performance $\pm$ DS	% RSD
Acenaphthene	93.80 $\pm$ 0.21	0.22
Anthracene	83.87 $\pm$ 0.22	0.26
Naphthalene	86.23 $\pm$ 0.31	0.35
Fluorene	95.95 $\pm$ 0.13	0.13
Phenanthrene	85.05 $\pm$ 0.32	0.37
Fluoranthene	96.10 $\pm$ 0.35	0.36
Pyrene	98.06 $\pm$ 0.42	0.42
Benzo(a)anthracene	97.08 $\pm$ 0.27	0.28
Benzo(k) fluoranthene	97.03 $\pm$ 0.20	0.21
Benzo(b) fluoranthene	98.10 $\pm$ 0.25	0.26
Benzo(a)pyrene	93.09 $\pm$ 0.19	0.20
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	86.15 $\pm$ 0.29	0.33
Indéno(1,2,3)pyrene	88.00 $\pm$ 0.25	0.28
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	86.08 $\pm$ 0.32	0.37
Coronene	84.04 $\pm$ 0.14	0.17

Extraction yields using the QuEChERS method were calculated using 250  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$  of the PAH mixture, and the results obtained are given in Table 2. The PAH recovery rates obtained ranged from 83.87 to 98.10%. The %RSD are low and remain below 20%. In GC/MSMS, the recovery rates obtained were generally in the 70-120% range, with coefficients of variation of less than 20%, which correspond to the standards set by the European Commission.

## 2.5 Calibration Study

For the calibration curves, ten points were constructed as range points using the method of least squares in the concentration range 1- 250  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ . For all solutions, three determinations were performed. The linearity of the method was expressed by the correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of the model obtained for each analyte. The correlation coefficients for the PAHs ranged from 0.989 to 1.000. For quantification purposes, a

calibration range was carried out for the compounds to be analysed with the SPME fibre. Quadratic and linear calibration lines were obtained (Fig. 2).

## 2.6 Study of Repeatability, Reproducibility and Detection Limit

The repeatability of the method was calculated as the relative standard deviation (RSD) of the analyte peak areas obtained after replicating (n= 5) samples of fish muscle spiked with the standard mixture at equivalent concentrations (5; 100 and 250  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ ). To ensure the

reproducibility of the measuring device, we carried out a series of five injections, on three different days, of a standard solution containing the compounds to be analysed. The concentration of the most diluted compound was  $\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$ . The mean value of each injection, carried out daily, was calculated. For PAH repeatability, the %RSD values ranged from 0.02 to 3.08%, while those obtained for reproducibility varied from 0.01 to 1.29%. Detection and quantification limits ranged from 0.003 to 0.031  $\text{ng.g}^{-1}$  and from 0.008 to 0.094  $\text{ng.g}^{-1}$  respectively (Table 3).




Fig. 4. Phenanthrene calibration graph

Table 3. Repeatability, reproducibility, LOQ and LOD statistics for PAHs

Compounds	Repeatability %RSD	Reproducibility %RSD	LOD ( $\text{ng.g}^{-1}$ )	LOQ ( $\text{ng.g}^{-1}$ )
Naphthalene	0.07	0.03	0.011	0.032
Acenaphthene	0.12	0.06	0.031	0.094
Fluorene	0.09	0.06	0.009	0.026
Phenanthrene	0.10	0.05	0.027	0.080
Anthracene	0.84	0.15	0.015	0.045
Fluoranthene	0.02	0.03	0.004	0.011
Pyrene	3.08	1.29	0.015	0.045
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.08	0.01	0.005	0.014
Benzo(k) fluoranthene	0.03	0.05	0.003	0.008
Benzo(b) fluoranthène	0.05	0.03	0.004	0.012
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.05	0.01	0.004	0.012
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.05	0.07	0.014	0.041
Indéno(1, 2, 3)pyrene	0.02	0.04	0.009	0.028
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.05	0.04	0.004	0.013
Coronene	0.13	0.11	0.015	0.044

LOQ= Limit of Quantitation; LOD = Limit of Detection

### 3. RESULTS

The results of the measurements are first given in  $\text{mg.L}^{-1}$  and then expressed in dry weight in the sediments, using the expression:

$$C = [(A \times V) \div m] \times F$$

C: concentration of the substance in  $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$

A: concentration in  $\text{mg.L}^{-1}$  of the metal in the assayed solution

V: volume of the solution in mL and m: sample size in g and F: dilution factor.

Nine PAHs were detected in sediments from the three Dakar sites studied. The variation in PAH levels in the sediments from the different sites are grouped by their minimum and maximum levels in Table 4. These variations are shown in Figs 5, 6 and 7.

#### 3.1 Average, Minimum and Maximum PAH Content

During this study, 9 of the 16 PAHs investigated were detected in sediments from the three sites. At Hann, the sediments were less contaminated with indeno(1,2,3)pyrene with an average of 1.320 and pyrene was more present with 12.203  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . Similarly, indeno(1,2,3)pyrene is also lower in the Soumbédioune sediments at 1.591  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, the highest average level was that of dibenzo(a,h)anthracene at 8.601  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . At Ngor, the average levels of PAHs detected ranged from 0.716 (pyrene) to 7.060  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (coronene) (Table 4).

#### 3.2 Variation in PAH Levels in Sediments from the Three Sites

At Hann, the highest levels of most PAHs were recorded in September. The concentration of pyrene dominated (35.763  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), followed in that order by benzo(a)anthracene (22.396  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), benzo(k)fluoranthene (18.678  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), dibenzo (a,h) anthracene (9.655  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and benzo(a)pyrene (7.504  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ). These relatively high levels may be due to accidental pollution. In August, with the exception of dibenzo (a,h) anthracene, coronene and benzo(a)pyrene,

which had levels of 4.8213 and 9.6608  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  respectively, the other PAHs had levels of between 0.9331  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (benzo(a)pyrene) and 1.6699  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (pyrene). In July, anthracene was the lowest at 0.4455 and the highest level was 11.024  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  for dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (Fig. 5).

At Soumbédioune, the results show that most of the PAHs have levels below 4  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  dry weight. Only coronene was present in all the sediments, with higher concentrations varying between 5.6082 (September) and 10.1267  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (July). There was also a slight variation in benzo(g,h,i)perylene, with concentrations ranging from 1.5557 (July) to 1.980 (September). Indeno(1,2,3)pyrene was recorded at concentrations ranging from 1.6487  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (June). Benzo(b)fluoranthene concentrations ranged from 1.7119 (August) to 2.9165  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (June) and the variation in indeno(1,2,3)pyrene levels ranged from 0.41287 to 2.1803  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (Fig. 6).

At Ngor, anthracene is below the limit of quantification. Levels of benzo(g,h,i)perylene ranged from 1.2644 to 2.1562  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . Similarly, benzo(k)fluoranthene levels varied between 1.0523 and 2.0264  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . Apart from the concentrations of benzo(a)anthracene in September with 3.0492  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  and in July (2.223  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), dibenzo(a, h)anthracene in June (2.4515  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and in September (2.0275  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), benzo(g,h,i)pyrene in July (2.1562  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and indeno(1,2,3)pyrene (2.102  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ), the rest of the other concentrations obtained being less than 2  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . Coronene had the highest levels, varying from 5.7807 to 9.0303  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (Fig. 7).

#### 3.3 Overall PAH Content

The results obtained show a contamination of sediments, with overall PAH levels higher in Hann. In September, the levels obtained in Hann (107.437  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) were much higher than those in Soumbédioune and Ngor (31.867 and 22.781  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  respectively). Similarly, Hann sediments in July were more contaminated than the other sites, with an overall content of 42.567  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  compared with 29.246 and 19.251  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  for Soumbédioune and Ngor. In June and August, the sediments at Soumbédioune were higher in PAHs, with total concentrations of 38.902 and 25.507  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  respectively (Table 5).

Table 4. Average, minimum and maximum PAH content ( $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ )

HAPs	Hann (SD1)		Soumbédioune (SD2)		Ngor (SD3)	
	Min-Max	Moy $\pm$ DS	Min-Max	Moy $\pm$ DS	Min-Max	Moy $\pm$ DS
pyrene	1.310-35.763	12.203 $\pm$ 16.220	0.0004-4.823	1.611 $\pm$ 2.195	0.188-1.668	0.716 $\pm$ 0.826
benzo(a)anthracene	1.156-22.396	7.483 $\pm$ 8.637	1.9264-4.953	3.379 $\pm$ 1.238	1.544-3.049	2.117 $\pm$ 0.690
benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.752-18.678	5.775 $\pm$ 1.150	1.9467-2.556	2.274 $\pm$ 0.269	1.052-2.026	1.379 $\pm$ 0.442
benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.023-3.445	2.191 $\pm$ 3.210	1.7119-2.913	2.230 $\pm$ 0.500	0.990-1.723	1.427 $\pm$ 0.310
benzo(a)pyrene	0.625-7.504	2.778 $\pm$ 0.668	1.5098-2.230	1.866 $\pm$ 0.294	0.747-1.313	1.081 $\pm$ 0.257
dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	9.655-11.024	10.025 $\pm$ 0.392	3.0046-15.782	8.601 $\pm$ 6.504	1.540-2.452	1.959 $\pm$ 0.384
indénol(1,2,3)pyrene	0.811-1.711	1.320 $\pm$ 1.436	0.4129-2.180	1.591 $\pm$ 0.821	1.452-2.102	1.671 $\pm$ 0.294
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.134-4.217	2.080 $\pm$ 1.436	1.5557-1.980	1.723 $\pm$ 0.183	1.264-2.156	1.629 $\pm$ 0.376
coronène	4.763-6.498	5.263 $\pm$ 0.828	5.608-10.127	8.106 $\pm$ 1.877	5.781-9.030	7.060 $\pm$ 1.484






Fig. 5. Variations of PAH content in Hann sediment




Fig. 6. Variations of PAH content in Soumbédioune sediments




Fig. 7. Variations of PAH content in Ngor sediments

**Table 5. Total PAH content at various sites**

	Hann	Ngor	Soumbédioune
June	21.757	18.742	38.902
July	42.567	19.251	29.246
August	24.703	14.663	25.507
September	107.437	22.781	31.867
∑ PAHs	196.464	75.437	125.522

### 3.4 Statistical Studies of PAH Contamination in Sediments

To gain a better understanding of the distribution of the various PAHs within the sediments, the Shapiro-Wilk normality test and the Levene homogeneity test under SPSS were used.

## 4. DISCUSSION

According to these results, there were slight variations in the levels of dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene and coronene, with the smallest deviations around the mean being 0.392, 0.668 and 0.828 respectively. Similarly, the smallest variations at Soumbédioune were obtained for benzo(g,h,i)perylene (0.183), benzo(a)pyrene (0.294) and benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.269). These low variations at Soumbédioune can also be justified by this homogeneous continuity. At Ngor, PAH levels varied more evenly (0.257-

0.690) than at the two sites with more industrial activity. At these two sites the variations in the other PAHs are relatively large (Table 4). These levels of benzo(g,h,i)perylene, indeno(1,2,3)pyrene and benzo(b)fluoranthene are lower than the minimum levels recorded in the sediments of Lake Geneva, which are 16, 14 and 17  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  respectively [19]. The risk of chemical contamination by PAHs could be considered an environmental risk, especially in the aquatic environment in contact with sediments. They can also cause cancer and cardiovascular disease [20, 21]. Contaminated sediments also contribute to the contamination of aquatic species. Laboratory studies by Cheikh et al show the presence of these same PAHs in fish species such as *Cephalopholus taeniops*, *Scomber japonicus*, *Lagocephalus laevigatus*, *Pagellus bellottii* and *Pagrus caeruleostictus*. Sediments, which are considered to be contaminant sinks, are therefore vectors of this pollution in the marine environment [22].

**Table 6. Levene's test of homogeneity of variance based on the mean**

	Statistique de Levene	ddl1	ddl2	Signification
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.183	2	9	0.032
coronene	0.671	2	9	0.535
pyrene	5.789	2	9	0.024
benzo(a)anthracene	6.762	2	9	0.016
benzo(k)fluoranthene	7.981	2	9	0.010
benzo(b)fluoranthene	9.069	2	9	0.007
benzo(a)pyrene	6.485	2	9	0.018
dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	51.622	2	9	0.000
indénol(1,2,3)pyrene	1.705	2	9	0.236

**Table 7. Shapiro-Wilk test for normality**

Sites		Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistique	ddl	Signification
pyrene	Hann	0.797	4	0,096
	Ngor	0.774	4	0,064
	Soumbédioune	0.816	4	0,134
benzo(a)anthracene	Hann	0.744	4	0.034
	Ngor	0.895	4	0.405
	Soumbédioune	0.953	4	0.736
benzo(k)fluoranthene	Hann	0.705	4	0.013
	Ngor	0.815	4	0.132

Sites		Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistique	ddl	Signification
benzo(b)fluoranthene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.972	4	0.852
	<b>Hann</b>	0.913	4	0.498
	<b>Ngor</b>	0.895	4	0.405
benzo(a)pyrene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.928	4	0.582
	<b>Hann</b>	0.781	4	0.072
	<b>Ngor</b>	0.924	4	0.558
dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.946	4	0.690
	<b>Hann</b>	0.684	4	0.007
	<b>Ngor</b>	0.988	4	0.946
indénol(1,2,3)pyrene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.839	4	0.193
	<b>Hann</b>	0.965	4	0.807
	<b>Ngor</b>	0.813	4	0.127
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.828	4	0.163
	<b>Hann</b>	0.742	4	0.032
	<b>Ngor</b>	0.907	4	0.464
coronene	<b>Soumbédioune</b>	0.911	4	0.487
	Hann	0.720	4	0.019
	Ngor	0.909	4	0.476
	Soumbédioune	0.963	4	0.799

During this campaign, the highest average pyrene content ( $12.203 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) was found in Hann, where there is more industry and heavy road traffic. This high level may be due to soot and smoke from all sources (industry, exhaust fumes, tar, etc.). This level is relatively low compared with the highest values found in 2021 at Aube ( $465 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and Port-la-Nouvelle ( $216 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ). On the other hand, the average levels recorded at Ngor and Soumbédioune are lower than those obtained at Emb. Hérault (1.9) and Montpellier ( $2.1 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) [23]. The PAH levels measured during this campaign were below the interpretation threshold values, ranging from  $85 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  for Benzo(g,h,i)perylene to  $665 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  (Effects Range Low (ERL)) for Pyrene [24]. The levels obtained for benzo(a)pyrene (highly toxic to aquatic organisms) are below the threshold effect concentration (TEC  $0.15 \text{mg.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and probable effect concentration (PEC  $1.45 \text{mg.Kg}^{-1}$ ) established by Mac Donald et al. [25]. These benzo(a)pyrene levels are also below the threshold concentrations for minor effects (Lowest Effect Level;  $0.32 \text{mg.Kg}^{-1}$ ) and severe effects (Severe Effect Level;  $1480 \text{mg.Kg}^{-1}$ ) for continental sediments. Overall, sediment pollution at the various sites is dominated by the heavier hydrocarbons pyrene and benzo(a)pyrene. On the other hand, the light PAHs (naphthalene, acenaphthene, fluorene, phenanthrene and anthracene, fluoranthene) are below their limits of quantification (LOQ). These three-ring hydrocarbons (light hydrocarbons) degrade 90% after 6 months. Overall levels at Ngor ( $18,742 - 22,781 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) between June

and September are the lowest of the three sites. There is less industrial activity in the area, so the majority of these levels may come from rainwater run-off, the canal of which runs through several districts [26]. For the Hann and Soumbédioune sites, the sediments are more contaminated than those studied in 2006, 2007 and 2008 by Ndiaye. The sediments from the sites studied are less contaminated than those from the five Saguenay fjord stations sampled in May 2002, the Port of Saint Elm (France), Lac du Bourget (France) and Bizerte (Tunisia). The overall levels in the three sites studied also remain lower than the levels found in the three samples of sediment from the Bonpas canal in January 2022, with respective values of 357, 358 and  $393 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  [27]. For each of the sites studied, the total concentrations of PAHs were below the tolerable concentration (ER-L) of  $4000 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  but also below the limit ( $45,000 \mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) not to be exceeded in marine sediments [28,29]. For the entire Canadian Arctic archipelago, the sum of the concentrations in surface marine sediments of the 16 PAHs listed as priorities by the US Environmental Protection Agency varies between 7.8 and  $247.7 \text{ng.g}^{-1}$  (dry mass) with an average value of  $56.8 \text{ng.g}^{-1}$  [30]. These overall PAH levels are also lower than the significant concentrations of up to 600 ng/g of PAHs in the sediments of the motorway basin studied by Honge Y et al [31].

The results of the Levene variance homogeneity test (Table 6) based on the average PAH content show that only coronene and indenol (1,2,3) pyrene have homogeneous variances (equality of

variances) within the sediments of the sites studied, with p-values of 0.535 and 0.236 respectively and Levene indices of 0.671 and 1.705 respectively. The rest of the hydrocarbons satisfied the heteroscedacity hypothesis (inequality of at least two variances) with p-values below the 5% threshold. Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene had a p-value <0.001, indicating that the variances of this hydrocarbon were not homogeneous within the sediment samples. The same applies to benzo(a) pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene and benzo(a)anthracene, with significant values of 0.018, 0.007, 0.010 and 0.016 respectively. It is therefore accepted that at least two of the PAH variances are different (Table 6).

Analysis of the Shapiro-Wilk normality test reveals that the majority of the p-values for the variances of the various PAHs are above the 5% threshold. At Hann, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene and coronene have p-values between 0.007 and 0.034. Thus, the hypothesis of an abnormal distribution of the variance of these PAHs in the various Hann sediments is accepted. However, the rest of the PAHs showed normal distributions of variance in the Hann sediments, with p-values ranging from 0.072 (benzo(a) pyrene) to 0.807 (indeno(1,2,3)pyrene). At Soumbédioune, the p-values ranged from 0.134 (pyrene) to 0.799 (coronene) (Table 7) [32] [33].

## 5. CONCLUSION

At the end of the study, the low molecular weight PAHs were found to be below their limits of quantification. The study shows contamination of the various sediments, with the greatest variations in content noted in September: pyrene (35,763), benzo(a)anthracene (22,396) and benzo(k)fluoranthene (18,678  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) in Hann, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (15,782  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) in Soumbédioune and coronene (9,030  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ ) in Ngor. For this sediment campaign, the Hann sediments may contribute to the pollution of this bay with a discharge greater than or equal to 196.464  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, sediments at Ngor contributed less to the contamination of the marine environment and were able to transport at least 75.437  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$  of PAHs. These average hydrocarbon levels obtained at the three study sites are below the tolerable limit (TLV) of 4,000  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . They are also below the

standard for marine sediments of 45,000  $\mu\text{g.Kg}^{-1}$ . These levels are also lower than the PAH levels found in the literature for various localities. Similar studies with aquatic species undoubtedly show that sediments are part of the chain of contamination of the marine environment and aquatic species. The authorities have a duty to clean up the canals regularly, install treatment plants and prevent any contact between the sediment and the environment.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

### Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. During the writing or editing of this manuscript, SPSS and Excel are only used for statistical processing of the data. The DeepL e is used to check the language used in the data.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks go to the entire ICEEPS team in Strasbourg, led by Maurice, where the measurements were carried out. To Mr Paul NKENG who facilitated the collaboration.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Nadia Mzoughi et al. Methodology for the extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Application to sediments from the Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia). *Compte Rendu Geoscience*. 2002;(334)112:893–901.
2. Sabine Azoury. Study of the contamination of the Lebanese coast by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and trace metal elements (TMEs): Sedimentary archives and biomonitoring following an oil spill. Thesis, Université Sciences et Technologies – Bordeaux. 2013;l:340.

3. Stéphanie Swiha, Valérie Pichon, Thierry Fournier, Sophie Gil and Nathalie Delaunay. Development of an analytical method for the simultaneous determination of 22 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in maternal and umbilical cord blood. *Comptes Rendus. Chimie*; 2024.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.5802/crchim.286>
4. Souley Adamou H. et al. Distribution and enrichment of trace metal elements in sediments of the Niger River, Niger / *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 2002;16(6):2945-2963.  
DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v16i6.38]
5. United Nations Environment Programme. Manual for sediment sampling and analysis. UNEP. 2007;26.
6. Markus Zennegg. Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). Measurement of PCBs and Dioxins in watercourses. Assessment of the adequacy of sediment analysis and passive sampling in aqueous media to detect emission sources. 2016;56.
7. Dabrin A, Yari A, Masson M, Le-Coz J, Coquery M. Focus – Monitoring chemical contamination of sediments in waterways: relevance of integrative sampling of suspended matter. *Sciences Eaux & Territoires.* 2021;(37):106–109.  
Available :<https://doi.org/10.14758/SET-REVUE.2021.4.19>
8. Cheikh Tidiane Dione, al Application of the QuEChERS method for the determination of pesticides, PAHs and PCBs in fish in Senegal, *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A.* 2022;57:10 :869-87
9. Wissam Sahyoun. Analysis of pesticides in fruits and vegetables: Analytical development and application of QuEChERS, d-SPE and GC-MS/MS methods. *Organic Chemistry.* University of Lille ;2022. French. (NNT : 2022ULILR082). (tel-04383994)
10. Rami Kanan. Methodological developments for the extraction and analysis of organic pollutants of interest to the marine environment: Application to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Thesis, L'université Bordeaux. 2012;1:283
11. Mzoughi N, Hellal F, Dachraoui M, Villeneuve JP, Cattini C, de Mora SJ, El Abed A. Methodology for the extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Application to sediments from the Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia). *Comptes Rendus Geoscience.* 2002;334(12) :893–901.  
DOI: 10.1016/s1631-0713(02)01827-8
12. Kévin Humbert, Christophe Morin, Kevin S. Jack, Julie Cosme, Maxime Debret, et al.. Comparison of two chromatographic methods (with and without solvents) to extract and quantify polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments and opening up to analysis by hyperspectral imaging. *Francophone Congress on Separation Sciences and Couplings ; 2021, Paris, France.* (hal-03408423)
13. Galmiche M, Delhomme O, François YN, Millet M. Environmental analysis of polar and non-polar Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds in airborne particulate matter, settled dust and soot: Part II: Instrumental analysis and occurrence, *Trends in Analytical Chemistry ; 2021.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.116146>
14. Papet Y. et al. Headspace (HS) and solid phase microextraction (SPME). Theory and applications. *Ann Toxicol Anal.* 2010; 22(2):75-79.  
DOI: 10.1051/ata/2010022.
15. Anais Rodrigues. Analytical developments for the assessment of contamination by plant protection products in different matrices: Study of transfers to local populations and living organisms. *Analytical chemistry.* University of Strasbourg ; 2022. French. (NNT : 2022STRAF061). (tel-04189397),
16. Cai SS, Stevens J, Syage JA. Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography–Atmospheric Pressure Photoionization–Mass Spectrometry for High-Sensitivity Analysis of US Environmental Protection Agency Sixteen Priority Pollutant Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Oysters. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2012;1227, 138–144.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.12.111
17. Laure SAINT-LARY Evaluation of the metabolomic approach for the authentication of natural extracts used in the flavor and fragrance sector. Thesis,

- UNIVERSITE NICE-SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS. 2015;196.
18. Shirey RE. SPME Commercial Devices and Fibre Coatings. Handbook of Solid Phase Microextraction. 2012;99–133. DOI: 10.1016/b978-0-12-416017-0.00004-8
  19. Loizeau, Jean-Luc et al. Metallic and organic micropollutants in surface sediments of Lake Geneva. In: International Protection Report for the Protection of Lake Geneva Waters Against Pollution, Campaign. Nyon: CIPEL. 2017; 143–197
  20. Manon Le Goff. Study of endothelial extracellular vesicles and other toxicity markers of Benzo[a]pyrene: influence of extracts of microalgae of marine origin. Biochemistry [q-bio.BM]. University of Maine; 2020. French. (NNT: 2020LEMA1007). (tel-02933130).
  21. Boukhari. Assessment of the contamination of invertebrates by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in three stations downstream of the Tafna. University of TLEMCEM Faculty of Natural and Life Sciences and Earth and Universe Sciences Department of Ecology and Environment ; 2022. Available:<http://dSPACE1.univ-tlemcen.dz/handle/112/19457>
  22. Cheikh Tidiane Dione. Determination of the content of organic pollutants and heavy metals in fish from the bays of the coast of Dakar (Soumbédioune and Hann). University of Strasbourg-Cheikh Anta Diop University. 2022;282.
  23. Briand MJ, Herlory O, Brach-Papa C, Brochen M, Chavanon F, Chouteau. SUCHIMED 2021 campaign. Monitoring chemical contamination in the Mediterranean. Specific public-public cooperation contract for the application of the framework agreement Agence de l'Eau RMC / Ifremer n° 1000837. 2022;150.
  24. Mauffret Aourell, Chiffolleau Jean-Francois, Burgeot Thierry, Wessel Nathalie, Brun Melanie Evaluation of descriptor 8 "Contaminants in the environment" in Metropolitan France. Scientific Report for the 2018 evaluation under the MSFD ; 2018.
  25. MacDonald DD, Ingersoll CG, Berger TA. Development and Evaluation of Consensus-Based Sediment Quality Guidelines for Freshwater Ecosystems. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2000;39(1) :20–31. DOI: 10.1007/s002440010075
  26. Raphaël Fauches. Transfer of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at the scale of a watershed: characterization of sources by molecular isotopy. Earth Sciences. Université Paris sciences et lettres, 2017. French. ffNNT : 2017PSLEP014ff. fftel-02105275
  27. Benoit Ghilardi ; Fabrice Bourgeois ; Sébastien Menu François ; Lauters. Analysis of sediments from the Bonpas canal (January 2022). Internal report H-E22-2013-01478-FR, EDF R&D. 2022;28 . H-44202325-2022-000066 A
  28. Nadia Mzoughi, Fayçal Hellal, Mohamed Dachraouic, Jean-Pierre Villeneuve. Methodology for the extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Application to sediments from the Bizerte lagoon (Tunisia). C. R. Geoscience. Academy of Sciences / Elsevier SAS Scientific and Medical Publishing. 2002;334:893–901. DOI: 10.1016/S1631-0713(02)01827-8
  29. Momar Ndiaye et al. Temporal Evolution of Marine Sediments Pollution in Dakar: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Determination by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. Asian Journal of Chemistry. 2014;261(2014) :191-195. DOI: 10.14233/ajchem.2014.15515
  30. Anne Corminboeuf. Spatial and temporal distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments of the canadian arctic archipelago. Dissertation. Université du Québec à Rimouski ; 2021.
  31. Hong EY, Averseng F, Allard T, Da Silva T, Philippe M, Le Pape P, Ayrault S, Brest J, Carrier X, Guigon E, Morin G. Identification of a reactive oxygen species in sediments. Implications for the natural degradation of organic contaminants ; 2021.
  32. Zahnit Samiha. Data normality tests. Mohamed khider university, biskra, faculty of exact sciences and natural and life sciences. 2020;46.
  33. Berna Yazici, Senay Yolacan: A comparison of various tests of normality,

Journal of Statistical Computation and  
Simulation. 2007;77(2):175-183.

Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10629360600678310>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

---

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124035>